

CDM - Executive Board

page 1

CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM PROPOSED NEW BASELINE AND MONITORING METHODOLOGIES (CDM-NM)

(Version 02)

CONTENTS

Section I. Summary and applicability of the baseline and monitoring methodology

- 1. Methodology title (for baseline and monitoring)
- 2. Selected <u>baseline approach</u>
- 3. Applicability conditions
- 4. Summary description of major baseline and monitoring methodological steps

Section II. Baseline methodology description

- 1. Project boundary
- 2. Procedure for selection of the most plausible baseline scenario
- 3. Additionality
- 4. Baseline emissions
- 5. Project emissions
- 6. Leakage
- 7. Emissions reductions
- 8. Changes required for methodology implementation in 2nd and 3rd crediting periods
- 9. Data and parameters not monitored

Section III: Monitoring methodology description

- 1. Monitoring procedures
- 2. Data and parameters monitored

Section IV: References and other information

CÓM – Executive Board

page 2

Section I. Summary and applicability of the baseline and monitoring methodology

1. Methodology title (for <u>baseline</u> and <u>monitoring</u>)

>>

If this methodology is based on a previous submission or an approved methodology, please state the reference numbers (NMXXXX/AMXXXX/ACMXXXX) here. Explain briefly the main differences and their rationale.

>>

Meth Panel Recommendation: (TO BE COMPLETED BY METH PANEL)

Final/preliminary/draft (delete as appropriate) recommendation by the Meth Panel

a. To approve this proposed methodology with minor changes.
 Minor changes required:

OR

Not to approve the proposed methodology
 Reasons for non-approval:

Relationship with approved or pending baseline and monitoring methodologies (if applicable).

- a) Does the proposed new methodology include part of an already-approved methodology or a methodology pending approval (see recent EB reports)? If so, please briefly note the relevant methodology reference numbers (AMXXXX or ACMXXXX), titles, and parts included.
- b) In particular, is the proposed new methodology largely an amendment or extension of an approved methodology? (i.e. the methodology largely consists of expanding an approved methodology to cover additional project contexts, applicability conditions, etc., and is thus largely comprised of text from an existing approved methodology) If so, indicate whether the amendments or extensions are appropriate, and explain why.
- c) Indicate whether, and explain how, any other approved methodology (not noted in response to the previous question) could currently, or with minor modifications, be used to calculate emission reductions from the project activity associated with the proposed new methodology. If so, please indicate the reference number and the parts of the methodology that would need modification.
- d) Please briefly note any significant differences or inconsistencies (baseline emission calculations, leakage methods, and boundary definitions, etc.) between the proposed new methodology and already-approved methodology of similar scope.
- e) To avoid potential repetition, feel free to provide one comprehensive answer here that covers questions a through d.



CDM - Executive Board

page 3

2. Selected <u>baseline approach</u> from paragraph 48 of the CDM modalities and procedures					
 Existing actual or historical emissions, as applicable; Emissions from a technology that represents an economically attractive course of action, taking into account barriers to investment; The average emissions of similar project activities undertaken in the previous five years, in similar social, economic, environmental and technological circumstances, and whose performance is among the top 20 per cent of their category. 					
Explanation/justification of choice:					
>>					
Meth Panel Recommendation: TO BE COMPLETED BY METH PANEL					
State whether the baseline approach selected is appropriate, and why.					
3. Applicability conditions					
Methodology procedure:					
>>					
Explanation/justification:					
>>					
Meth Panel Recommendation: TO BE COMPLETED BY METH PANEL					
Explain whether the proposed applicability conditions are appropriate and adequate. If not, explain the changes required:					
4. Summary description of major baseline and monitoring methodological steps					
a Rasalina mathadalagy:					
a. Baseline methodology: >>					
b. Monitoring methodology:					
>>					

Meth Panel Recommendation: TO BE COMPLETED BY METH PANEL



CDM – Executive Board

page 4

- a) Key Assumptions:
 - a. List the implicit and explicit key assumptions and rationale for the methodology:
 - b. Give your expert judgement on whether the assumptions are adequate. Identify those, if any, which are problematic and outline required changes:
- b) Provide an assessment of uncertainties given (e.g. in determining baseline scenario, data sources, key assumptions)
- c) Transparency, "conservativeness" and consistency
 - a. Explain whether the methodology has been described in an adequate and transparent manner. If not, outline required changes:
 - b. Explain whether the methodology is conservative, and if so, how:
- d) State whether the proposed methodology is appropriate for the referred proposed project activity and the referred project context (described in Sections A C of the draft CDM-PDD and submitted along with CDM-NM). If not, explain why:

