

UNFCCC/CCNUCC



AM0063 / Version 01 Sectoral Scope: 05 EB 36

### Approved baseline and monitoring methodology AM0063

# "Recovery of CO<sub>2</sub> from tail gas in industrial facilities to substitute the use of fossil fuels for production of CO<sub>2</sub>"

# I. SOURCE, DEFINITIONS AND APPLICABILITY

### Sources

This methodology is based on NM0230 "Methodology for industrial tail gas  $CO_2$  Recovery and Utilization" and its underlying project activity "Recovery and Utilization of  $CO_2$  from Refinery Tail Gas". The baseline study and project design document were prepared by MGM International Ltd, for the underlying project activity "Recovery and Utilization of  $CO_2$  from Refinery Tail Gas" lead by INDURA S.A. and ENAP Refinerías S.A., Chile.

This methodology also refers to the latest approved versions of the following tools:

- "Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality";
- "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality";
- "Tool to calculate project emissions from electricity consumption";
- "Tool to calculate project or leakage CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel combustion"; and
- "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system".

For more information regarding the proposed new methodology and the tools as well as their consideration by the Executive Board please refer to <a href="https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/approved.html">https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/approved.html</a>

Selected approach from paragraph 48 of the CDM modalities and procedures

"Existing actual or historical emissions, as applicable"

### Definitions

For the purpose of this methodology the following definitions apply:

**Tail gas.** A by-product of processes in industrial facilities. It is a mixture of gases including methane, carbon dioxide and other components and can be used as an energy source;

Off gas. An enriched gas generated by removing CO<sub>2</sub> from the tail gas;

**Conventional CO<sub>2</sub> production process.** A process in which fossil fuels are combusted and CO<sub>2</sub> is captured from the resulting flue gases. The energy generated from the combustion of fossil fuels is not used for purposes other than for the production of CO<sub>2</sub>, i.e. no electricity is supplied to the grid or third parties and no heat is generated for production purposes other than CO<sub>2</sub> production. Both the combustion of fossil fuels and the capture processes take place at the same CO<sub>2</sub> production facility.



AM0063 / Version 01 Sectoral Scope: 05 EB 36

### Applicability

The methodology is applicable to project activities that reduce emissions associated with conventional  $CO_2$  production process by means of extracting  $CO_2$  from the tail gas coming out of an industrial facility<sup>1</sup>. The off gas, produced as a result of the extraction of  $CO_2$  from the tail gas, is supplied back to the industrial facility where it is either utilized as fuel or flared.

The methodology is applicable to the following two cases:

- Scenario 1: Use of the tail gas from an existing industrial facility for substitution of the combustion of fossil fuels at a specific existing conventional CO<sub>2</sub> production facility; or
- Scenario 2: Use of the tail gas from an existing industrial facility in a new  $CO_2$  production plant established as part of the project activity.

The following conditions apply to both scenarios:

- The tail gas from the industrial facility has been produced for as long as the industrial facility has been in operation;
- Prior to the implementation of the project activity, the tail gas has either been used as fuel in the industrial facility without extraction of the CO<sub>2</sub> or has been flared;
- There are no substantial changes (e.g. product change) in the industrial facility as a result of the project activity;
- If the tail gas was used as fuel prior to the start of the project activity, the off gas has to be used as fuel in the project activity and cannot be flared;
- There exist historical records of at least three years of data related to the operation of the industrial facility from which the tail gas is extracted;
- The project activity does not result in a significant change in the composition of the tail gas  $(w_{carbon,TG})$ , generated at the industrial facility, i.e. not more than  $\pm 5\%$  variation is allowed when comparing the corresponding values before and after the start of the project activity;
- The total amount of  $CO_2$  produced at the project facility has to be sold within the host country;
- The methodology is not applicable to project activities where  $CO_2$  is produced for own consumption at the project facility (e.g. for manufacturing of chemicals)<sup>2</sup>.

The following conditions apply to scenario 1:

- In order to ensure that the production of CO<sub>2</sub> is justified by a real demand in the market, the end use of the CO<sub>2</sub> is of the same kind (e.g. sale to the food industry) before and after the start of the project activity, which is to be cross checked through sales records;
- The existing conventional CO<sub>2</sub> production facility has an operating history of at least three years as well as three years historical data on the annual fossil fuel and electricity consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> production levels are available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For example, a refinery for production of hydrogen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Project participants wishing to produce  $CO_2$  for consumption at a project chemical plant, may use the approved methodology AM0027 "Substitution of CO2 from fossil or mineral origin by CO2 from renewable sources in the production of inorganic compounds" or, if this is not applicable, propose a new methodology.

UNFCCC/CCNUCC



UNFCCC

The following condition applies to scenario 2:

• At the minimum, data on existing CO<sub>2</sub> production facilities, their capacities and technologies used for CO<sub>2</sub> production in these facilities in the host country are available.

In addition, the applicability conditions included in the tools referred to above apply.

Finally, the methodology is applicable to project activities where the baseline scenario as defined in "Identification of the baseline scenario" is a combination of:

- (i) the alternative T3 or T4; and
- (ii) one of the alternatives C2, C3 or C5.

# **II. BASELINE METHODOLOGY**

### **Project boundary**

As presented in Figure 1 below, the project boundary comprises:

- The CO<sub>2</sub> production facility, including storage equipment;
- The industrial facility where the tail gas is generated and the returned off-gas is used;
- Blower and pipeline to transport the tail gas from the industrial facility to the CO<sub>2</sub> production facility and to transport the off-gas back to the industrial facility.




Figure 1: Illustration of the project boundary [ - - - ]



### Table 1. Emission sources included in or excluded from the project boundary

				cluded from the project boundary
	Source	Gas	Included?	Justification / Explanation
	Emissions from the	CO <sub>2</sub>	YES	Main source of emissions in the baseline
	combustion of the tail	$\mathrm{CH}_4$	NO	It is assumed that all CH <sub>4</sub> is completely oxidized in the
	gas in the industrial			combustion process. This is conservative.
	facility process units		NO	Assumed negligible
ne	Emissions from the fossil		YES	Main source of emissions in the baseline
eli	fuel use at the CO <sub>2</sub>	· · ·	NO	Assumed negligible
Baseline	production facility (i.e.	N <sub>2</sub> O	NO	Assumed negligible
	existing or new)			
	Emissions from the	$CO_2$	YES	Main source of emissions in the baseline
	electricity use at the CO <sub>2</sub>	$CH_4$	NO	Assumed negligible
	production facility (i.e.	$N_2O$	NO	Assumed negligible
1	existing or new)			
	Emissions from the	$CO_2$	YES	Main source of emissions in the project activity
	combustion of the off gas	$\mathrm{CH}_4$	NO	It is assumed that all CH <sub>4</sub> is completely oxidized in the
	in the industrial facility			combustion process
	process units	N <sub>2</sub> O	NO	Assumed negligible
	Emissions from energy	$CO_2$	NO	Assumed negligible
	used at the industrial	CH <sub>4</sub>	NO	Assumed negligible
	facility to transport the	N <sub>2</sub> O	NO	Assumed negligible
	tail gas	-		
		$CO_2$	NO	Fugitive emissions may occur at the installation used to transport
	E iti a mining form			tail gas due to $CO_2$ content. Assumed negligible
	Fugitive emissions from	$CH_4$	NO	Fugitive emissions may occur at the installation used to transport
	the tail gas transport			tail gas due to CH <sub>4</sub> content. Assumed negligible
		N <sub>2</sub> O	NO	Assumed negligible
ţ		$CO_2$	NO	Fugitive emissions may occur at the installation used to transport
tiv				off gas due to residual $CO_2$ content after recovery. Assumed
Ac	Fugitive emissions from			negligible
<b>Project Activity</b>	off gas transport	$CH_4$	NO	Fugitive emissions may occur at the installation used to transport
0je				off gas due to CH <sub>4</sub> content in off gas. Assumed negligible
Pr		N <sub>2</sub> O	NO	Assumed negligible
		$CO_2$	NO	CO <sub>2</sub> fugitive emissions may occur as a result of an accidental
		2		event in one or both of the tail gas / off gas transportation
	Fugitive emissions from			installations. Assumed negligible
	pipeline accidental	$CH_4$	NO	CH <sub>4</sub> fugitive emissions may occur as a result of an accidental
	events	-		event in one or both of the tail gas / off gas transportation
				installations. Assumed negligible
		$N_2O$	NO	Assumed negligible
	<b></b>	$CO_2$	NO	$CO_2$ fugitive emissions may occur as a result of tail gas, off gas
	Fugitive emissions at the	2		or product venting or leaks at the $CO_2$ production facility.
	CO <sub>2</sub> production facility			Assumed negligible
		CH <sub>4</sub>	NO	$CH_4$ fugitive emissions may occur as a result of tail gas, off gas
				or product venting or leaks at the $CO_2$ production facility.
				Assumed negligible
L	I	1		



