

CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM SIMPLIFIED PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT FOR SMALL-SCALE PROJECT ACTIVITIES (SSC-CDM-PDD) Version 02

CONTENTS

- A. General description of the <u>small-scale project activity</u>
- B. Baseline methodology
- C. Duration of the project activity / <u>Crediting period</u>
- D. <u>Monitoring methodology</u> and plan
- E. Calculation of GHG emission reductions by sources
- F. Environmental impacts
- G. Stakeholders comments

Annexes

- Annex 1: Information on participants in the project activity
- Annex 2: Information regarding public funding
- Annex 3: Baseline information



Revision history of this document

Version Number	Date	Description and reason of revision
01	21 January 2003	Initial adoption
02	8 July 2005	• The Board agreed to revise the CDM SSC PDD to reflect guidance and clarifications provided by the Board since version 01 of this document.
		 As a consequence, the guidelines for completing CDM SSC PDD have been revised accordingly to version 2. The latest version can be found at <<u>http://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Documents></u>.



SECTION A. General description of the small-scale project activity

A.1. Title of the <u>small-scale</u> project activity:

>>

JOSAPAR Pelotas Biomass Electricity Generation Project

Version 6 Date: 30/03/2007

A.2. Description of the small-scale project activity:

>>

Purpose

The JOSAPAR Pelotas Biomass Electricity Generation Project, developed by JOSAPAR, is a project for installation in the Pelotas city, Rio Grande do Sul state, Brazil. JOSAPAR is a rice mill company, of which the core business is the production of paddy and parboiled rice to internal and exporting markets. JOSAPAR is placed 2nd company in the ranking of rice companies in Brazil (Brazilian Rice Year Book 2005, pg. 59)¹.

The project eliminates JOSAPAR's electricity demand from the grid, will sell the small surplus generated electricity to the grid and provide process steam to the rice mill.

Project description

The main activity in the region where the project will be located is rice production and industrialization. Rice mills generate huge amounts of biomass residues (rice husks), and the Brazilian and local state legislation prohibits the unlicensed displacement and/or uncontrolled burning of rice husks, and restricts the land filling of it, allowing the displacement only in previously licensed areas. As a result, the rice mills have huge amounts of biomass that are left for decay.

The JOSAPAR project will be the solution for the high costs associated to electricity consumption in rice production. A better quality and control of the steam supplied to the process is targeted with the project implementation.

The JOSAPAR's project consists of a turn-key biomass electricity co-generation unit, with 8 MWe and 17.6 $MW_{thermal}$ of installed capacity using only rice husks as fuel, complying with all the JOSAPAR's demand and exporting the surplus power to the grid. With this new thermal power plant, JOSAPAR will deactivate the old boiler used only to produce process steam. This old boiler already uses biomass as fuel but it does not generate electricity.

The only biomass that JOSAPAR is going to use are its own rice mill residues as fuel for the boiler. The amount of biomass used by third suppliers is null, once the company doesn't depend on external sources

¹ Rosa, Gilson R. Da Et. Al., <u>Anuário Brasileiro do Arroz 2005</u>, Gazeta Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz do Sul, Brasil, 2005, pg 59



of biomass to maintain the power plant operational. Internal transportation of the fuel is facilitated by electrical screws, conveyors and elevators.

At the present time a considerable amount of surplus rice husks of the company is sold for other companies in the region, which is used at other companies' boilers. The project activity avoids the emissions related to the transport of 22 trucks of rice husks per day, but causes emissions related to a much smaller number of trucks for ash removal.

Contribution of the project to sustainable development

The project is promoting sustainable development to the Host Country, providing:

- Increases in employment in the area where the plant is located;
- Diversification in the sources of electricity generation;
- Uses of clean and efficient technologies, and conserving natural resources, thus the project will be meeting the Agenda 21 and Sustainable Development Criteria of Brazil;
- Actions as a clean technology demonstration project, encouraging development of modern and more efficient generation of electricity and thermal energy using biomass fuel throughout the Country;
- Optimisation in the use of natural resources, avoid new uncontrolled waste disposal places, using a large amount of rice residues from region.

A.3. Project participants:

>>

Name of Party involved (*) ((host) indicates a host Party)	Private and/or public entity(ies) project participants (*) (as applicable)	Kindly indicate if the Party involved wishes to be considered as project participant (Yes/No)
Brazil (host)	JOSAPAR – Joaquim Oliveira	No
	Participações S.A.	
Brazil (host)	PTZ Bioenergy Fontes	No
	Alternativas de Energia Indústria,	
	Comércio e Serviços Ltda.	
The Netherlands	Bioheat International B.V.	No

(*)In accordance with the CDM modalities and procedures, at the time of making the CDM-PDD public at the stage of validation, a Party involved may or may not have provided its approval. At the time of requesting registration, the approval by the Party(ies) involved is required.

A.4. Technical description of the <u>small-scale project activity</u>:

A.4.1. Location of the <u>small-scale project activity</u>:

A.4.1.1. Host Party(ies):

>>

Brazil



A.4.1.2. Region/State/Province etc.:

>>

Rio Grande do Sul State

A.4.1.3. City/Town/Community etc:

>>

Pelotas

A.4.1.4. Detail of physical location, including information allowing the unique identification of this <u>small-scale project activity(ies</u>):

>>

JOSAPAR-Pelotas rice mill is located in Pelotas City, in the southern region of Rio Grande do Sul State. Address: BR 116, km 512, 240 km from Porto Alegre, the capital city of the state.

A.4.2. <u>Type and category(ies)</u> and technology of the <u>small-scale project activity</u>:

>>

As per appendix B of the simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities, the project activity falls under the following category:

Type I; Category I.D.: Grid connected renewable electricity generation

Reference: version 10: of Appendix B of the simplified modalities and procedures for small scale CDM project activities.

Justification of how the proposed CDM project adheres to the applicability criteria of the selected project categories.

Type I; Category I.D.: Grid connected renewable electricity generation

Type I project activities are defined as renewable energy project activities with a maximum output capacity equivalent to up to 15 megawatts (or an appropriate equivalent) (decision 17/CP.7, paragraph 6 (c) (i)). The project comprises combustion of renewable rice husks in a biomass boiler for electricity generation. The nominal capacity of the installation is 8,0 MWe, which is below the limit for type I projects.

Use of environmentally sound technologies and transfer of know how

The JOSAPAR project will operate using state of art conventional Rankine steam cycle technology. The combustion will be performed with proven technologies like a high pressured boiler (65 bar). The power plant control will be supervised by a high standard automation set of LPCs and computers.

A condensing steam turbine drives an electrical generator. The energy is managed by control panels and devices that keep a steady condition of voltage, frequency and load. Under current operational conditions, the boiler produces up to 40,000 kg/h of steam at 65 bar and 520°C while it consumes 11.0 t/h of rice husks. The steam feeds a multistage steam condensing turbine at 0.09 bar. Before the turbine



inlet, up to 50% of total steam generated is deviated to process heat. The steam turbine drives a 3 phase synchronous generator producing up to 8,000 kWe at 13,800 V and 60 Hz.

An integration panel allows synchronicity and full load control for the auxiliary power plant services, rice mill and export to the grid. Electricity is sent to the utility distribution lines through a transformer of 13.8 kV. The project already has obtained all necessary licences to be installed and complies with the Brazilian and State environmental standards, mainly regarding to the control flue gas emissions and wastes. The ash from the plant can be sold as a beneficial by-product, however it was not considered in the feasibility study aiming a conservative scenario.

The project uses the above described environmentally safe and sound technology, which leads to replacement of carbon based electricity generation. PTZ Bioenergy Fontes Alternativas de Energia Indústria, Comércio e Serviços Ltda. already has accumulated a large experience in engineering, projecting and constructing power plants at rice industries with conventional high pressure boilers in co-generation, with a similar concept of process engineering. Similar technology has been used by PTZ Bioenergy Fontes Alternativas de Energia Indústria, Comércio e Serviços Ltda. to combust rice husks at the CAMIL rice mill project (2001), a 4.2 MWe power plant in Itaqui-RS, Brazil, and a 3.0 MWe project at the URBANO rice mill Project (1999) in Jaraguá do Sul city, Santa Catarina State, Brazil, differing only in the equipment's scale.

A.4.3. Brief explanation of how the anthropogenic emissions of anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHGs) by sources are to be reduced by the proposed <u>small-scale project activity</u>, including why the emission reductions would not occur in the absence of the proposed <u>small-scale project activity</u>, taking into account national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances:

>>

The proposed small-scale project activity reduces carbon emissions by replacement of fossil fuel based electricity generation.

In absence of the project activity, carbon emissions from fossil fuel based electricity generation would have occurred.

Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina States are the only two states in Brazil that use coal fired thermal power plants complementing the energy demand in the integrated electrical south-southeast-midwest Brazilian grid. By the replacement of power from the grid and by supply of electricity to the grid, carbon emissions from the coal combustion in electricity plants is avoided. The grid emission factor was calculated in a transparent way, using the most recent data from ONS², Eletrobrás³ and ANEEL⁴ corresponding to the south-southeast-midwest Brazilian interconnected Electrical System. The grid emission factor obtained was 0.248 tonnes of CO₂/MWh.

² Operador Nacional do Sistema Elétrico (www.ons.org.br)

³ Eletrobrás – Sistemas Interligados, Acompanhamento de Combustíveis; (<u>www.eletrobras.gov.br</u>)

⁴ Agência Nacional de Energia Elétrica - Banco de Informações de Geração (www.aneel.gov.br)



A.4.3.1 Estimated amount of emission reductions over the chosen <u>crediting period</u>:

Table 1: Net emission reduction	by the grid connected renewable
electricity generation (tonnes CO ₂ eq	uivalent per year)
Year	Net emission reduction

1 eai	Net emission reduction
1 Apr - 31 Dec 2008	7,998
2009	10,664
2010	10,664
2011	10,664
2012	10,664
2013	10,664
2014	10,664
1 Jan - 31 Mar 2015	2,666
Total estimated reductions	74,648
Total number of crediting years	7
Annual average over the first	
crediting period of estimated	10,664
reductions (tonnes of CO ₂ e)	

A.4.4. Public funding of the <u>small-scale project activity</u>:

>>

There has been no public funding to the project.