>>

CDM - Executive Board

1. Project boundary

Methodology procedure:

Methodology procedure:

Explanation/justification:

Section II. Baseline methodology description

page 5

	Source	Gas	Included?	Justification / Explanation
	e.g. Boiler Fuel Use	CO_2		
		CH_4		
		N_2O		
Baseline		CO_2		
		CH_4		
2		N_2O		
		CO_2		
		CH_4		
		N_2O		
. A		CO_2		
		CH_4		
Project Activity		N_2O		
i S		CO_2		
₹		CH ₄		
		N ₂ O		

2. Procedure for selection of the most plausible baseline scenario

Meth Panel Recommendation: TO BE COMPLETED BY METH PANEL



6. Leakage

CÓM – Executive Board

page 6

a)	Explain whether the methodological basis for determining the baseline scenario is appropriate and
	adequate. If not, outline required changes:

b) Explain whether the application of the methodology could result in a baseline scenario that reasonably represents the anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity.

3. Additionality
Methodology procedure:
>>
Explanation/justification:
>>
Meth Panel Recommendation: TO BE COMPLETED BY METH PANEL
Explain whether the basis for assessing additionality is appropriate and adequate. If not, outline required
<mark>changes:</mark>
4. Baseline emissions
Methodology procedure:
>>
Explanation/justification:
>>
Meth Panel Recommendation: TO BE COMPLETED BY METH PANEL
Explain whether the basis for calculating baseline emissions is appropriate and adequate. If not, outline
<mark>required changes:</mark>
5. Project emissions
Methodology procedure:
>>
Explanation/justification:
>>
Meth Panel Recommendation: TO BE COMPLETED BY METH PANEL
Explain whether the basis for calculating project emissions is appropriate and adequate. If not, outline
required changes:



CDM – Executive Board

page 7

Methodology procedure:
>>
Explanation/justification:
>>
Meth Panel Recommendation: TO BE COMPLETED BY METH PANEL
Indicate whether the treatment for leakage is appropriate and adequate. If not, outline required
changes:
7. Emission reductions
Methodology procedure:
>>
Explanation/justification:
>>
Meth Panel Recommendation: TO BE COMPLETED BY METH PANEL
8. Changes required for methodology implementation in 2 nd and 3 rd crediting periods
S. T.
Methodology procedure:
>>
Explanation/justification:
>>
Meth Panel Recommendation: TO BE COMPLETED BY METH PANEL
Neth Later Recommendation, TO BE COMMEDIAD BY METH LANGE
If relevant, state whether the proposed changes required for the methodology implementation on 2nd and 3rd
crediting periods are appropriate.
9. Data and parameters not monitored
•
Methodology procedure:
>>
(Copy this table for each data or parameter)
ID Number:
Parameter:
Data unit:
Description:
Source of data:
Measurement
procedures (if any):



CÓM – Executive Board



Explanation/justification	on:				
>>					
Meth Panel Recommen	ndation: TO BE COMPLETED BY METH PANEL				
	f data recommended (in relation to the duration of the project crediting period) and if data is appropriate, indicating the period covered by the data. If not, outline				
	gement on whether the data and the measurement procedures (if any) used are accurate and reliable. Identify those, if any, which are problematic and outline				
c) State possible data gaps:					
Section III: Monitoring methodology description					
1. Monitoring procedu	ires				
Methodology procedur	re:				
>>					
Explanation/justification	on:				
>>					
Meth Panel Recommendation: TO BE COMPLETED BY METH PANEL					
2. Data and parameter	rs monitored				
2. Duta and parameter	S Montoreu				
(Copy this table for each	n parameter)				
Data / Parameter:					
Data unit:					
Description:					
Source of data:					
Measurement					
procedures (if any):					
Monitoring frequency:					
QA/QC procedures:					
Any comment:					
Evnlanation/justification	on•				

Meth Panel Recommendation: TO BE COMPLETED BY METH PANEL



CDM – Executive Board

page 9

- a) Give your expert judgement on whether the data sources and measurement procedures (if any) used are adequate, consistent, accurate and reliable. If not, outline required changes:
- b) Give your expert judgement on whether the monitoring frequency for the data and parameters is appropriate. If not, outline required changes:
- c) Give your expert judgement on whether the QA/QC procedures are appropriate. If not, outline required changes:
- **d**) *State possible data gaps:*

Section IV: References and other information

>>