	N <sub>2</sub> O	NO	Assumed negligible
Emissions from energy	$CO_2$	YES	Main source of emissions
used at the $CO_2$	$\mathrm{CH}_4$	NO	Assumed negligible
production facility	$N_2O$	NO	Assumed negligible

### Procedure for estimating the remaining lifetime of the existing conventional CO<sub>2</sub> production facility

This step is applicable if scenario 1 corresponds to the project activity. The remaining lifetime of the existing conventional  $CO_2$  production facility should be determined, i.e. the time when the existing conventional  $CO_2$  production facility would have been replaced in the absence of the project activity. For this purpose, the typical average technical lifetime of other conventional  $CO_2$  production facilities may be determined on the basis of common practices in the sector (e.g. based on industry surveys, statistics, technical literature, etc.). The time of replacement of the existing conventional  $CO_2$  production facility in the absence of the project activity should be chosen in a conservative manner, i.e. the earliest point in time should be chosen in cases where only a time frame can be estimated.

### Identification of the baseline scenario

Project participants shall apply the following steps to identify the baseline scenario:

### Step 1a: Identify plausible alternative scenarios

Under Step 1a project participants shall identify realistic and credible alternatives to both project components. Alternatives should be separately determined regarding:

- What would happen to the tail gas from the industrial facility in the absence of the project activity; and
- How the CO<sub>2</sub> produced in the project activity would have been produced in the absence of the project activity.
- (i) Plausible alternative scenarios for the use of the tail gas in the industrial facility may include, but are not limited to, the following:
- T1: The implementation of the proposed project activity, i.e. enrichment of the tail gas (purification of the tail gas and separation of CO<sub>2</sub>) for energy purposes, without being registered as a CDM project activity.
- T2: Other uses of the tail gas (i. e. as feedstock).
- T3: Continuation of the current practice, if applicable, of the use of the tail gas as fuel without separation of  $CO_2$
- T4: Continuation of the current practice, if applicable, of flaring of the tail gas without separation of CO<sub>2</sub>.
- (ii) Plausible alternative scenarios for the production of CO<sub>2</sub> may include, but are not limited to, the following:

UNFCCC/CCNUCC





- C1: The proposed project activity (i.e. extraction of CO<sub>2</sub> from the industrial facility tail gas) implemented without being registered as a CDM project activity.
- C2: The production of  $CO_2$  at a specific existing conventional  $CO_2$  production plant, on-site or off-site.
- C3: The construction of a specific new conventional CO<sub>2</sub> production plant, on-site or off-site.
- C4: The construction of a specific new CO<sub>2</sub> production facility, on-site or off-site, using other CO<sub>2</sub> sources than fossil fuels (e.g. by-product of sodium phosphate or ammonia manufacture, processing of biomass, cement production, extraction of CO<sub>2</sub> from natural wells, etc.).
- C5: The production of  $CO_2$  in existing and/or new  $CO_2$  production plants at other sites.
- C6: The production of  $CO_2$  at an existing  $CO_2$  production plant with fossil fuels where the energy generated from fossil fuel combustion is (partly) utilized for purposes other than the production of  $CO_2$ .
- C7: The construction of a specific new  $CO_2$  production facility, on-site or off-site, in which the  $CO_2$  is generated from fossil fuel combustion but in which the energy generated from fossil fuel combustion is (partly) utilized for purposes other than the production of  $CO_2$  (e.g., electricity exports to the grid, steam generation for other purposes than  $CO_2$  production).

<u>Note</u>: Scenarios C3, C4, C6 and C7 shall only be included as plausible options if documented evidence is provided to demonstrate a growing demand for commercial  $CO_2$  in the host country, where the project activity will be implemented.<sup>3</sup>

The suggested list of alternatives is only indicative. Project participants may propose other plausible alternatives and/or eliminate some of the listed above, based on documented evidence.

For the purpose of identifying plausible alternative scenarios, provide an overview of the market of the commercial  $CO_2$  production, including the availability of and trends in introduction of new technologies. Provide relevant documentation to support the results of the analysis.


Outcome of Step 1a: List of plausible alternative scenarios to the project activity.

### Step 1b: Consistency with applicable laws and regulations

The alternative(s) shall be in compliance with all mandatory applicable legal and regulatory requirements, even if these laws and regulations have objectives other than GHG reductions (this sub-step does not consider national and local policies that do not have legally-binding status).

If an alternative does not comply with all mandatory applicable legislation and regulations, then show that, based on an examination of current practice in the country or region in which the mandatory law or regulation applies, those applicable mandatory legal or regulatory requirements are systematically not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If there is no growth in demand a new facility is expected to displace an existing facility. The present methodology does not include any procedures to identify the displaced facility and accordingly estimate the baseline emissions.





enforced and that non-compliance with those requirements is widespread in the country. If this cannot be shown, then eliminate the alternative from further consideration.

**Outcome of Step 1b:** List of alternative scenarios to the project activity that are in compliance with mandatory legislation and regulations taking into account the enforcement in the region or country and EB decisions on national and/or sectoral policies and regulations.

### Step 2: Eliminate alternatives that face prohibitive barriers

Scenarios that face prohibitive barriers should be eliminated by applying "Step 2 - Barrier analysis" of the latest version of the "Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality" agreed by the CDM Executive Board.

If there is only one alternative scenario that is not prevented by any barrier, and if this alternative is not the proposed project activity undertaken without being registered as a CDM project activity, then this alternative scenario is identified as the baseline scenario.

If there are still several alternative scenarios remaining project participants may choose to either:

- Option 1: go to Step 3 (investment analysis), or
- Option 2: identify the alternative with the lowest emissions (i.e. the most conservative) as the baseline scenario.


### Step 3: Comparison of economic attractiveness of the remaining alternatives

Compare the economic attractiveness without revenues from CERs for remaining alternatives by applying "Step 3 - Investment analysis" of the latest approved version of the "Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality".