A.4.5. Confirmation that the <u>small-scale project activity</u> is not a <u>debundled</u> component of a larger project activity:

>>

According to paragraph 2 of Appendix C to the Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small-Scale CDM project activities, a small-scale project is considered a debundled component of a large project activity if there is a registered small-scale activity or an application to register another small-scale activity:

- With the same project participants;
- In the same project category and technology/measure; and
- Registered within the previous 2 years; and
- Whose project boundary is within 1 km of the project boundary of the proposed small-scale activity at the closest point.

There is no other small-scale activity that meets the above mentioned criteria. Accordingly, the proposed project activity is not a debundled component of a larger project activity.

SECTION B. Application of a <u>baseline methodology</u>:

B.1. Title and reference of the <u>approved baseline methodology</u> applied to the <u>small-scale project</u> <u>activity:</u>

>>

Type I; Category I.D.: Grid connected renewable electricity generation

Reference: Appendix B of the simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activity (version 09: 12 May 2006).

B.2 Project category applicable to the small-scale project activity:

>>

The applicability criteria of the Category I.D. 'Grid connected renewable electricity generation' are: <u>Technology/measure</u>

1. This category comprises renewable energy generation units, such as photovoltaics, hydro, tidal/wave, wind, geothermal, and renewable biomass, that supply electricity to and/or displace electricity from an electricity distribution system that is or would have been supplied by at least one fossil fuel fired generating unit.

2. If the unit added has both renewable and non-renewable components (e.g., a wind/diesel unit), the eligibility limit of 15MW for a small-scale CDM project activity applies only to the renewable component. If the unit added co-fires fossil fuel, the capacity of the entire unit shall not exceed the limit of 15MW.

3. Biomass combined heat and power (co-generation) systems that supply electricity to and/or displace electricity from a grid are included in this category. To qualify under this category, the sum of all forms of energy output shall not exceed 45 MW_{thermal}. E.g., for a biomass based co-generating system the rating for all the boilers combined shall not exceed 45 MW_{thermal}.

4. In the case of project activities that involve the addition of renewable energy generation units at an existing renewable power generation facility, the added capacity of the units added by the project should be lower than 15 MW and should be physically distinct¹ from the existing units.

5. Project activities that seek to retrofit or modify an existing facility for renewable energy generation are included in this category. To qualify as a small scale project, the total output of the modified or retrofitted unit shall not exceed the limit of 15 MW.

¹ Physically distinct units are those that are capable of generating electricity without the operation of existing units, and that do not directly affect the mechanical, thermal, or electrical characteristics of the existing facility. For example, the addition of a steam turbine to an existing combustion turbine to create a combined cycle unit would not be considered "physically distinct".

The project conforms to the above mentioned conditions in the following ways:

Ad. 1. The project comprises the use of rice husks, which is a renewable biomass to be used to supply electricity to and/or displace electricity from the south-southeast-midwest Brazilian electricity distribution system. Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina States are the only two states in Brazil who presents coal fired power plants complementing the energy demand in the integrated electrical south-southeast-midwest Brazilian grid. Thus the project activity replaces the use of at least one fossil fuel. Ad. 2. The unit uses only rice husks, which is renewable biomass.

Ad. 3. The plant has a maximum output of heat (17.6 MWthermal) and power (8.0 MWelectrical). The sum of these outputs is below the limit of 45 MWthermal.



Ad. 4. The biomass power plant is the first one to be installed in JOSAPAR. The maximum output power of 8.0 MWe is below the limit of 15 MW established to be qualified as a small scale CDM project activity.

Ad. 5. The project is not a retrofitted or modified facility. The biomass power plant will be a new facility that will produce a maximum of 8.0 MWe that is below the limit of 15 MW.

It is concluded that category AMS I.D. is applicable to the small-scale project activity.

Assumptions of the baseline methodology

To estimate the baseline emissions related to grid connected renewable electricity generation the baseline calculations as indicated under category I.D. of Appendix B are applied. The combined margin (CM), consisting of the combination of operating margin (OM) and build margin (BM), was calculated according to the procedures prescribed in the approved methodology ACM0002. The option for the ex-ante estimation of the Simple Adjusted OM and the Build Margin (BM) was choose.

B.3. Description of how the anthropogenic emissions of GHG by sources are reduced below those that would have occurred in the absence of the registered <u>small-scale</u> CDM <u>project activity</u>:

>>

Attachment A to Appendix B indicated that project participants shall provide an explanation to show that the project activity would not have occurred anyway due to at least one of the following barriers:

- (a) Investment barrier: a financially more viable alternative to the project activity would have led to higher emissions;
- (b) Technological barrier: a less technologically advanced alternative to the project activity involves lower risks due to the performance uncertainty or low market share of the new technology adopted for the project activity and so would have led to higher emissions;
- (c) Barrier due to prevailing practice: prevailing practice or existing regulatory or policy requirements would have led to implementation of a technology with higher emissions;
- (d) Other barriers: without the project activity, for another specific reason identified by the project participant, such as institutional barriers or limited information, managerial resources, organizational capacity, financial resources, or capacity to absorb new technologies, emissions would have been higher.

The first step in the process is to list the likely future scenarios. Two scenarios were considered:

Scenario 1 - Continuation of current activities

This scenario represents continuation of the current practices. No electricity is produced with rice husks, consequently all needed -fossil fuel based- electricity is delivered by the grid.

Scenario 2 - Construction of a renewable energy plant

In this scenario, the JOSAPAR biomass electricity generation plant is established. Rice husks will be used to produce heat and power. The power replaces fossil fuel based power formerly delivered by the grid. In addition surplus power will be delivered to the grid, thereby replacing fossil fuel based electricity.

With respect to the **investment** barrier:

• The continuation of current practices (Scenario 1) does not pose any financial/economical barrier to the project developer, and requires no further financing.



UNFO

• The construction of a renewable energy plant (Scenario 2) faces specific financial/economic barriers due to the fact that the capital costs related to co-generation biomass units are very high. The capital costs involved in the project pose a barrier, especially considering the high interest rates prevalent in developing countries. It is worth noting that there are no direct subsides or promotional support for the implementation of independent renewable energy plants.

The financial barrier is demonstrated through a financial analysis, which the results are presented in table 2 below. The carbon revenues increase the returns of the project transforming this into an attractive investment for the company and financial agents.

Table 2: Financial Analysis Results

×	With Carbon	Without Carbon
Net Present Value (US\$)	57,030.75	-404,765.59
IRR	9.98%	8.11%
Discount Rate	9.75%	
Present Value of carbon sold (7 years) US\$	673,490.84	

The Internal rate return and the Net Present Value were obtained based on the power plant cash flow presented in Table 3 below.

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
(+) Electricity Revenues	-	252,052	356,234	377,608	400,265	424,280	449,737	476,721	505,325	535,644
(-) Taxes	-	7,887	14,863	15,755	16,700	17,702	18,764	19,890	21,083	22,348
(-) Fixed Costs	-	317,873	466,213	512,835	564,118	620,530	682,583	750,841	825,925	908,518
(-) Variable Costs	-	150,481	219,359	239,888	262,407	287,112	314,217	343,962	376,605	412,433
(-) Interest	804,132	1,362,564	1,204,407	984,097	767,311	550,525	334,881	116,954	0	0
(-) Depreciation	-	446,893	446,893	446,893	446,893	446,893	446,893	446,893	446,893	446,893
(=) Gross profit	-	-2,033,646	-1,995,501	-1,821,859	-1,657,164	-1,498,481	-1,347,601	-1,201,818	-1,165,181	-1,254,548
(-) Income tax	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(+) Electricity save	-	1,482,710	2,158,430	2,356,574	2,572,908	2,809,101	3,066,976	3,348,525	3,655,919	3,991,533
(-) Stop with biomass sales	-	100,000	141,333	149,813	158,802	168,330	178,430	189,136	200,484	212,513
(+) Carbon Credits	-	72,160	96,213	96,213	96,213	96,213	96,213	96,213	24,053	0
(=) Net profit	-	-578,776	117,809	481,115	853,154	1,238,502	1,637,158	2,053,784	2,314,307	2,524,472
(+) Depreciation	-	446,893	446,893	446,893	446,893	446,893	446,893	446,893	446,893	446,893
(=) Incomes generated	-	-131,883	564,701	928,007	1,300,047	1,685,395	2,084,050	2,500,677	2,761,200	2,971,364
(-) Loan repayments	-	455,283	1,365,848	1,365,848	1,365,848	1,365,848	1,365,848	1,365,848	0	0
(-) JOSAPAR equity	2,114,211	48,381	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(+) Current Asset applications	420,536	420,536	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(=) Cash Flow	-2,497,808	-224,806	-801,146	-437,840	-65,801	319,547	718,203	1,134,829	2,761,200	2,971,364

Table 3: Cash Flow

*All presented values are in US\$

With respect to the **technological** barrier:

• In the case of Scenario 1 (continuation), there are no technical/technological issues as this simply represents a continuation of current practices and does not involve any new technology or innovation. Indeed, in this scenario there are no technical/technological implications as the scenario calls for continued use of electricity from the grid.



• In the case of Scenario 2, there are no significant technical/technological barriers. All the technologies involved in this scenario are available in the market and commercially proven, and have been used effectively in the Host Country.