The investment comparison analysis shall be applied, using the net present value (NPV) as indicator, and explicitly stating the following parameters:

- Investment requirements for each alternative scenario (including break-up into major equipment costs, installation, required R&D activities and construction costs);
- A discount rate appropriate to the country and sector (use government bond rates, increased by a suitable risk premium to reflect private investment in fuel switching projects, as substantiated by an independent (financial) expert);
- Current price and expected future price of raw materials, fuels and electricity used as well as the market price of CO<sub>2</sub> and, if applicable, any other products. (As a default, the current prices may be assumed as future prices. Where project participants intend to use future prices that are different from current prices, the future prices have to be substantiated by a public and official publication from a governmental body or an intergovernmental institution);
- Operation and maintenance costs for each alternative scenario, including cost changes at the industrial facility and the CO<sub>2</sub> production facility;
- Any economic benefits at the industrial facility from using the off-gas instead of the tail gas.

The information on all the above factors as well as assumptions shall be explicitly stated in the CDM-PDD.





IN FOO

**Outcome of Step 3:** Ranking of the short list of alternative scenarios according to their NPV taking into account the results of the sensitivity analysis.

- If the sensitivity analysis is not conclusive, identify the alternative with the lowest emissions (i.e. the most conservative) as the baseline scenario.
- If the sensitivity analysis is conclusive and confirms the result of the investment comparison analysis, then the most economically or financially attractive alternative scenario is considered as baseline scenario.

The methodology is only applicable if a combination of the alternative T3 or T4 with the alternative C2, C3 or C5 represents the most likely baseline scenario.

### Additionality

The steps described below may be used to assess additionality. Alternatively, project participants may use the latest approved version of the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality", applying the additional guidance provided above under "Identification of the baseline scenario".

### Step 1: Analysis of outcome of baseline scenario identification procedure

If the proposed project activity is the only alternative amongst the ones considered by the project participants that is in compliance with all mandatory regulations, as identified by applying Step 1 of the baseline scenario identification procedure described in the section above, with which there is general compliance, then the proposed CDM project activity is not additional.

If the barrier analysis is used to identify the baseline scenario, as described in the previous section, then:

- a) If there is only one alternative scenario that is not prevented by any barrier, and if this alternative is the proposed project activity undertaken without being registered as a CDM project activity, then the project activity is not additional;
- b) If the implementation of the proposed project activity without being registered as a CDM project activity is prevented by any barrier, then explain using qualitative or quantitative arguments how the registration of the CDM project activity will alleviate the barriers that prevent the proposed project activity from occurring in the absence of the CDM. If the CDM alleviates the identified barriers that prevent the proposed project activity from occurring, proceed to common practice analysis step, otherwise the project activity is not additional.

If the investment analysis step is used to identify the baseline scenario, and if the proposed project activity undertaken without being registered as a CDM project activity is not prevented by any barrier, then:

- a) If the sensitivity analysis confirms the result of the investment comparison analysis, and it cannot be ruled out that the most economically or financially attractive alternative scenario is the "proposed project activity undertaken without being registered as a CDM project activity", then the project activity is not additional;
- b) Otherwise, proceed to common practice analysis step.





(1)

### Step 2: Common practice analysis

Demonstrate that the project activity is not a common practice in the country and sector by applying Step 4 of the latest version of the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality" as agreed by the CDM Executive Board.

### **Baseline emissions**

Baseline emissions are calculated as follows:

 $BE_{v} = BE_{CO2} + BE_{IND} + BE_{IND}$ 

2	
Where:	
$BE_{y}$	Baseline emissions during the year y, $(tCO_2e/year)$
$BE_{CO2,y}$	Baseline emissions from the production of $CO_2$ in year y, (t $CO_2e$ /year)
BE <sub>IND,y</sub>	Baseline emissions from the combustion of the tail gas at the industrial facility in year y,
	(tCO <sub>2</sub> /year)
у	Year of the crediting period

### **Baseline emissions from the production of CO**<sub>2</sub>

The method of calculation of the baseline emissions from this source depends on whether C2, C3 or C5 has been identified as the baseline scenario.

### Baseline scenario C2

Two different cases may apply when the project  $CO_2$  production facility displaces the production of  $CO_2$  in a specific existing conventional  $CO_2$  production plant:

- Case A: The existing conventional  $CO_2$  production plant continues to operate after the start of the project activity, but produces less  $CO_2$ . In this case, emission reductions from the displacement of  $CO_2$  production in the existing  $CO_2$  production plant can only be claimed to the extent to which the existing plant produces less  $CO_2$ .
- Case B: The existing conventional CO<sub>2</sub> production plant ceases its operation with the start of the project activity. In this case, the remaining lifetime of the replaced plant has to be determined as specified above and emission reductions can only be claimed against the shortest period between the remaining lifetime and the chosen crediting period.

In both cases, the level of historical  $CO_2$  production in the existing plant before the start of the project activity ( $P_{CO2,BL,x}$ ) has to be determined and documented in the CDM-PDD.

Baseline emissions from the production of  $CO_2$  are calculated based on a baseline emission index (*BEI*<sub>CO2,BL</sub>), which expresses the historical GHG emissions of the existing  $CO_2$  production facility per quantity of  $CO_2$  produced, and the quantity of  $CO_2$  that would be produced in the existing  $CO_2$  production facility, as follows:



(2)

AM0063 / Version 01 Sectoral Scope: 05 EB 36

 $BE_{CO2,y} = BEI_{CO2,BL} * min\{P_{CO2,MAX,y}; P_{CO2,PJ,y}\}$ 

Where:	
$BE_{CO2,y}$	Baseline emissions in year y, (t $CO_2e/year$ )
BEI <sub>CO2,BL</sub>	Baseline emission index from energy use in the existing conventional CO <sub>2</sub> production
,	facility, $(t CO_2 e / t CO_2)$
$P_{CO2,PJ,y}$	Quantity of CO <sub>2</sub> produced at the project CO <sub>2</sub> production facility in year $y^4$ , (tCO <sub>2</sub> /year).
$P_{CO2,MAX,y}$	Maximum annual quantity of $CO_2$ production that is eligible for crediting in year y
	(tCO <sub>2</sub> /year)

The maximum annual quantity of  $CO_2$  production that is eligible for crediting depends on whether case A or case B applies:

• If case A applies, P<sub>CO2,MAX,y</sub> is calculated as the difference between the highest historical annual CO<sub>2</sub> production in the existing CO<sub>2</sub> production plant during the most recent three historical years prior to the implementation of the project activity and the annual CO<sub>2</sub> production in the existing CO<sub>2</sub> production plant in year *y*, as follows:

$$P_{\text{CO2,MAX},y} = \max\{P_{\text{CO2},x}; P_{\text{CO2},x-1}; P_{\text{CO2},x-2}\} - P_{\text{CO2,exist plant},y}$$
(3)

Where:

P <sub>CO2,MAX,y</sub>	Maximum annual quantity of $CO_2$ production that is eligible for crediting in year y
	$(tCO_2/yr)$
P <sub>CO2,x/x-1/x-2</sub>	Quantity of CO <sub>2</sub> produced in the existing conventional CO <sub>2</sub> production facility in
	year $x/x-1/x-2$ (tCO <sub>2</sub> /yr)
P <sub>CO2,exist plant,y</sub>	Quantity of CO <sub>2</sub> produced in the existing conventional CO <sub>2</sub> production facility in
	year $y$ (tCO <sub>2</sub> /yr)
x	Year preceding the start of the project activity
У	Year of the crediting period

• If case B applies, P<sub>CO2,MAX,y</sub> corresponds to the highest historical annual CO<sub>2</sub> production in the existing CO<sub>2</sub> production plant during the most recent three historical years prior to the implementation of the project activity.