With respect to the analysis of **prevailing business practice**:

- The continuation of current practices (Scenario 1) presents no particular obstacles. This practice has been used effectively in the past with good results, and the continued operation of existing facilities and actual practices presents no real barriers. Moreover Brazil has a huge rice industry, with more than 350 rice mills. A considerable fraction, about 60%, of rice production is located in the south region (IRGA 2004)⁵. The south Brazilian region, i.e. the states of Rio Grande do Sul, Santa Catarina and Paraná, have no recorded problems with power supply, even along the electricity crisis observed at 2001. Environmental agencies have been approving new areas for disposing the industrial residues, as rice husks, with clear and effective rules, in such a way that only the distance, and by consequence the costs, will represent obstacles for taking the residues into consideration as a pressure to perform future projects.
- The Brazilian technologies in rice mills are very updated with global technologies employed, representing the state of art on rice mills technology. The efficiency of the process reaches around 98% of the commercial matter in the grain. Usually 78% of the rice is transformed in products. The other 22-23% are rice residues. Given the large number of rice mills in the south region the biomass residue generation is concentrated in the south region, creating an excess of biomass residues that the market cannot absorb. According to CIENTEC⁶ more than 59,60 % of residues are not used or sold. Currently only 6 small-scale power plants operate at the south region of Brazil. From 2002, no new plants were build, mainly due to the lack of feasibility. Thus, there are many large biomass piles that are left for decay, generating methane during this process.
- The construction of a new renewable energy plant (Scenario 2) doesn't represent a deviation from the company's core business (rice production) once the energy costs avoided will be utilized to sell beneficed rice for a lower price or to increment the profit margin of the product. Therefore, the steam generated by the boiler will be used to achieve a higher quality in the rice process. Currently JOSAPAR has a great amount of rice husks that guaranties the supply for the future plant.

With respect to the analysis of other barriers

- In case of scenario 1, no other barriers were identified.
- In case of scenario 2, no other barriers were identified.

Table 4 below summarises the results of the analysis regarding the barriers faced by each of the plausible scenarios. As the table indicates, Scenario 1 faces no barriers, whereas Scenario 2 faces the financial/economic barrier.

⁵ RUCATTI, Evely Gischkow, KAYSER, Victor Hugo, 2004<u>. Produção e Disponibilidade de Arroz por Região</u> <u>Brasileira</u> Instituto Riograndense do Arroz. Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil.

⁶ CIENTEC, 1986. Programa Energia: Aproveitamento Energético da Casca de Arroz. <u>Relatório do Projeto de</u> <u>Pesquisa</u>. Porto Alegre, Fundação de Ciência e Tecnologia.



Tuble 1. Summary of Durriers Amarysis							
	Scenario 1	Scenario 2					
Barrier Evaluated	Continuation of Current	Construction of a new plant					
	Activities	_					
1. Investment barrier	No	Yes					
2. Technological barrier	No	No					
3. Prevailing practice	No	No					
4. Other barriers	No	No					

Table 4: Summary of Barriers Analysis

Because the investment barrier would prevent that the project would have occurred anyway, it is concluded that the project is additional.

The implementation of the project will displace the energy consumed from the grid, consequently reducing the CO_2 emissions, as showed in the following analysis:

- The Baseline Scenario is represented by an old boiler that provides process steam and steam for the rice drying process. This boiler consumes 18,456 tonnes of rice husks per year, 34% of total production. The surplus of biomass, nearly 35,444 tonnes, is sold to industrial plants, to burn in the boilers, only for heat generation in substitution of wood. The industry will continue to use energy from the grid that have a production of CO₂ associated to the MWh produced.
- The Project Scenario is represented by the construction of a new renewable energy plant of 8.0 MWe. This implementation will imply in substitution of the old boiler by a new boiler that will provide steam for the drying rice process, process heat and power generation. The expected amount of rice husks consumed will be 59,125 tonnes per year. The energy imported from the grid, which is partly generated by fossil fuels, will be displaced, contributing to GHG emission reductions. The rice husks transportation will be decreased as well as ash generation will be increased, resulting in a final balance where the diesel consumption is reduced and, consequently, the CO₂ equivalent emissions.

The Project Scenario is environmentally additional in comparison to the baseline scenario, and therefore eligible to receive Certified Emissions Reductions (CERs) under the CDM.

B.4. Description of how the definition of the project boundary related to the <u>baseline methodology</u> selected is applied to the <u>small-scale project activity</u>:

>>

According to category I.D. the project boundary encompasses the physical, geographical site of the renewable generation source.

The rice husks are combusted for electricity generation at the site of the rice mill. This is also the location where the rice husks are produced from the rice milling process.

B.5. Details of the <u>baseline</u> and its development:

>>

The baseline for grid connected renewable electricity generation is based on methodology AMS I.D. of annex B of the simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities (version 10: 23 December 2006). The baseline is the kWh produced by the renewable generating unit multiplied by an



emission coefficient, calculated in a transparent and conservative manner as described in the methodology AMS I.D. of Appendix B.

Date of completion 30/03/2007

Name of person/entity determining the baseline:

- Ricardo Pretz, Diego Silveira and Ronaldo Hoffmann from PTZ Bioenergy Fontes Alternativas de Energia Indústria, Comércio e Serviços Ltda. and;
- Martijn Vis and René Venendaal from BTG biomass technology group B.V.

Contact details are listed in Annex I.



SECTION C. Duration of the project activity / Crediting period:

C.1. Duration of the small-scale project activity:

>>

C.1.1. Starting date of the small-scale project activity:

>>

>>

01/02/2007

C.1.2. Expected <u>operational lifetime of the small-scale project activity</u>:

30 years

C.2. Choice of <u>crediting period</u> and related information:

C.2.1. Renewable <u>crediting period</u>:

C.2.1.1. Starting date of the first <u>crediting period</u>:

01/04/2008

C.2.1.2. Length of the first crediting period: >>

7 years, 0 months

C.2.2. Fixed crediting period:	
>>	

C.2.2.1. <u>Starting date</u>:

C.2.2.2. Length:

>>



SECTION D. Application of a <u>monitoring methodology</u> and plan: >>

D.1. Name and reference of approved <u>monitoring methodology</u> applied to the <u>small-scale project</u> <u>activity</u>:

>>

Monitoring methodology of category I.D. as described in 'Appendix B of the simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities' (version 10: 23 December 2006).

D.2. Justification of the choice of the methodology and why it is applicable to the <u>small-scale</u> <u>project activity</u>:

>>

The monitoring methodology of category I.D. describes that: Monitoring shall consist of metering the electricity generated by the renewable technology. In the case of co-fired plants, the amount of biomass and fossil fuel input shall be monitored.

Conform the monitoring methodology, the monitoring plan foresees in the metering of electricity generated by the rice husk combustion installation. It is an effective and reliable way to measure the replaced electricity from the grid.



CDM-SSC-PDD (Version 02)



page 16

D.3 Data to be monitored:

>>

Table 5: this data	Table 5: D.3.1 Data to be collected necessary for determining the baseline of anthropogenic emissions and the project emissions and how this data will be archived, related to project category I.D. ' grid connected renewable electricity generation':							
ID- number	Data variable	Source of data	Data unit	Measured (m), calculated (c) or estimated (e)	Recording frequency	Proportion of data to be monitored	How will the data be archived? (Electronic/ paper)	Comment
D.3.1	Electricity imported from the grid	Electricity ingress register and electricity bills	kWh	m	Continuous and monthly	100%	Electronic and paper	The electricity imported from the grid is monitored by an energy ingress register and by the energy bills expedited monthly by the electricity concessionary
D.3.2	Gross electricity generated by the project	Electronic supervisory system of the biomass power plant.	kWh	m	Continuous	100%	Electronic and paper	The gross electricity generated by the project activity (electricity delivered to the grid and delivered to the own rice mill) is recorded in the electronic supervisory system of the power plant.
D.3.3	Net electricity delivered to the grid	Electronic supervisory system of the biomass power plant.	kWh	m	Continuous	100%	Electronic and paper	The net electricity delivered to the grid is recorded in the electronic supervisory system of the power plant.



CDM-SSC-PDD (Version 02)



.

page 17

UNFCCC

D 3.4	Baseline emission factor	ONS,	tonnes	с	At validation	100%	Electronic and	Baseline emission factor
		Eletrobrás and	CO ₂ /				paper	consists of Operating
		ANEEL	MWh					Margin emission factor and
								Build Margin emission
								factor, and calculated from
								the efficiency, carbon
								emission factor, electricity
								production and fuel
								consumption of the
								electricity generation plants
								connected to the south-
								southeast-midwest
								interconnected grid.



CDM-SSC-PDD (Version 02)



page 18

D.4. Qualitative explanation of how quality control (QC) and quality assurance (QA) procedures are undertaken:

>>

Table 6: D. 4.1 Quality control (QC) and quality assurance (QA) procedures are being undertaken for data monitored, related to category I.D.

ID number	Uncertainty level of data	Explain QA/QC procedures planned for these data, or why such procedures are not necessary.
	(High/Medium/Low)	
D.3.1	Low	The information read by the electricity ingress register will be double checked with the monthly electricity bill expedited by the
		electricity concessionary.
D.3.2	Low	The electric measurement equipment will comply with Standards for Electricity NBR 5410, Grid proceedings from Brazilian
		ONS. Standards for connection are established by grid companies during licensing.
		According to the Brazilian Regulations on electrical Grid, additional measurements are demanded by the ANEEL (National
		Electric Energy Agency) and the company that owns the rights of grid distribution, in such a way at least two supplementary
		conventional electronic measurers should be installed at the outlet cabin. The 3 systems will be checked in a monthly basis.
D.3.3	Low	See D.3.1.
D.3.4	Low	Values based on info provided by ONS, Eletrobrás and ANEEL. All calculations are internally double-checked.



CDM – Executive Board

UNFCCO

page 19

D.5. Please describe briefly the operational and management structure that the <u>project</u> <u>participant(s)</u> will implement in order to monitor emission reductions and any <u>leakage</u> effects generated by the project activity:

>>

JOSAPAR – Joaquim Oliveira S.A. Participações (JOSAPAR), PTZ Bioenergy Fontes Alternativas de Energia Indústria, Comércio e Serviços Ltda. (fully and exclusively authorized to act on the behalf of JOSAPAR regarding this CDM project) and BioHeat International (exclusively authorized to sell the carbon credits from the JOSAPAR Pelotas project) are all project participants.

JOSAPAR operates the plant that is part of the project and will measure the required monitoring data related to the project and is qualified to do so. PTZ Bioenergy Fontes Alternativas de Energia Indústria, Comércio e Serviços Ltda. is responsible for interpretation of the monitoring data, and leakage effects, preparation of the monitoring reports and quality assurance. If required, PTZ Bioenergy Fontes Alternativas de Energia Indústria, Comércio e Serviços Ltda. will provide instructions and training to operators of JOSAPAR.