The baseline emission index is calculated as follows:

$$BEI_{CO2,BL} = \frac{BE_{CO2,BL,x}}{P_{CO2,BL,x}}$$

(4)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ex-ante estimation of the emission reductions shall be based on prospected levels of  $CO_2$  production at the project  $CO_2$  production facility. In calculating emission reductions for any given year y during the implementation of the project activity, only ex-post approach, i.e. the monitored quantity of  $CO_2$  produced at the project  $CO_2$  production facility in year y, shall be used.

CDM – Executive Board



(6)

# Where:

$BE_{CO2,BL,x}$	Emissions from production of CO <sub>2</sub> at the existing CO <sub>2</sub> production facility during the
	historical period $x$ (tCO <sub>2</sub> ), as estimated in equation 5 below
D	Amount of CO, produced at the existing CO, production facility during the historical period

 $P_{CO2,BL,x}$  Amount of CO<sub>2</sub> produced at the existing CO<sub>2</sub> production facility during the historical period x, (tCO<sub>2</sub>)

x Period immediately preceding the start of the implementation of the project activity<sup>5</sup> The emissions during the period *x* are estimated on the basis of the total energy requirements (i.e. electricity and fossil fuel consumption) at the existing conventional  $CO_2$  production facility minus the amount of  $CO_2$ produced at this facility during the period *x*. The total energy requirements of the existing facility include both the energy used for generation of  $CO_2$  and the energy used for processing of  $CO_2$ .

$$BE_{CO2,BL,x} = EC_{CO2,BL,grid,x} \cdot EF_{CO2,grid,x} + \sum_{i} FC_{CO2,BL,i,x} \cdot NCV_{i,x} \cdot EF_{CO2,i,x} - P_{CO2,BL,x}$$
(5)

Where:

White C.	
$EC_{CO2,BL,grid,x}$	Electricity consumption from the grid at the existing conventional CO <sub>2</sub> production
	facility during the period x for generation and processing of $CO_2$ , (MWh).
$EF_{CO2,grid,x}$	Grid emission factor, (tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh). Calculated using the latest approved version of
	the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system".
FC <sub>CO2,BL,i,x</sub>	Quantity of fossil fuel type $i$ combusted at the specific existing conventional $CO_2$
	production facility during the period x for generation and processing of $CO_2$ , (mass or
	volume unit)
EF <sub>CO2,i,x</sub>	Emission factor of fossil fuel type <i>i</i> during period x, $(tCO_2/TJ)$
NCV <sub>i,x</sub>	Net calorific value of fossil fuel type $i$ in the period $x$ (TJ/ mass or volume unit)
i	All fossil fuels used at the conventional $CO_2$ production facility during the period x
x	Period immediately preceding the start of the implementation of the project activity <sup>5</sup>

# Baseline scenario C3 or C5

In this case, the project facility displaces production of  $CO_2$  from a specific new conventional  $CO_2$  production plant (C3) or from several existing or new  $CO_2$  production plants (C5) that would have been constructed in the absence of the project activity to meet the growing demand of the national or regional market.

Baseline emissions are calculated based on the quantity of  $CO_2$  produced at the project  $CO_2$  production facility and a benchmark emission index related which expresses the GHG intensity of  $CO_2$  production in other  $CO_2$  production facilities, as follows:

$$BE_{CO2,y} = BEI_{CO2,BL,BENCH} \cdot P_{CO2,PJ,y}$$

Where:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{BE}_{\text{CO2},y} & \text{Baseline emissions associated with the production of CO}_2 \text{ in the absence of the project} \\ & \text{activity in year } y, (t \text{ CO}_2\text{e/year}) \\ \text{BEI}_{\text{CO2,BL,BENCH}} & \text{Benchmark emission index for the production of CO}_2, (t \text{ CO}_2\text{e/t CO}_2) \end{array}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> It is required to use a period comprising enough data to fully represent a regular  $CO_2$  production facility operation (a minimum of three year period is required).





AM0063 / Version 01 Sectoral Scope: 05 EB 36

 $P_{CO2,PJ,y}$  Quantity of CO<sub>2</sub> produced at the project CO<sub>2</sub> production facility in year  $y^6$ , (tCO<sub>2</sub>/year).

The baseline emission index ( $BEI_{CO2,BL,BENCH}$ ) is established based on the GHG emission intensity of  $CO_2$  production in other plants that have been constructed during the most recent 10 years prior to the start of the project activity. The following steps shall be performed to determine  $BEI_{CO2,BL,BENCH}$ :

### Step 1: Selection of the geographical area and identification of all $CO_2$ production plants n in that area

The geographical area should be chosen in a manner that it includes at least 5 facilities that produce  $CO_2$  for commercial use<sup>7</sup> and that have been constructed during the most recent 10 years prior to the start of the project activity. These facilities include both conventional  $CO_2$  production plants and  $CO_2$  production plants using other available technologies (e.g.,  $CO_2$  production as by-product in ammonia and hydrogen plants, fermentation, thermal decomposition of CaCO3, sodium phosphate manufacture, capture of  $CO_2$  directly from natural carbon dioxide gas wells). The host country may be used as a default geographical area. If there are less than 5 plants in the host country that have been constructed during the most recent 10 years prior to the start of the project activity, the geographical area should be extended to all neighboring countries. These plants (*n*) constitute the sample group for the calculation of the benchmark emission index.

Collect and document in the CDM-PDD for each plant *n* the necessary data to determine the emission index. This includes data on the quantities and types of fossil fuels used, the quantity of electricity consumed and the quantity of  $CO_2$  produced during the most recent year for which data are available. In case of conventional  $CO_2$  production plants, this refers to the overall electricity and fuel consumption in the plant. In case of other  $CO_2$  production plants, this refers to the quantity of fossil fuels and electricity needed to capture and process the  $CO_2$ .

### Step 2: Calculation of the benchmark emission index

The benchmark emission index is calculated as follows:

$$BEI_{CO2,BL,BENCH} = \sum_{n} BEI_{CO2,BL,n} * w_{n,z}$$
(7)

Where:

BEI <sub>CO2,BL,n</sub>	Baseline emission index of plant $n$ (t CO <sub>2</sub> e/t CO <sub>2</sub> ).
W <sub>n,z</sub>	Share of $CO_2$ production of the plant <i>n</i> in year <i>z</i> as a fraction total $CO_2$ production of all
	plants identified in step 1 to estimate the benchmark
n	CO <sub>2</sub> production plants identified in step 1
Ζ	Year prior to the start of the project activity or the most recent year for which data are
	available

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ex-ante estimation of the emission reductions shall be based on prospected levels of  $CO_2$  production at the project  $CO_2$  production facility. In calculating emission reductions for any given year y during the implementation of the project activity, only ex-post approach, i.e. the monitored quantity of  $CO_2$  produced at the project  $CO_2$  production facility in year y, shall be used.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Exclude facilities that produce CO2 for their own use only (e.g. breweries) without selling CO<sub>2</sub> at the market

# CDM – Executive Board

### UNFCCC

AM0063 / Version 01 Sectoral Scope: 05 EB 36

$$w_{n,z} = \frac{P_{CO2,n,z}}{\sum_{n} P_{CO2,n,z}}$$
(7a)

Where:

$$P_{CO2,n,z}$$
 Quantity of CO<sub>2</sub> produced at the plant *n* in the year *z* (t CO<sub>2</sub>/year).

The baseline emission index for the plant *n* is calculated as follows:

$$BEI_{CO2,BL,n} = \frac{BE_{CO2,BL,n,z}}{P_{CO2,n,z}}$$
(8)

Where:

 $BE_{CO2,BL,n,z}$  Emissions relating to CO<sub>2</sub> production at the plant *n* in year *z* (t CO<sub>2</sub>/year).

The calculation of emissions relating to  $CO_2$  production at each plant *n* depends on the type of plant:<sup>8</sup>

a) If *n* corresponds to a conventional CO<sub>2</sub> production plant:

$$BE_{CO2,BL,n,z} = EC_{CO2,grid,n,z} \cdot EF_{CO2,grid,z} + \sum_{i} FC_{CO2,i,n,z} \cdot NCV_{i,z} \cdot EF_{CO2,i,n,z} - P_{CO2,n,z}$$
(9)

Where:

$EC_{CO2,grid,n,z}$	Electricity consumption from the grid in the conventional $CO_2$ production plant <i>n</i> in
002,814,11,2	year z for generation, extraction and processing of $CO_2$ (MWh)
EF <sub>CO2,grid,n,z</sub>	Grid emission factor for the electricity used at the $CO_2$ production facility <i>n</i> in year <i>z</i>
	(tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh). Calculated in accordance with the latest approved version of the "Tool
	to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system".
FC <sub>CO2,i,n,,z</sub>	Quantity of fossil fuel type $i$ combusted in the CO <sub>2</sub> production plant $n$ in year $z$ for
	generation, extraction and processing of $CO_2$ (t)
EF <sub>CO2,i,n,z</sub>	Emission factor of fossil fuel type $i$ at the CO <sub>2</sub> production facility $n$ in year $z$
	$(tCO_2/TJ)$
NCV <sub>i,z</sub>	Net calorific value of fossil fuel type <i>i</i> in year $z$ (TJ/t)
P <sub>CO2,n,z</sub>	Quantity of CO <sub>2</sub> produced at the plant <i>n</i> in year <i>z</i> (t CO <sub>2</sub> /year)
i	All fossil fuels used in the $CO_2$ production plant <i>n</i> in year <i>z</i>

<u>Note:</u> If plant specific data on the electricity and fossil fuel consumption are not available, the project participants may use Annex I manufacturer's specifications for a modern conventional  $CO_2$  production plant to estimate  $BEI_{CO2,BL,n.}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> At conventional CO<sub>2</sub> production plants, all fossil fuel combustion and electricity consumption from the grid can be attributed to the production of CO<sub>2</sub>, but the quantity of CO<sub>2</sub> produced is subtracted, as this is also not included in project emissions. In other plants, only the incremental use of fossil fuels and electricity to capture and process the CO<sub>2</sub> is taken into account.

UNFCCC/CCNUCC



b) If *n* corresponds to a  $CO_2$  production facility using other technology than conventional  $CO_2$  production processes:

$$BE_{CO2,BL,n,z} = EC_{CO2,grid,n,z} \cdot EF_{CO2,grid,z} + EC_{CO2,capt,n,z} \cdot EF_{CO2,capt,n,z} + \sum_{i} FC_{CO2,i,n,z} \cdot NCV_{i,z} \cdot EF_{CO2,i,n,z}$$
(10)

$$EF_{CO2,capt,n,z} = \frac{EF_{CO2,i,n,z}}{Eff_{capt,n,z}} \times \frac{3.6}{1000}$$
(10a)

Where:

WINCIC.	
$EC_{CO2,grid,n,z}$	Electricity from the grid used in the plant <i>n</i> for extraction and processing of $CO_2$ in the year <i>z</i> (MWh). Electricity consumption in the process where $CO_2$ is generated as by-product is not included.
$EF_{CO2, \text{ grid}, n, z}$	Grid emission factor for the electricity used at the $CO_2$ production facility <i>n</i> in the year <i>z</i> (t $CO_2$ /MWh). Calculated in accordance with the latest approved version of the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system".
EC <sub>CO2,capt,n,z</sub>	Electricity from a captive power plant used in the plant $n$ for extraction and processing of CO <sub>2</sub> in the year $z$ (MWh). Electricity consumption in the process where CO <sub>2</sub> is generated as by-product is not included.
$\mathrm{EF}_{\mathrm{CO2,  capt, n, z}}$	Emission factor for the captive electricity generation at the CO <sub>2</sub> production facility $n$ in the year $z$ (tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh).
$Eff_{capt,n,z}$	Efficiency of the captive power generation plant in the CO <sub>2</sub> production facility <i>n</i> in the year $z$ (%)
FC <sub>CO2</sub> , i,n,z	Quantity of fossil fuel type <i>i</i> used in the plant <i>n</i> for extraction and processing of $CO_2$ in the year <i>z</i> (t). Fossil fuel consumption in the process where $CO_2$ is generated as by-product is not included.
$EF_{CO2,i,n,z}$ $NCV_{i,z}$ <i>i</i>	$CO_2$ emission factor of fossil fuel type <i>i</i> in the year <i>z</i> (tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ) Net calorific value of fossil fuel type <i>i</i> in year <i>z</i> (TJ/t) All fossil fuels used in the plant <i>n</i> for extraction and processing of CO <sub>2</sub> in the year <i>z</i>

<u>Note</u>: If plant specific data on the electricity and fossil fuel consumption are not available, the project participants may use documented Annex I manufacturer's specifications for a modern  $CO_2$  production plant, using corresponding technology, to estimate  $BEI_{CO2,BL,n}$ . In the case where manufacturer's specifications are not available for a  $CO_2$  production plant, using a technology other than fossil fuel combustion without energy generation, the project participants must apply  $BEI_{CO2,BL,n}=0$  as a conservative assumption.

#### Baseline emissions from the combustion of the tail gas at the industrial facility

In calculating baseline emissions from combustion of the tail gas at the industrial facility, it is assumed that all carbon in the tail gas (i.e. in methane and other gases including other hydrocarbons, CO, and  $CO_2$ ) is completely oxidized to carbon dioxide and that all flue gases are released into the atmosphere.

$$BE_{IND,y} = \min\{V_{TG,y}, V_{TG,hist}\} \cdot w_{carbon, TG, y} \cdot \frac{44}{12}$$
(11)

UNFCCC/CCNUCC



3 / Version (

(12)

(13)

Baseline emissions from combustion of the tail gas in the year y (t $CO_2e$ /year)
Volume of the tail gas utilized in year y, i.e. volume of the tail gas delivered to the project
$CO_2$ production facility for extraction of $CO_2$ (m <sup>3</sup> )
Average annual volume of the tail gas generated in the period x ( $m^3$ )
Average carbon content of the tail gas in the year y, $(tC/m^3)$
Weight conversion factor to provide for fully oxidized carbon content in the tail gas,
$(tCO_2/tC)$
Period immediately preceding the start of the implementation of the project activity <sup>5</sup>

All data used to establish the baseline emission index should be documented transparently in the CDM-PDD. The calculation should be documented in a manner that it can be reproduced. **Project emissions** 

Emissions of greenhouse gases related to the operation of the project activity will include the following:

- Combustion or flaring of the off gas at the industrial facility. After the tail gas is treated at the project CO<sub>2</sub> production facility, the off gas is sent back to the industrial facility for fuelling purposes or flaring.
- Energy requirements at the industrial facility. Electricity consumption (i.e. self generated or grid imported) for tail gas transportation and other services provided to the project CO<sub>2</sub> production facility.
- Energy requirements (i.e. electricity and heat) at the project CO<sub>2</sub> production facility for processing of CO<sub>2</sub>.

Project emissions are calculated as follows:

$$PE_y = PE_{IND,y} + PE_{CO2NEW,y}$$

Where:

$PE_{y}$	Project emissions during year $y$ , (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/year)
PE <sub>IND, y</sub>	Project emissions at the industrial facility during year y, (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/year)
PE <sub>CO2NEW, y</sub>	Project emissions at the project $CO_2$ production facility during year y, (t $CO_2e$ )

### **Project emissions at the industrial facility**

$$PE_{IND,y} = PE_{IND,OG,y} + PE_{IND,ELEC,y}$$

Where:



industrial facility.