Additional information regarding project management planning i.e. Project organization, communication, data processing & quality management, calibration of monitoring equipment and troubleshooting procedures are provided to the DOE.

D.6. Name of person/entity determining the <u>monitoring methodology</u>:

- PTZ Bioenergy Fontes Alternativas de Energia Indústria, Comércio e Serviços Ltda. and;
- BTG Biomass Technology Group b.v

The monitoring methodology was prepared by Ricardo Pretz and Ronaldo Hoffmann, of PTZ Bioenergy Fontes Alternativas de Energia Indústria, Comércio e Serviços Ltda., as well as Rene Venendaal and Martijn Vis of BTG.



SECTION E.: Estimation of GHG emissions by sources:

>>

E.1.1 Selected formulae as provided in <u>Appendix B</u>:

>>

Category I.D.

No formula is provided to quantify the emission reduction of electricity generation in the Baseline of category I.D. of appendix B. In words it is described that:

Baseline emissions

(...) the baseline is the kWh produced by the renewable generating unit multiplied by an emission coefficient (measured in kg CO₂equ/kWh) calculated in a transparent and conservative manner as:

(a) A combined margin (CM), consisting of the combination of operating margin (OM) and build margin (BM) according to the procedures prescribed in the approved methodology ACM0002. Any of the four procedures to calculate the operating margin can be chosen, but the restrictions to use the Simple OM and the Average OM calculations must be considered

OR

(b) The weighted average emissions (in kg CO_2equ/kWh) of the current generation mix. The data of the year in which project generation occurs must be used.

E.1.2 Description of formulae when not provided in <u>appendix B</u>:

Formulae not provided in appendix B, related to the methodology described in category I.D.

The baseline emissions (*BEy*) resulting from the electricity supplied and/or not consumed from the grid is calculated as follows, where *EGy* is the annual net electricity generated from the Project.

$$BEy = EGy^* EFy$$

The baseline emissions factor (*EFy*) is a weighted average of the *EF_OMy* and *EF_BMy*:

$$EFy = (\omega_{OM} * EF_OMy) + (\omega_{BM} * EF_BMy)$$

where the weights ω_{OM} and ω_{BM} are by default 0.5.

The Operating Margin emission factor (EF_OMy) is calculated according to the procedures prescribed in the approved methodology ACM0002 – option (b):

CDM – Executive Board

page 21

Simple Adjusted OM:

$$EF_{OM, simple_adjusted, y} = (1 - \lambda_y) \cdot \frac{\sum_{i, j, y} F_{i, j, y} \cdot COEF_{i, j}}{\sum_{j} GEN_{i, j}} + \lambda_y \cdot \frac{\sum_{i, k, y} F_{i, k, y} \cdot COEF_{i, k}}{\sum_{k} GEN_{k, y}}$$

Where:

k	low-cost/must-run power sources;
j	power sources delivering electricity to the grid, not including low-operating cost and
	mustrun power plants, and including imports to the grid;
Fi ,j, y	is the amount of fuel i (in a mass or volume unit) consumed by relevant power sources j
	in year(s) y;
Fi ,k, y	is the amount of fuel i (in a mass or volume unit) consumed by relevant power sources k
	in year(s) y;
COEFi,j, y	is the CO_2 emission coefficient of fuel <i>i</i> (t CO_2 / mass or volume unit of the fuel), taking
	into account the carbon content of the fuels used by relevant power sources j and the
	percent oxidation of the fuel in year(s) y;
COEFi,k, y	is the CO_2 emission coefficient of fuel <i>i</i> (t CO_2 / mass or volume unit of the fuel), taking
	into account the carbon content of the fuels used by relevant power sources k and the
	percent oxidation of the fuel in year(s) y;
GENj.y	is the electricity (MWh) delivered to the grid by source <i>j</i> .
GENk.y	is the electricity (MWh) delivered to the grid by source k.

 $\lambda_y = \frac{\text{"number of hours per year for which low - cost / must - run souces are on margin"}}{\text{"8760 hours per year"}}$

Lambda (λy) should be calculated as follows:

- Step i) Plot a Load Duration Curve. Collect chronological load data (typically in MW) for each hour of a year, and sort load data from highest to lowest MW level. Plot MW against 8760 hours in the year, in descending order.
- Step ii) Organize Data by Generating Sources. Collect data for, and calculate total annual generation (in MWh) from low-cost/must-run resources (i.e. $\Sigma kGENk, y$).
- Step iii)Fill Load Duration Curve. Plot a horizontal line across load duration curve such that the area under the curve (MW times hours) equals the total generation (in MWh) from lowcost/must-run resources (i.e. $\Sigma k GENk$,y).
- Step iv) Determine the "Number of hours per year for which low-cost/must-run sources are on the margin". First, locate the intersection of the horizontal line plotted in step (iii) and the load duration curve plotted in step (i). The number of hours (out of the total of 8760 hours) to the right of the intersection is the number of hours for which low-cost/must-run sources are on the margin. If the lines do not intersect, then one may conclude that lowcost/must-run sources do not appear on the margin and λy is equal to zero. Lambda (λy) is the calculated number of hours divided by 8760.

The CO₂ emission coefficient COEFi is obtained as

 $COEF_i = NCV_i \cdot EF_{CO2,i} \cdot OXID_i$

where:

NCV_i is the net calorific value (energy content) per mass or volume unit of a fuel *i*;



Э	Boai	rd		
---	------	----	--	--

 $OXID_i$ is the oxidation factor of the fuel (see page 1.29 in the 1996 Revised IPCC Guidelines
for default values); $EF_{CO2,i}$ is the CO2 emission factor per unit of energy of the fuel *i*.

Where available, local values of NCV_i and $EF_{CO2,i}$ should be used. If no such values are available, country-specific values (see e.g. IPCC Good Practice Guidance) are preferable to IPCC world-wide default values.

The Simple Adjusted OM was calculated using the following data vintage:

(*Ex-ante*) the full generation-weighted average for the most recent 3 years for which data are available at the time of PDD submission

The Build Margin emission factor (EF_BM_y) is the weighted average emission factor of a sample of power plants *m*:

$$EF _BM_{y}(tCO_{2} / MWh) = \frac{\left|\sum_{i,m} F_{i,m,y} *COEF_{i,m}\right|}{\left|\sum_{m} GEN_{m,y}\right|}$$

where $F_{i,m,y}$, $COEF_{i,m}$ and GEN_m are analogous to the OM calculation above.

The option 1 was selected to calculate the Build Margin emission factor:

Ex-ante based on the most recent information available on plants already built for sample group m at the time of PDD submission. The sample group m consists of either the five power plants that have been built most recently, or the power plant capacity additions in the electricity system that comprise 20% of the system generation (in MWh) and that have been built most recently. Project participants should use from these two options that sample group that comprises the larger annual generation.

E.1.2.1 Describe the formulae used to estimate anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs due to the <u>project activity</u> within the project boundary:

```
>>
```

Category I.D.

The project emissions are negligible.

E.1.2.2 Describe the formulae used to estimate <u>leakage</u> due to the <u>project activity</u>, where required, for the applicable <u>project category</u> in <u>appendix B</u> of the simplified modalities and procedures for <u>small-scale CDM project activities</u>

>>

Category I.D.

No leakage calculation is required, as the renewable energy technology used is not equipment transferred from another activity.

E.1.2.3 The sum of E.1.2.1 and E.1.2.2 represents the <u>small-scale project activity</u> emissions:



UNFCC

page 23

Category I.D.

The small scale project emissions are zero.

E.1.2.4 Describe the formulae used to estimate the anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs in the <u>baseline</u> using the <u>baseline methodology</u> for the applicable <u>project category</u> in <u>appendix B</u> of the simplified modalities and procedures for <u>small-scale CDM project activities</u>:

>>

Category I.D.

The baseline emissions for grid connected electricity generation are described as follows:

BEe1 = EGy * EFy

where,

BEe1 = Baseline Emissions of electricity generation (tonnes CO_{2equ}) EGy = Electricity production by project activity (MWh). EFy = Emission Coefficient (measured in tonnes CO₂equ/MWh)

E.1.2.5 Difference between E.1.2.4 and E.1.2.3 represents the emission reductions due to the <u>project activity</u> during a given period:

>>

Category I.D.

Emission reduction by grid connected renewable electricity production during a given period equals:

ERID = BEe1

where,

 ER_{ID} = emission reduction due to grid connected renewable electricity production (tonnes CO_{2equ}) BE_{el} = Baseline Emissions of electricity generation (tonnes CO_{2equ})

Remark: formulae can be used for any given time period. It should be stated clearly what time period is meant.



UNFCCI

CDM – Executive Board

E.2 Table providing values obtained when applying formulae above:

Table 7: Emission reduction by grid connected renewable electricity generation

Indicator	Abbreviation	Value	Unit
Lambda at 2003	λ_{2003}	0.531	dimensionless fraction
Lambda at 2004	λ_{2004}	0.506	dimensionless fraction
Lambda at 2005	λ_{2005}	0.513	dimensionless fraction
Operating margin emission factor	EF_OMy	0.404	tonnes CO ₂ /MWh
Build margin emission factor	EF_BMy	0.092	tonnes CO ₂ /MWh
Baseline emission factor	EFy	0.248	tonnes CO ₂ /MWh
Annual net electricity generated by the Project	EGy	43,000	MWh
Baseline emissions	BEel	<u>10,664</u>	tonnes CO ₂ /year
Project emissions	<u>n.a.</u>	<u>0</u>	tonnes CO ₂ /year
Emission reduction from electricity generation	ERID	<u>10,664</u>	tonnes CO ₂ /year

Table 8: Net emission reduction by the grid connected renewable electricity generation (tonnes CO₂ equivalent per year)

Year	Baseline emissions	Project emissions	Net emission reduction
	(A)	(B)	(A-B)
1 Apr - 31 Dec 2008	7,998	0	7,998
2009	10,664	0	10,664
2010	10,664	0	10,664
2011	10,664	0	10,664
2012	10,664	0	10,664
2013	10,664	0	10,664
2014	10,664	0	10,664
1 Jan - 31 Mar 2015	2,666	0	2,666
Total estimated reductions	74,648	0	74,648
Total number of crediting years	7	7	7
Annual average over the first			
crediting period of estimated	10,664	0	10,664
reductions (tonnes of CO ₂ e)			



SECTION F. Environmental impacts

F.1. Documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts, including transboundary impacts:

>>

Documentation

The renewable energy plant has received permit for construction from ANEEL, the National Electricity Energy Agency (License ANEEL $n^{\circ}123$, published in the Brazilian Official Diary, n° 45 section 1, 7th March 2002)

The environmental permit for operation from the Environmental Agency of Rio Grande do Sul State (FEPAM – Fundação Estadual de Proteção Ambiental) has the number 4361, and it was issued on 6th April 2004, and is valid until 6th April 2006. The JOSAPAR rice mil is accomplished to local environmental license, in such a way, it has authorization for operation according the law.