Project emissions from the combustion of the off gas in the case when the tail gas was flared in the baseline scenario:

$$PE_{IND,OG,y} = V_{OG,y} \cdot w_{carbon,OG,y} \cdot \frac{44}{12}$$
(14)

Where:

 $V_{OG,y}$ Volume of off gas combusted at the industrial facility during year y,  $(m^3)$  $w_{earbon, OG, y}$ Average carbon content of off gas in year y,  $(tC/m^3)$  $^{44}/_{12}$ Weight conversion factor to provide for fully oxidized carbon content in off gas,  $(tCO_2/tC)$ 

Project emissions from the combustion of the off gas in the case when the tail gas was used for energy generation in the baseline scenario are calculated as follows:

If  $V_{OG,y} \cdot NCV_{OG,y} < V_{TG,hist} \cdot NCV_{TG,hist}$ 

$$PE_{IND,OG,y} = V_{OG,y} \cdot w_{carbon,OG,y} \cdot \frac{44}{12} + (V_{TG,hist} \cdot NCV_{TG,hist} - V_{OG,y} \cdot NCV_{OG,y}) \cdot EF_{coal,y}$$
(15)

Where:

$V_{OG,y}$	Volume of off gas combusted at the industrial facility during year $y$ , (m <sup>3</sup> )
NCV <sub>OG,y</sub>	Net calorific value of off gas in year y, (GJ/m <sup>3</sup> )
V <sub>TG,hist</sub>	Average annual volume of the tail gas generated in the period x, $(m^3)$
NCV <sub>TG,hist</sub>	Average net calorific value of tail gas in the period x, $(GJ/m^3)$
Wcarbon, OG, y	Average carbon content of off gas in year y, $(tC/m^3)$
$44/_{12}$	Weight conversion factor to provide for fully oxidized carbon content in off gas,
	$(tCO_2/tC)$
EF <sub>coal,y</sub>	$CO_2$ emission factor of coal in year y, (tCO2e/GJ)
x	Period immediately preceding the start of the implementation of the project activity

As a conservative simplification, it is assumed that the efficiency of energy generating unit using tail/off gas is the same as the efficiency of the unit using coal.

If  $V_{OG,y} \cdot NCV_{OG,y} \ge V_{TG,hist} \cdot NCV_{TG,hist}$ , use equation 14.

Project emissions PE<sub>IND,ELEC,y</sub> should be calculated using the latest approved version of the "Tool to calculate project emissions from electricity consumption".

#### **Project emissions at the project CO<sub>2</sub> production facility**

 $PE_{CO2NEW,y} = PE_{CO2NEW,ELEC,y} + PE_{CO2NEW,FF,y}$ 

(16)





# Where:

PE <sub>CO2NEW,ELEC,y</sub>	Project emissions from the electricity consumption at the project $CO_2$ production
	facility, including the transportation of off gas back to the industrial facility, during year
	y, (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/year). This includes both electricity generated by a captive plant(s) at the
	industrial facility and electricity imported from the grid by the project CO <sub>2</sub> production
	facility.
PE <sub>CO2NEW,FF,y</sub>	Project emissions from the use of fossil fuels at the project CO <sub>2</sub> production facility
	during year $y$ , (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/year).

Project emissions  $PE_{CO2NEW,ELEC,y}$  and  $PE_{CO2NEW,FF,y}$  should be calculated using the latest approved versions of the "Tool to calculate project emissions from electricity consumption" and the "Tool to calculate project or leakage  $CO_2$  emissions from fossil fuel combustion" respectively.

All data for gas volumes in all equations should be converted to common standard temperature and pressure values.

### Leakage

Leakage emissions need to be accounted for if scenario 2 in the applicability conditions and baseline scenarios C3 or C5 apply:

$$LE_{y} = P_{CO2,PJ,y} * W_{non-conv}$$
(17)

$$W_{non-conv} = \sum_{n} W_{n,z}$$
, where *n* corresponds to plants using other technologies than the conventional CO<sub>2</sub> production process (18)

Where:

 $\begin{array}{ll} P_{CO2,PJ,y} & \text{Amount of } CO_2 \text{ produced at the project } CO_2 \text{ production facility in year } y, (tCO_2) \\ w_{non-conv} & \text{Share of } CO_2 \text{ produced by } CO_2 \text{ production facilities using other technologies than the conventional process in year z preceding the start of the project activity.} \end{array}$ 

### **Emission Reductions**

Emission reductions for any given year of the crediting period can be obtained by subtracting project and leakage emissions from baseline emissions:

$$ER_{y} = BE_{y} - PE_{y} - LE_{y}$$
(19)

Where:

$ER_y$	Emissions reductions of the project activity during the year $y$ , (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/year)
$BE_{y}$	Baseline emissions during the year $y$ , (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/year)
$PE_{v}$	Project emissions during the year $y$ , (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/year)
LEy	Leakage emissions during the year $y$ , (tCO <sub>2</sub> e/year)





AM0063 / Version 01 Sectoral Scope: 05 EB 36

In case of scenario 1, baseline scenario C2 and case A (under baseline emissions), emission reductions can only be claimed as long as (a) the existing conventional  $CO_2$  production plant is operating and (b) has not reached the end of its technical lifetime.

In case of scenario 1, baseline scenario C2 and case B (under baseline emissions), emission reductions can only be claimed as long as the existing conventional  $CO_2$  production plant would not have reached the end of its technical lifetime.

# Changes required for methodology implementation in 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> crediting periods

Consistent with guidance by the Executive Board, project participants shall assess the continued validity of the identified baseline scenarios and update the baseline parameters. Similarly, the benchmark for the production of  $CO_2$  should be updated at the renewable of the crediting period.

Parameter:	V <sub>TG, x</sub>
Data unit:	m <sup>3</sup>
Description:	Average volume of tail gas generated in the industrial facility during three years prior to the implementation of the project activity
Source of data:	Historical records
Measurement	Flow meter totalizer data (to be obtained from industrial facility process unit
procedures (if any):	control system records)
Any comment:	Applied to calculate $BE_{IND,y}$ . Tail gas flow meters shall be calibrated according to the suppliers' specifications and following the industrial facility QA/QC procedures. In order to comply with the applicability conditions DOEs should verify records or evidence of the generation of the tail gas in accordance with the years the industrial facility has been in operation as well as its use (i.e. fuel purposes or flared)
Parameter.	Western TC -

### Data and parameters not monitored

Parameter:	W <sub>carbon, TG, x</sub>
Data unit:	tC/m <sup>3</sup>
Description:	Carbon content in tail gas stream generated in the industrial facility during three
	years prior to the implementation of the project activity.
Source of data:	Historical records
Measurement	Chemical analysis (e.g. gas chromatograph)
procedures (if any):	
Any comment:	Applied to verify compliance with the applicability conditions. Gas analyzing
	equipment shall be calibrated according to the suppliers' specifications and
	following the industrial facility QA/QC procedures.



Parameter:	EC <sub>CO2,BL,</sub> grid, x
Data unit:	MWh
Description:	Electricity consumption from the grid at the baseline CO <sub>2</sub> production facility
	during period x
Source of data:	Plant historical data
Measurement	As per electricity supplier standard measurements, supporting documents and
procedures (if any):	plant records
Any comment:	Applied in equation for estimation of BE <sub>CO2,BL,x</sub>

Parameter:	EF <sub>CO2, grid, x</sub>
Data unit:	tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh
Description:	Grid emission factor for the period x
Source of data:	Calculated in accordance with the latest approved version of the "Tool to calculate
	the emission factor for an electricity system"
Measurement	None
procedures (if any):	
Any comment:	Applied in equation for estimation of BE <sub>CO2,BL, x</sub>

Parameter:	FC <sub>C02,BL,i,x</sub>
Data unit:	t
Description:	Amount of fuel type i combusted at the baseline CO <sub>2</sub> production facility during period x
Service of teter	1
Source of data:	Plant historical data
Measurement	As per fuel supplier standard measurements, supporting documents and plant
procedures (if any):	records
Any comment:	Applied in equation for estimation of BE <sub>CO2,BL, x</sub>

Parameter:	EF <sub>CO2,i,x</sub>
Data unit:	tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ
Description:	Fuel emission factor for the period x (per fuel type)
Source of data:	The source of data should be the following, in order of preference: project specific data, country specific data or IPCC default values. As per guidance from the Board, IPCC default values should be used only when country or project specific data are not available or difficult to obtain.
Measurement	None
Any comment:	Applied in equation for estimation of $BE_{CO2 BL x}$
procedures (if any):	Board, IPCC default values should be used only when country or project specific data are not available or difficult to obtain.

Parameter:	EC <sub>CO2,grid,n,z</sub>
Data unit:	MWh
Description:	Electricity consumption from the grid at the $CO_2$ production facility <i>n</i> in year <i>z</i>
Source of data:	Plant historical data (benchmark analysis data)
Measurement	As per electricity supplier standard measurements, supporting documents and
procedures (if any):	plant records
Any comment:	Applied to calculate BE <sub>CO2,BL,n,z</sub>



Parameter:	EF <sub>CO2, grid, n,z</sub>
Data unit:	tCO <sub>2</sub> /MWh
Description:	Grid emission factor for the electricity used at the $CO_2$ production facility <i>n</i> in
	year z
Source of data:	Calculated in accordance with the latest approved version of ACM0002
Measurement	None
procedures (if any):	
Any comment:	Applied to calculate BE <sub>CO2,BL,n,z.</sub>

Parameter:	FC <sub>C02,i,n,z</sub>
Data unit:	t
Description:	Amount of fuel type i combusted at the $CO_2$ production facility <i>n</i> in year <i>z</i> (per
	fuel type)
Source of data:	Plant historical data (benchmark analysis data)
Measurement	As per fuel supplier standard measurements, supporting documents and plant
procedures (if any):	records
Any comment:	Applied to calculate BE <sub>CO2,BL,n,z.</sub>

EF <sub>CO2,i,n,z</sub>
tCO <sub>2</sub> /TJ
Fuel emission factor for the fuel type i at the $CO_2$ production facility <i>n</i> in year <i>z</i>
(per fuel type)
The source of data should be the following, in order of preference: project specific
data, country specific data or IPCC default values. As per guidance from the
Board, IPCC default values should be used only when country or project specific
data are not available or difficult to obtain.
None
Applied to calculate BE <sub>CO2,BL,n,z.</sub> IPCC guidelines/Good practice guidance provide
for default values where local data are not available.

Parameter:	P <sub>CO2, BL, x</sub>
Data unit:	tCO <sub>2</sub>
Description:	Amount of CO <sub>2</sub> produced at the existing CO <sub>2</sub> production facility during period x
	(applied in the estimation of the $BE_{CO2,BL,x}$ )
Source of data:	Plant historical data
Measurement	CO <sub>2</sub> production facility methods (volumetric and weight measurements and
procedures (if any):	product inventory records)
Any comment:	Applied in the estimation of the BE <sub>CO2,BL, x</sub>



Parameter:	$P_{CO2, x}$
Data unit:	tCO <sub>2</sub>
Description:	Quantity of CO <sub>2</sub> produced in the existing conventional CO <sub>2</sub> production facility in
	year $x$ (tCO <sub>2</sub> /yr)
Source of data:	Plant historical data
Measurement	CO <sub>2</sub> production facility methods (volumetric and weight measurements and
procedures (if any):	product inventory records)
Any comment:	Year <i>x</i> is the year preceding the implementation of the project activity

Parameter:	P <sub>CO2, n,z</sub>
Data unit:	tCO <sub>2</sub>
Description:	Quantity of CO <sub>2</sub> produced at the CO <sub>2</sub> production facility <i>n</i> in year $z$
Source of data:	Plant historical data
Measurement	CO <sub>2</sub> production facility methods (volumetric and weight measurements and
procedures (if any):	product inventory records)
Any comment:	Applied to calculate BE <sub>CO2,BL,n,z.</sub>

Parameter:	NCV (i,x), (i,z), (OG,y), (TG,x)
Data unit:	Joules / mass or volume unit
Description:	Net calorific value of fossil fuel type <i>i</i> in the period <i>x</i>
	Net calorific value of fossil fuel type <i>i</i> in year <i>z</i>
	Net calorific value of off gas in year y
	Net calorific value of tail gas in the period x
Source of data:	Preferably invoices from fuel supplier or use accurate and reliable local or national
	data where available. Where such local or national data are not available, IPCC
	default emission factors (country-specific, if available) may be used if they are
	deemed to reasonably represent local circumstances
Measurement	Use mass or volume meters. Measurements according to best international
procedures (if any):	practices
Any comment:	i = index for fossil fuel type. $x =$ index for three year period prior to the start of the
	project. $z =$ index for the year before the start of the project activity or the most
	recent year for which data are available. $y =$ index for the project year. The NCV
	for period x should be calculated as the average.

Parameter:	EF <sub>coal,y</sub>
Data unit:	t CO <sub>2</sub> /GJ
Description:	CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor of coal in year y
Source of data:	The source of data should be the following, in order of preference: project specific data, country specific data or IPCC default values. As per guidance from the Board, IPCC default values should be used only when country or project specific data are not available or difficult to obtain.
Measurement	none
procedures (if any):	
Any comment:	



Parameter:	GWP <sub>CH4</sub>
Data unit:	tCO <sub>2</sub> e/tCH <sub>4</sub>
Description:	Global Warming Potential for methane
Source of data:	IPCC
Measurement	21 for the first commitment period, shall be updated according to any future COP /
procedures (if any):	MOP decisions
Any comment:	None

ID Number:	18
Parameter:	SALES,x
Data unit:	Tons of CO <sub>2</sub>
Description:	CO <sub>2</sub> actually sold and delivered to customers before the start of the project
	activity. These records should include inter alia:
	Amount purchased
	Contact information of customers
	Type of customer according to end use of the $CO_2$
	Date of delivery
Source of data:	Management records. Historical records from three years prior to the start of the
	project activity
Measurement	None (from archives).
procedures (if any):	
Any comment:	Applied to verify compliance with the applicability conditions. These data have to
	be collected in order to ensure that the production of $CO_2$ is justified by a real
	demand in the market. The end use of the product should not differ significantly
	after the start of the project activity implementation.

### **III. MONITORING METHODOLOGY**

### **Monitoring procedures**

The monitoring methodology involves monitoring of the following:

- The composition and quantity of tail gas produced by the industrial facility process unit;
- The quantity and carbon intensity of any additional energy source used for transportation purposes;
- The composition and quantity of off gas returned to the industrial facility from the project CO<sub>2</sub> production facility;
- The quantity and carbon intensity of any energy source used at the project CO<sub>2</sub> production facility and for off gas transportation purposes;
- Any fugitive emissions of methane or CO<sub>2</sub> at the project CO<sub>2</sub> production facility;
- Any fugitive emissions of methane or CO<sub>2</sub> along the tail gas and off gas transportation pipeline system (including those from accidental events).