Renewable electricity generation

The project will contribute to displace more carbon-intensive electricity generation sources from the South-Southeast-Midwest grid, promoting the use of renewable fuels (biomass) for electricity generation.

SECTION G. <u>Stakeholders</u>' comments:

G.1. Brief description of how comments by local <u>stakeholders</u> have been invited and compiled: >>

According to the Resolution n° 1 dated on 2^{nd} December 2003, from the Brazilian Inter-Ministerial Commission of Climate Change - CIMGC, decreed on 7^{th} July 1999, any CDM projects must send a letter with description of the project and an invitation for comments by local stakeholders. In this case, letters were sent to the following local stakeholders:

- City Hall of Pelotas;
- Chamber of Pelotas;
- Environment agencies from the state and Local Authority;
- Brazilian Forum of NGOs;
- District Attorney (known in Portuguese as Ministério Público, i.e. the permanent institution essential for legal functions responsible for defending the legal order, democracy and social/individual interests) and;
- Local communities associations.

Local stakeholders were invited to raise their concerns and provide comments on the project activity for a period of 30 days after receiving the letter of invitation. PTZ Bioenergy Fontes Alternativas de Energia Indústria, Comércio e Serviços Ltda. and the project developer addressed questions raised by stakeholders during this period.

G.2. Summary of the comments received:

>>

Brazilian Forum of NGOs.



G.3. Report on how due account was taken of any comments received:

>>

The Brazilian Forum of NGOs suggests the "Gold Standard" methodology as more accurate criteria to evaluate the sustainability impacts of the project implementation.



Annex 1

CONTACT INFORMATION ON PARTICIPANTS IN THE <u>PROJECT ACTIVITY</u>

Project participant

Organization:	JOSAPAR – Joaquim Oliveira S.A. Participações
Street/P.O.Box:	BR 116, km 512
Building:	
City:	Pelotas
State/Region:	Rio Grande do Sul
Postfix/ZIP:	96100-00
Country:	Brazil
Telephone:	++ 55 51 3284 1000
FAX:	++ 55 51 3284 1100
E-Mail:	carlosv@josapar.com.br
URL:	http://www.jospar.com.br
Represented by:	
Title:	Mr.
Salutation:	
Last Name:	Vianna
Middle Name:	Soares
First Name:	Carlos
Department:	Industrial Management
Mobile:	
Direct FAX:	
Direct tel:	
Personal E-Mail:	carlosv@josapar.com.br



UNFCCC

CDM – Executive Board

page 28

Organization:	PTZ Bioenergy Fontes Alternativas de Energia Indústria, Comércio e Serviços
	Ltda.
Street/P.O.Box:	Av. Loureiro da Silva
Building:	2001,Cj. 424
City:	Porto Alegre
State/Region:	Rio Grande do Sul
Postfix/ZIP:	90050-240
Country:	Brazil
Telephone:	+55 51 3028 7858
FAX:	+55 51 3028 7857
E-Mail:	ptz@ptz.com.br
URL:	www.ptz.com.br
Represented by:	
Title:	Director
Salutation:	Mr.
Last Name:	Pretz
Middle Name:	
First Name:	Ricardo
Department:	
Mobile:	+55 51 9974 5486
Direct FAX:	
Direct tel:	
Personal E-Mail:	

Organization:	BioHeat International B.V.
Street/P.O.Box:	Colosseum
Building:	11
City:	Enschede
State/Region:	
Postfix/ZIP:	7521 PV
Country:	The Netherlands
Telephone:	+31 53 486 1186
FAX:	+31 53 486 1180
E-Mail:	office@bioheat-international.com
URL:	http://www.bioheat-international.com/
Represented by:	
Title:	Director
Salutation:	Mr.
Last Name:	Venendaal
Middle Name:	
First Name:	René
Department:	
Mobile:	
Direct FAX:	
Direct tel:	
Personal E-Mail:	



Annex 2

INFORMATION REGARDING PUBLIC FUNDING

This project will not receive any public funds.

- - - - -



CDM – Executive Board

page 30

Annex 3

Summary Table

Ex-ante estimation

Year	2003	2004	2005	Average
Electricity generated (MWh)	295,666,971	301,422,618	315,754,960	304,281,516
Electricity generated excluding low cost/must-run power sources (MWh)	14,262,645	18,157,904	17,842,905	16,754,485
Emissons (tCO ₂)	12,086,653	14,922,077	14,919,154	13,975,961
λ	0.531	0.506	0.513	0.517
EF_OM (tCO ₂ /MWh)	0.397	0.406	0.407	0.404
20% of Total generated (MWh)	59,133,394	60,284,524	63,150,992	60,856,303
Total generated by the last 5 plants built (MWh)	1,177,754	2,605,422	777,845	1,520,340
EF_BM (tCO ₂ /MWh)	0.078	0.102	0.097	0.092
w_OM	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
w_BM	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
EF (tCO ₂ /MWh)	0.238	0.254	0.252	0.248

Biomass and electricity aspects in the JOSAPAR Pelotas Biomass Electricity Generation Project

Year	Electricity generated/year (MWh)	Amount of rice husks produced (kg/year)	Amount of rice husks consumed (kg/year)	Amount of rice husks sold to third parties (kg/year)	% Consumed
2007	-	53,900,000	18,456,000	35,444,000	34%
2008	32,250	59,125,000	44,343,750	14,781,250	75%
2009	43,000	59,125,000	59,125,000	0	100%
2010	43,000	59,125,000	59,125,000	0	100%
2011	43,000	59,125,000	59,125,000	0	100%
2012	43,000	59,125,000	59,125,000	0	100%
2013	43,000	59,125,000	59,125,000	0	100%
2014	43,000	59,125,000	59,125,000	0	100%
2015	43,000	59,125,000	59,125,000	0	100%
2016	43,000	59,125,000	59,125,000	0	100%
2017	43,000	59,125,000	59,125,000	0	100%
2018	43,000	59,125,000	59,125,000	0	100%
2019	43,000	59,125,000	59,125,000	0	100%



BASELINE INFORMATION

The grid factor calculation was conduced with the following databases:

• Efficiency for thermal power plants:

Thermal Power Plant	Efficiency calculation sources
Jorge Lacerda A	Eletrobrás ¹ and CIMGC ²
Jorge Lacerda B	Eletrobrás and CIMGC
Jorge Lacerda C	Eletrobrás and CIMC
Charqueadas	Eletrobrás and CIMGC
P.Medice A	Eletrobrás and CIMGC
P. Medice B	Eletrobrás and CIMGC
P. Medice (A+B)	Eletrobrás and CIMGC
São Jeronimo	Eletrobrás and CIMGC
Figueira	Eletrobrás and CIMGC
Santa Cruz	Eletrobrás and CIMGC
Igarapé	Eletrobrás and CIMGC
Piratininga	Eletrobrás and CIMGC
Nova Piratininga	Eletrobrás and CIMGC

For the other efficiency inputs the Executive Board recommended values were used just for the Build Margin calculation. For the Operating Margin the values adopted were the average as described in the OECD information paper $(Bosi, 2002)^3$.

• Electricity Generated at 2003, 2004, 2005:

National Operator from the Electricity System: www.ons.org.br

¹ Eletrobrás – <u>http://www.eletrobras.gov.br/EM_atuacao_ccc/default.asp</u>

² Comissão Interministerial de Mudança Global do Clima – CIMGC; Análise sobre o Setor Energético na Região Sul: <u>www.mct.gov.br/clima/comunic_old/energi41.htm#index</u>

³ Bosi, M., A. Laurence, P. Maldonado, R. Schaeffer, A.F. Simoes, H. Winkler and J.M. Lukamba. Road testing baselines for GHG mitigation projects in the electric power sector. OECD/IEA information paper, October 2002.



UNFCCC

page 32

ONS Dispatched Data

Year: 2003

Grid	Fuel Source	Power Plant ¹	Start Date	Fossil Fuel Conversion Efficiency ⁴	Efficiency for EF_BM calculations ^b	Carbon emission Factor ³ (tC/TJ)	Fraction carbon oxidized ³	MWh generated ²	tCO ₂ for EF_OM calculation	tCO2 for EF BM calculation
SE-CO SE-CO	H	Gauporé	Sep-2003 Sep-2003	1	1	0.0	0.0%	78.921 86.201	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO	G	Três Lagoas Fueil (MG)	Aug-2003	0,32	0,32	15,3	99,5%	233.793	146.815	146.815
SE-CO	Н	Itiquira I	Sep-2002	1	1	0.0	0.0%	408.728	0	0
S	G	Canoas	Sep-2002 Sep-2002	0,32	0,32	15,3	99,5% 99,5%	22 182.256	14 114.451	14 114.451
SE-CO SE-CO	H	Piraju Nova Piratininga	Sep-2002	1	1	0.0	0.0%	417.894	0	0
S OF	0 	PCT CGTEE	jun/02	0,33	0,33	20,7	99,0%	0	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO	G	Rosal Ibirité	jun/02 May-2002	1 0,32	1 0,32	0.0 15,3	0.0% 99,5%	316.262 530.761	0 333.302	0 333.302
SE-CO	H	Cana Brava	May-2002	1	1	0.0	0.0%	2.200.434	0	0
S S	Н	Machadinho	jan/02	1	1	0.0	0.0%	3.436.304	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO	G	Juiz de Fora Macaé Merchant	nov/01 nov/01	0.32	0,32	15,3 15,3	99,5% 99,5%	5.845 2.389.507	3.670	3.670 1.500.537
SE-00	Н	Lajeado (ANEEL res. 402/2001)	nov/01	1	1	0.0	0.0%	4.457.790	0	0
SE-CO	H	Porto Estrela	Sep-2001	1	1	0.0	0.0%	410.136	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO	G	Cuiaba (Mario Covas) W. Arjona	Aug-2001 jan/01	0,32 0,32	0,32 0,32	15,3 15,3	99,5% 99,5%	2.228.109 549.729	1.399.184 345.213	1.399.184 345.213
S	G	Uruguaiana S. Cavias	jan/00	0,5	0.5	15,3	99,5%	1.751.486	703.923	703.923
SE-CO	Н	Canoas I	jan/99	1	1	0.0	0.0%	594.298	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO	H	Canoas II Igarapava	jan/99 jan/99	1	1	0.0	0.0%	1.140.260	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO	H	Porto Primavera	jan/99 Oct-1998	1	1	0.0	0.0%	9.059.670	0	0
SE-CO	H	Sobragi	Sep-1998	1		0.0	0.0%	341.073	0	0
SE-CO S	Н	PCH EMAE PCH CEEE	jan/98 jan/98	1		0.0	0.0%	103.188 240.724	0	0
S SE-CO	Н	PCH ENERSUL PCH CER	jan/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	119.405	0	0
SE-CO	Н	PCH ESCELSA	jan/98	1		0.0	0.0%	260.910	0	0
S SE-CO	H	PCH CELESC PCH CEMAT	jan/98 jan/98	1	-	U.0 0.0	0.0%	442.080 966.348	0	U 0
SE-CO SE-CO	Н	PCH CELG PCH CFRJ	jan/98 jan/98	1		0.0	0.0%	80.656 256.284	0	0
S SE CO	H	PCH COPEL BCH COVEL	jan/98	1		0.0	0.0%	421.439	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO	H	PCH CPFL	jan/98 jan/98	1		0.0	0.0%	328.332	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO	Н	S. Mesa PCH EPAULO	jan/98 jan/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	4.490.258	0	0
SE-CO	Н	Guilmam Amorim	jan/97	1		0.0	0.0%	511.414	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO	H	Corumbá Miranda	jan/97 jan/97	1		0.0	0.0%	1.604.930	0	0
SE-CO	H	Noav Ponte Segredo (Gov. Nev Brana)	jan/94 jan/92	1		0.0	0.0%	2.208.901 5.253 636	0	0
SE-CO	Н	Taquaruçu	jan/89	1		0.0	0.0%	2.251.810	0	0
SE-CO S	H	D. Francisca	jan/88 jan/87	1		0.0	0.0%	841.600 895.131	0	-
S SE-CO	гн	Itá Rosana	jan/87	1	-	0.0	0.0%	5.222.285	0	
SE-CO	N	Angra	jan/85	1		0.0	0.0%	13.355.432	0	-
SE-CO SE-CO	H	T. Irmãos Itaipu 60 Hz	jan/85 jan/83	1	-	0.0	0.0%	2.493.761 46.309.279	0	-
SE-CO SE-CO	Н	Itaipu 50 Hz	jan/83	1	-	0.0	0.0%	36.692.448	0	
SE-CO	Н	Nova Avanhandava	jan/82	1		0.0	0.0%	1.377.657	0	-
S	Н	Gov. Bento Munhoz - GBM S.Santiago	jan/80 jan/80	1		0.0	0.0%	4.178.204 6.124.508	0	
SE-CO SE-CO	H	ltumbiara Ioarané	jan/80 jan/78	1 0 2938	-	0.0	0.0%	7.342.183	0	
S	H	Itauba	jan/78	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.895.033	0	
SE-CO SE-CO	H	A. Vermeina (Jose E. Moraes) S.Simão	jan/78 jan/78	1	-	0.0	0.0%	7.280.135	0	-
SE-CO	н	Capivara S Osório	jan/77 jan/75	1		0.0	0.0%	3.527.028	0	
SE-CO	Н	Marimbondo	jan/75	1	-	0.0	0.0%	6.614.912	0	-
SE-CO	C	Promissao Pres. Medici	jan/75	0,2085	-	26	98%	1.306.186	2.107.038	-
SE-CO SE-CO	H	Volta Grande Porto Colombia	jan/74 jun/73	1		0.0	0.0%	1.892.826	0	
s	Н	Passo Fundo	jan/73	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.176.518	0	-
SE-CO	H	Passo Heal liha Solteira	jan//3 jan/73	1	-	0.0	0.0%	16.060.345	0	-
SE-CO S	H	Mascarenhas Gov. Parioot de Souza - GPS	jan/73 jan/71	1	-	0.0	0.0%	777.134	0	-
SE-CO	н	Chavantes	jan/71	1	-	0.0	0.0%	2.026.711	0	-
SE-CO	H	Sá Carvalho	Apr-1970	1	-	0.0	0.0%	302.343	0	
SE-CO SE-CO	н	Estreito (Luiz Carlos Barreto) Ibitinga	jan/69 jan/69	1	-	0.0	0.0%	3.084.368 600.891	0	-
SE-CO	Н	Juplá	jan/69	1	-	0.0	0.0%	8.944.402	0	-
SE-CO	G	Campos (Roberto Silveira)	jan/68	0,20		15,3	99,5%	0	0	-
SE-CO SE-CO	G	Santa Cruz (RJ) Paralbuna	jan/68 jan/68	0.3314		15,3	99,5% 0.0%	540.073 265.808	327.483	-
SE-CO SE-CO	H	Limoeiro (Armando Sal es de Oliviera) Caconde	jan/67 jan/66	1		0.0	0.0%	128.521 340.046	0	
s	c	J.Lacerda C	jan/65	0,3395		26	98%	1.985.975	1.967.467	-
s s	C	J.Lacerda B J.Lacerda A	jan/65 jan/65	0,2771		26	98%	1.126.809 583.250	1.367.688 744.470	-
SE-CO SE-CO	Н	Bariri (Alvaro de Souza Lima) Funil (R.I)	jan/65 jan/65	1	-	0.0	0.0%	541.316 619.432	0	
S OF OF	c	Figueira	jan/63	0.166		26	98%	54.554	110.533	
SE-CO SE-CO	H	Fumas Barra Bonita	jan/63 jan/63	1		U.0 0.0	0.0%	4.499.554 477.594	0	
S SE-CO	C H	Charqueadas Jurumirim (Armando A Lavdner)	jan/62 jan/62	0,2011		26	98% 0.0%	136.595 439.132	228.453 0	
S OF CO	Н	Jacui	jan/62	1		0.0	0.0%	1.419.402	0	
SE-CO SE-CO	H	Pereira Passos Tres Marias	jan/62	1		U.0 0.0	0.0%	326.708	0	
SE-CO SE-CO	Н	Euclides da Cunha Camaroos	jan/60 jan/60	1	-	0.0	0.0%	419.565	0	
SE-CO	Н	Santa Branca	jan/60	1		0.0	0.0%	134.029	0	
SE-CO SE-CO	Н	Salto Grande (Lucas N. Garcez)	jan/59 jan/58	1		0.0	0.0%	427.192	0	-
SE-CO SE-CO	Н	Salto Grande (MG) Mascarenhas de Moraes (Peixoto)	jan/56 jan/56	1		0.0	0.0%	513.869 2.207 257	0	
SE-CO	Н	Itutinga	jan/55	1	-	0.0	0.0%	210.152	0	-
S SE-CO	0	S. Jeronimo Carioba	jan/54 jan/54	0,114 0,3		26 20,7	98%	43.993	129./93	
SE-CO	0 H	Piratininga Canastra	jan/54 jan/53	0,2378	-	20,7	99%	289.700	329.546	
SE-CO	Н	Nilo Peçanha	an/53	1		0.0	0.0%	2.386.456	0	-
SE-CO SE-CO	H	Henry Borden Sub.	jan/40 jan/26	1		U.0 0.0	0.0%	/19.497 63.638	0	-
SE-CO SE-CO	Н	Henry Borden Ext. I. Pombos	jan/26 jan/24	1	-	0.0	0.0%	448.281 680.168	0	-
SE-CO	H	Jaguari	jan/17	1	-	0.0	0.0%	54.835	0	-
International Export	н Н			1	-	0.0	0.0%	30U.234 0	0	
Import from NNE	Н	-		1	-	0.0	0.0%	99.532	0	