### Project emissions:

All the procedures to monitor and measure parameters needed to calculate project emissions shall be integrated into the plant quality control process, according to the plant quality certifications. The monitoring frequency varies from one parameter to another according to their variability grade over time



AM0063 / Version 01 Sectoral Scope: 05 EB 36

and their importance in the project emissions calculations. All the measurements shall be done with meters calibrated according to the suppliers' specifications and following the facility QA/QC procedures. All data collected as part of monitoring should be archived electronically and be kept at least for 2 years after the end of the last crediting period.

For guidance on the monitoring of project emissions from consumption of electricity and fossil fuels please refer to the latest approved versions of the "Tool to calculate project emissions from electricity consumption" and the "Tool to calculate project or leakage CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel combustion".

### Data and parameters monitored

Data / Parameter:	V <sub>TG, y</sub>
Data unit:	m <sup>3</sup>
Description:	Volume of tail gas delivered to the project CO <sub>2</sub> production facility in year y
Source of data:	Flow meter totalizer data (to be obtained from industrial facility process unit control system records)
Measurement	Data is to be measured using accurate and calibrated flow meters.
procedures (if any):	Measurements shall be taken at the point where tail gas enters the pipeline to
	transport it to the tail gas recovery CO <sub>2</sub> production facility
Monitoring frequency:	Continuous
QA/QC procedures:	Tail gas flow meters shall be calibrated according to the suppliers' specifications
	and following the industrial facility QA/QC procedures.
Any comment:	Applied calculate BE <sub>IND,y</sub> . Data will be kept for two years following the end of
	the last crediting period

Data / Parameter:	V <sub>OG, y</sub>
Data unit:	$m^3$
Description:	Volume of off gas combusted at the industrial facility during year $y$ , (m <sup>3</sup> )
Source of data:	Flow meter totalizer data (to be obtained from industrial facility process unit
	control system records)
Measurement	Data is to be measured using accurate and calibrated flow meters.
procedures (if any):	Measurements shall be taken at the point where tail gas enters the pipeline to
	transport it to the tail gas recovery CO <sub>2</sub> production facility
Monitoring frequency:	Continuous
QA/QC procedures:	Tail gas flow meters shall be calibrated according to the suppliers' specifications
	and following the industrial facility QA/QC procedures.
Any comment:	Applied calculate PE <sub>IND,y</sub> . Data will be kept for two years following the end of
	the last crediting period

Data / Parameter:	W <sub>carbon, TG, y</sub>
Data unit:	tC/m <sup>3</sup>
Description:	Carbon content in tail gas stream
Source of data:	Chemical analysis (e.g. gas chromatography)
Measurement	Analysis can give direct results or data to estimate tail gas carbon content
procedures (if any):	
Monitoring frequency:	Weekly



QA/QC procedures:	Gas analyzing equipment shall be calibrated according to the suppliers'
	specifications and following the industrial facility QA/QC procedures.
Any comment:	Applied to calculate BE <sub>IND,y</sub> . Data will be kept for two years following the end of
	the last crediting period

Data / Parameter:	W <sub>carbon, OG, y</sub>	
Data unit:	tC/m <sup>3</sup>	
Description:	Carbon content in off gas stream	
Source of data:	Chemical analysis (e.g. gas chromatography)	
Measurement	Analysis can give direct results or data to estimate tail gas carbon content	
procedures (if any):		
Monitoring frequency:	Weekly	
QA/QC procedures:	Gas analyzing equipment shall be calibrated according to the suppliers'	
	specifications and following the industrial facility QA/QC procedures.	
Any comment:	Applied to calculate PE <sub>IND,y</sub> . Data will be kept for two years following the end of	
	the last crediting period	

Data / Parameter:	P <sub>CO2</sub> , p <sub>J,y</sub>	
Data unit:	tCO <sub>2</sub>	
Description:	$CO_2$ produced at the project $CO_2$ production facility in year y	
Source of data:	Control system or plant inventory records	
Measurement	Level or weight metering tanks and truck scales	
procedures (if any):		
Monitoring frequency:	Daily	
QA/QC procedures:	Level or weight metering equipment shall be calibrated according to the	
	suppliers' specifications and following the tail gas recovery CO <sub>2</sub> producer	
	QA/QC procedures	
Any comment:	Applied in the calculation of $BE_{CO2,y}$ . Data will be kept for two years following	
	the end of the last crediting period	

Data / Parameter:	P <sub>CO2,exist plant,y</sub>	
Data unit:	tCO <sub>2</sub>	
Description:	Quantity of CO <sub>2</sub> produced in the existing conventional CO <sub>2</sub> production facility in	
	year $y$ (tCO <sub>2</sub> /yr)	
Source of data:	Control system or plant inventory records	
Measurement	Level or weight metering tanks and truck scales	
procedures (if any):		
Monitoring frequency:	Daily	
QA/QC procedures:	Level or weight metering equipment shall be calibrated according to the	
	suppliers' specifications and following the tail gas recovery CO <sub>2</sub> producer	
	QA/QC procedures	
Any comment:	Applied to determine $P_{CO2,MAX,y}$ . Data will be kept for two years following the	
	end of the last crediting period	





Data / Parameter:	V <sub>OG, y</sub>	
Data unit:	m <sup>3</sup>	
Description:	Volume of off gas combusted at the industrial facility during year y(applied to	
	calculate PE <sub>IND,OG,y</sub> )	
Source of data:	Flow meter totalizer data (to be obtained from industrial facility process unit	
	control system records)	
Measurement	Data is to be measured using accurate and calibrated flow meters.	
procedures (if any):	Measurements shall be taken at the point where off- gas enters the burners at the	
	industrial facility process unit.	
Monitoring frequency:	Continuous	
QA/QC procedures:	Off gas flow meters shall be calibrated according to the suppliers' specifications	
	and following the industrial facility QA/QC procedures.	
Any comment:	Data will be kept for two years following the end of the last crediting period	

Data / Parameter:	W <sub>carbon, OG, y</sub>	
Data unit:	tC/m <sup>3</sup>	
Description:	Average carbon content of off gas in year y (applied to calculate $PE_{IND,OG,y}$ )	
Source of data:	Chemical analysis (e.g. gas chromatography)	
Measurement	Analysis can give direct results or data to estimate tail gas carbon content	
procedures (if any):		
Monitoring frequency:	Weekly	
QA/QC procedures:	Gas analyzing equipment shall be calibrated according to the suppliers'	
	specifications and following the industrial facility QA/QC procedures.	
Any comment:	Data will be kept for two years following the end of the last crediting period	

Data / Parameter:	SALES,y	
Data unit:	Tons of CO <sub>2</sub>	
Description:	CO <sub>2</sub> actually sold and delivered to customers after the start of the project activity.	
_	These records should include inter alia:	
	Amount purchased	
	Contact information of customers	
	Type of customer according end use of the CO <sub>2</sub>	
	Date of delivery	
Source of data:	Management records	
Measurement	Audits	
procedures (if any):		
Monitoring frequency:	Monthly	
QA/QC procedures:	Cross cutting checks against sales records and deliveries receipts	
Any comment:	These data have to be collected in order to ensure that the production of $CO_2$ is	
	justified by a real demand in the market. The end use of the product should not	
	differ significantly from the baseline use. Data will be kept for two years	
	following the end of the last crediting period	

-.-.-



AM0063 / Version 01 Sectoral Scope: 05 EB 36

### History of the document

Version	Date	Nature of revision
01	EB 36, Annex 4	Initial adoption
	30 November 2007	