Year: 2004

Date	Fossil Fuel Conversion Efficiency ⁴	Efficiency for EF_BM calculations ⁵	Carbon emission Factor ³ (tC/TJ)	Fraction carbon oxidized ³	MWh generated ²	tCO2 for
2004	0,32	0,32	15,3	99,5%	120.326	
2004			0.0	0.0%	129.327	_
2004	1	1	0,0	0.0%	360.952	-
2004	0,32	0.32	15,3	99,5%	1.307.101	-
2003	1	1	0.0	0.0%	335 127	
2003	0.32	0.32	15.3	99.5%	1,419,067	
103	1	1	0.0	0.0%	667 597	
2002	1	1	0.0	0.0%	856 539	
2002	0.32	0.32	15.3	99.5%	22	
2002	0,32	0.32	15,3	99,5%	527.587	
2002	1	1	0.0	0.0%	466.775	
N02	0,2197	0,32	15,3	99,5%	13.820	
102	0,33	0,33	20,7	99,0%	0	
1/02	1	1	0.0	0.0%	384.555	
2002	0,32	0.32	15,3	99,5%	1.245.228	
2002	1	1	0.0	0.0%	2.214.839	
102	1	1	0.0	0.0%	345.880	
102	1	1	0.0	0.0%	4.337.016	-
104	0,32	0,32	15,3	99,5%	00.002	-
401	0,32	0.32	15,3	99,5%	/40.096	-
2001	0.32	0.32	15.3	99.5%	1 324 501	
2001	1	1	0.0	0.0%	554 865	
2001	0.32	0.32	15.3	99.5%	1 659 230	
101	0.32	0.32	15.3	99.5%	538.087	
100	0,5	0,5	15,3	99,5%	2.270.176	
/99	1	1	0.0	0.0%	6.015.459	
/99	1	1	0.0	0.0%	578.928	
1/99	1	1	0.0	0.0%	486.299	
1/99	1	1	0.0	0.0%	1.090.945	
1/99	1	1	0.0	0.0%	9.472.700	
1998	0,32	0,33	20,2	99,0%	0	
1998	1		0.0	0.0%	395.652	
198		-	0.0	0.0%	137.132	-
1/96		-	0.0	0.0%	215.017	-
1/30			0.0	0.0%	1/4.692	
100		-	0.0	0.0%	252 471	
198	1		0.0	0.0%	468 240	
/98	1		0.0	0.0%	1.353.714	
/98	1		0.0	0.0%	73.309	
/98	1		0.0	0.0%	297.264	
/98	1		0.0	0.0%	707.277	
/98	1		0.0	0.0%	672.546	
/98	1		0.0	0.0%	458.822	
/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	4.397.135	
/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	0	
/97	1	-	0.0	0.0%	661.366	
1/97	1		0.0	0.0%	2.163.267	
197	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.069.831	-
1/94			0.0	0.0%	1.302.563	-
1/92			0.0	0.0%	2 022 042	-
188	1	-	0.0	0.0%	732.036	
87	1 1	-	0.0	0.0%	683 674	
/87	1		0.0	0.0%	6.054.272	1
/87	1		0.0	0.0%	1.864.543	
/85	1		0.0	0.0%	11.581.987	
/85	1		0.0	0.0%	2.058.733	
/83	1	-	0.0	0.0%	46.853.256	
/83	1	-	0.0	0.0%	36.935.778	
/82	1	-	0.0	0.0%	4.312.481	1
/82	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.406.957	
1/80	1	-	0.0	0.0%	5.352.443	1

0.14	Evel Course	Deves Direct	Otest Data	Freed First Constanting Filler of	F# Jacob for FF DM astantations	Orthogonal and Franks 3 (40/F II)	Franklan and an addard	MAN	100 to FF ON selected as	100 for FE DM calculation
Grid	Fuel Source	Power Plant TermeRie	Start Date	Fossil Fuel Conversion Efficiency	Efficiency for EF_BM calculations"	Carbon emission Factor* (tC/1J)	Fraction carbon oxidized	Mwn generated*	TE EC1	TOO2 for EF BM calculation
SE-00	9	Termonio	NOV-2004	0,32	0,32	15,3	99,5%	120.320	75.561	75.561
SE-00	n u	Caridonga	Sep-2004	1	1	0,0	0.0%	129.327	0	0
SE-00	6	Norto Eluminonno	Eab 2004	0.33	0.33	15.2	0.0 /6	1 507 191	046.464	046.464
SE-00	н	Indite Fibilitite	Sen-2003	1	1	15,5	0.0%	487 636	940.404	940.404
SE-00	н	Gauporá	Sep-2003	1	1	0.0	0.0%	335 127	0	0
SE-00	6	Trás Lange	Aug-2003	0.32	0.32	15.3	99.5%	1 419 067	891 131	801 131
SE-CO	Н	Eupil (MG)	ian/03	1	1	0.0	0.0%	667 597	0	0
SE-CO	н	Itiquira I	Sen-2002	1	1	0.0	0.0%	856 539	0	0
S	G	Araucária	Sep-2002	0.32	0.32	15.3	99.5%	22	14	14
S	G	Cannas	Sep-2002	0.32	1.32	15.3	99.5%	527 587	331 308	331 308
SE-CO	Н	Piraiu	Sep-2002	1	1	0.0	0.0%	466 775	0	0
SE-CO	G	Nova Piratininga	iun/02	0.2197	0.32	15.3	99.5%	13.820	12.638	8.679
S	0	PCT CGTEE	iun/02	0.33	0.33	20.7	99.0%	0	0	0
SE-CO	H	Rosal	iun/02	1	1	0.0	0.0%	384,555	0	0
SE-CO	G	Ibirité	May-2002	0.32	0.32	15.3	99.5%	1 245 228	781 965	781 965
SE-CO	Н	Cana Brava	May-2002	1	1	0.0	0.0%	2 214 839	0	0
SE-CO	н	Sta Clara	ion/02	1	1	0.0	0.0%	345 880	0	0
S	Н	Machadinho	ian/02	1	1	0.0	0.0%	4 337 016	0	0
SE-CO	G	Juiz de Fora	nov/01	0.32	0.32	15.3	99.5%	66.002	41.447	41.447
SE-CO	G	Macaé Merchant	nov/01	0.32	0.32	15.3	99.5%	740.098	464.759	464.759
SE-CO	Н	Laieado (ANEEL res. 402/2001)	nov/01	1	1	0.0	0.0%	4.331.991	0	0
SE-CO	G	Eletropolt	Oct-2001	0.32	0.32	15.3	99.5%	1.324.501	831.746	831,746
SE-CO	Н	Porto Estrela	Sep-2001	1	1	0.0	0.0%	554.865	0	0
SE-CO	G	Cuiaba (Mario Covas)	Aug-2001	0.32	0.32	15,3	99,5%	1.659.230	1.041.946	1.041.946
SE-CO	G	W. Arjona	jan/01	0,32	0,32	15,3	99,5%	538.087	337.902	337.902
S	G	Uruguaiana	jan/00	0,5	0,5	15,3	99,5%	2.270.176	912.385	912.385
S	Н	S. Caxias	jan/99	1	1	0.0	0.0%	6.015.459	0	0
SE-CO	Н	Canoas I	jan/99	1	1	0.0	0.0%	578.928	0	0
SE-CO	Н	Canoas II	jan/99	1	1	0.0	0.0%	486.299	0	0
SE-CO	Н	Igarapava	jan/99	1	1	0.0	0.0%	1.090.945	0	0
SE-CO	Н	Porto Primavera	jan/99	1	1	0.0	0.0%	9.472.700	0	0
SE-CO	D	Cuiaba (Mario Covas)	Oct-1998	0,32	0,33	20,2	99,0%	0	0	0
SE-CO	н	Sobragi	Sep-1998	1	-	0.0	0.0%	395.652	0	0
SE-CO	н	PCH EMAE	an/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	137.132	0	0
S	н	PCH CEEE	jan/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	215.617	0	0
S	н	PCH ENERSUL	jan/98	1		0.0	0.0%	174.892	0	0
SE-CO	н	PCH CEB	jan/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	109.606	0	0
SE-CO	н	PCH ESCELSA	jan/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	353.471	0	0
S	H	PCH GELESC	jan/98	1	-	0.0	U.0%	468.240	0	U
SE-CO	н	PCH CEMAT	jan/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.353./14	U	U
SE-CO	H	PCH CELG	jan/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	/3.309	0	U
35-00	n	POIL CODE	jan/98		-	0.0	0.0%	297.264	U	U
S CO	H	PCH COPEL	jan/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	/0/.277	0	U
SE-00	n u	POIL CEMIG	Jan/98		-	0.0	0.0%	0/2.546	U	J
3E-00	п	S Mana	jan/98			0.0	0.0%	400.822	U C	U
SE-00	H	PCH EPALILO	jar/36	4	-	0.0	0.0%	4.307.130 D	0	0
SE-00	H	Guilman Amorim	jan/50		-	0.0	0.0%	661 200	0	c c
SE-00	H	Corumbá	jar/97	1	-	0.0	0.0%	2 163 267	0	U C
3E-00	1	Mirondo	jan/97	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.060.921	0	0
SE-00	н	Nosv Ponte	ion/04	1		0.0	0.0%	1 302 583	0	0
S-00	н	Segredo (Gov, Nev Brags)	jan/94	1		0.0	0.0%	5 897 593	0	0
SE-CO	н	Taquarucu	ian/89	1	-	0.0	0.0%	2 022 042	0	-
SE-CO	н	Manso	ian/88	1	-	0.0	0.0%	732 036	0	-
S	Н	D Francisca	ian/87	1	-	0.0	0.0%	683 674	0	-
S	Н	Itá	ian/87	1	-	0.0	0.0%	6.054.272	0	-
SE-CO	н	Rosana	ian/87	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1 864 543	0	-
SE-CO	N	Angra	ian/85	1		0.0	0.0%	11.581.987	0	-
SE-CO	н	T. Irmãos	ian/85	1	-	0.0	0.0%	2.058.733	0	-
SE-CO	Н	Itaipu 60 Hz	jan/83	1	-	0.0	0.0%	46.853.256	0	-
SE-CO	Н	Itaipu 50 Hz	jan/83	1	-	0.0	0.0%	36.935.778	0	-
SE-CO	Н	Emborcação	jan/82	1	-	0.0	0.0%	4.312.481	0	-
SE-CO	Н	Nova Avanhandava	jan/82	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.406.957	0	-
S	Н	Gov. Bento Munhoz - GBM	jan/80	1	-	0.0	0.0%	5.352.443	0	-
S	Н	S.Santiago	jan/80	1	-	0.0	0.0%	6.886.744	0	-
SE-CO	Н	Itumbiara	jan/80	1	-	0.0	0.0%	7.854.963	0	-
SE-CO	0	Igarapé	jan/78	0,2938		20,7	99%	19.989	18.406	-
S	Н	Itauba	jan/78	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.233.332	0	-
SE-CO	Н	A. Vermelha (Jose E. Moraes)	jan/78	1	-	0.0	0.0%	6.520.363	0	-
SE-CO	Н	S.Simão	jan/78	1	-	0.0	0.0%	12.205.751	0	-
SE-CO	Н	Capivara	jan/77	1	-	0.0	0.0%	3.302.087	0	-
S	Н	S.Osório	jan/75	1	-	0.0	0.0%	484.648	0	-
SE-CO	Н	Marimbondo	jan/75	1	-	0.0	0.0%	6.349.261	0	-
SE-CO	Н	Promissão	jan/75	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.048.625	0	-
S	C	Pres. Medici	jan/74	0,2178		26	98%	1.492.153	2.304.140	-
SE-CO	н	Volta Grande	jan/74	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1./93.61/	0	-
SE-00	n	Poho Colombia	jun/73		-	0.0	0.0%	1./15.325	U	-
S	н	Passo Fundo	jan//3	1		0.0	0.0%	/05.586	U	-
5	н	Passo Heal	jan/73	1	-	0.0	0.0%	549.702	0	-
SE-00	n u	Ina Solteira Moscorophos	an/73	1	-	0.0	0.0%	796 912	0	-
SE-CO	n	Mascarennas	jan/73		-	0.0	0.0%	/60.612	0	-
SE-CO	H	Chavantee	jar#/1	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1 935 377	0	
SE-00	H	Januara	jar#//1	1	-	0.0	0.0%	2 506 033	0	
SE-00	H	Sá Canalho	Anr-1970	1		0.0	0.0%	464 810	0	
SE-CO	H	Estreito (Luiz Carlos Barreto)	ian/69	1	-	0.0	0.0%	2,948 054	0	-
SE-00	H	Ibitinga	ian/69	1	-	0.0	0.0%	712 124	0	
SE-CO	H	Jupiá	jan/69	1	-	0.0	0.0%	8.790.288	0	
S	0	Alegrete	jan/68	0,26	-	20.7	99%	0	0	
SE-CO	G	Campos (Roberto Silveira)	jan/68	0,24	-	15,3	99,5%	0	Ó	
SE-CO	G	Santa Cruz (RJ)	jan/68	0,3342	-	15,3	99,5%	199.124	119.714	
SE-CO	Н	Paraibuna	jan/68	1	-	0.0	0.0%	199.289	0	
SE-CO	Н	Limoeiro (Armando Sal es de Oliviera)	jan/67	1	-	0.0	0.0%	165.483	0	-
SE-CO	Н	Caconde	jan/66	1	-	0.0	0.0%	280.607	0	
S	С	J.Lacerda C	jan/65	0,3400	-	26	98%	2.330.323	2.305.359	-
S	С	J.Lacerda B	jan/65	0,2781		26	98%	1.304.788	1.577.783	-
S	С	J.Lacerda A	an/65	0,2663	-	26	98%	873.490	1.103.060	
SE-CO	н	Bariri (Alvaro de Souza Lima)	jan/65	1		0.0	0.0%	638.646	0	
SE-CO	Н	Funil (RJ)	jan/65	1	-	0.0	0.0%	685.740	0	· · · ·
S	С	Figueira	jan/63	0,1663		26	98%	73.448	148.530	
SE-CO	н	Fumas	jan/63	1	-	0.0	0.0%	4.288.104	0	-
SE-CO	н	Barra Bonita	jan/63	1	-	0.0	0.0%	567.300	0	-
S	C	Charqueadas	jan/62	0,2016	-	26	98%	239.467	399.441	
SE-CO	н	Jurumirim (Armando A. Laydner)	jan/62	1	-	0.0	0.0%	445.781	0	
S CO	H	Jacui Pereiro Passas	jan/62	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.1/8.249	0	-
SE-CO	H	Pereira Passos	jan/62	1	-	0.0	0.0%	384.696	0	-
3E-00	n u	res Marias	jan/62		-	0.0	0.0%	1.092.922	U	
SE-CO	H	Euclides da Cunha	jan/60	1	-	0.0	0.0%	561.413	0	-
SE-00	n u	Camargos	jan/60		-	0.0	0.0%	100.520	U	
SE-CO	н	Santa Branca	jan/60		-	0.0	0.0%	99.619	0	-
SE-00	H	Salto Grande (Lucse N. Garcez)	ja:/09	4	-	0.0	0.0%	484 648	0	
SE-00	H	Salto Grande (MG)	junioo jan/56	1		0.0	0.0%	579 580	0	
SE-00	H	Mascarenhas de Morace (Polyoto)	ian/56	1	-	0.0	0.0%	2 337 376	0	
3E-00	H H	masuarermas de Moraes (Perxolo)	jar/00		-	0.0	0.0%	230 520	0	
S	ć	S. Jerônimo	an/54	0,1140	-	26	98%	30,845	91,026	-
SE-CO	õ	Carjoha	ian/54	0.3	-	20.7	98%	0	0	
SE-CO	ŏ	Piratininga	an/54	0.2378	-	20.7	99%	162,952	185.352	-
S	Ĥ	Canastra	jan/53	1	-	0.0	0.0%	148.084	0	
SE-CO	H	Nilo Pecanha	ian/53	1		0.0	0.0%	2,689,893	0 0	-
SE-CO	H	Fontes Nova	jan/40	1	-	0.0	0.0%	803.368	0	
SE-CO	н	Henry Borden Sub.	jan/26	1	-	0.0	0.0%	5.393	0	
SE-CO	н	Henry Borden Ext.	an/26	1	-	0.0	0.0%	417,167	Ó	
SE-CO	Н	I. Pombos	jan/24	1	-	0.0	0.0%	881.028	0	
SE-CO	н	Jaguari	jan/17	1	-	0.0	0.0%	35.455	Ó	
International Import	Н			1	-	0.0	0.0%	189.847	0	-
International Export	Н			1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.180.696	0	
Import from NNE	Н	-	-	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.278.428	0	



	Ŋ	Year: 2005								
Grid SE-CO	Fuel Source H	Power Plant ¹ Quebra Queixo	Start Date Dec-2005	Fossil Fuel Conversion Efficiency ⁴ 1 1	Efficiency for EF_BM calculations ⁵ 1 1	Carbon emission Factor ³ (tC/TJ) 0,0	Fraction carbon oxidized ³ 0.0%	MWh generated ² 16.197	1CO ₂ for EF OM calculation	tCO ₂ for EF_BM calculation 0
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	пн	Barra Grande Mimoso	Nov-2005 Oct-2005	1	1	0.0 0.0	0.0%	25.16/ 248.690 48.329	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	нн	Ponte de Pedra Aimorés Santa Clara PR	Aug-2005 Aug-2005 Aug-2005	1	1 1 1	0,0 0,0 0,0	0.0% 0.0%	439.462 122.877 321.818	0	0 0 0
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	н G н	Monte Claro TermoRio PCH CESP	Jan-2005 Nov-2004 Sen-2004	1 0,32 1	1 0,32 1	0,0 15,3 0.0	0.0% 99,5% 0.0%	243.331 1.150.380	0 722.403	0 722.403
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	Н	Candonga Queimado Norte Fluminense	Sep-2004 May-2004 Feb-2004	1 1 0.32	1 1 0.32	0,0 0,0 15.3	0.0% 0.0% 99.5%	565.935 588.657 3.635.646	0 0 2 283 074	0 2 283 074
SE-CO SE-CO	Н	Jauru Gauporé	Sep-2003 Sep-2003	1	1	0.0	0.0%	514.779 389.619	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	нн	Funil (MG)	jan/03 Sep-2002	1	1	0.0	99,5% 0.0% 0.0%	800.466 1.104.190	433.331 0 0	0
S SE-CO	G G H	Araucária Canoas Piraju	Sep-2002 Sep-2002 Sep-2002	0,32 0,32 1	0,32 0,32 1	15,3 15,3 0.0	99,5% 99,5% 0.0%	0 927.537 446.366	0 582.465 0	0 582.465 0
SE-CO S SE-CO	G O H	Nova Piratininga PCT CGTEE Rosal	jun/02 jun/02	0,2197 0,33	0,32 0,33 1	15,3 20,7 0.0	99,5% 99,0% 0.0%	231.010 0 421.691	211.259 0	145.067 0
SE-CO SE-CO	GHI	Ibirité Cana Brava Sta Clara	May-2002 May-2002	0,32	0,32	15,3 0.0	99,5% 0.0%	490.201 2.316.663 332.249	307.831 0	307.831 0
S SE-CO	H	Machadinho Juiz de Fora	jan/02 nov/01	1 0,32	1 0,32	0.0 15.3	0.0%	4.480.027 232.477	0 145.988	0 145.988
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	H G	Lajeado (ANEEL res. 402/2001) Eletrobolt	nov/01 Oct-2001	1 0,32	1 0,32	0.0	99,5% 0.0% 99,5%	4.539.333 190.904	0 119.882	0 119.882
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	H G G	Porto Estrela Cuiaba (Mario Covas) W. Arjona	Sep-2001 Aug-2001 jan/01	1 0,32 0,32	1 0,32 0,32	0.0 15,3 15,3	0.0% 99,5% 99,5%	593.357 1.229.232 728.835	0 771.920 457.686	0 771.920 457.686
S SE-CO	GHI	Urugualana S. Caxias Canoas I	jan/00 jan/99	0,5	0,5	15,3 0.0	99,5% 0.0%	1.733.424 5.920.260 555.667	696.664 0	696.664 0
SE-CO SE-CO	н	Canoas II Igarapava	jan/99 jan/99	1	1	0.0	0.0%	441.828 1.297.196	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO	н	Sobragi PCH EMAE	Sep-1998 jan/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	385.988 149.526	0	0
S SE-CO	H	PCH CEEE PCH ENERSUL PCH CEB	jan/98 jan/98 jan/98	1 1	-	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	173.917 162.165 114.097	0	0 0 0
SE-CO S	нн	PCH ESCELSA PCH CELESC PCH CEMAT	jan/98 jan/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	500.563 481.799 1.515.897	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO	н	PCH CELG PCH CERJ	jan/98 jan/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	72.592 311.762	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO	н	PCH CEMIG PCH CPFL	jan/98 jan/98	1	-	0.0	0.0%	619.029 461.440	0 0	0
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	H	S. Mesa PCH EPAULO Guilmam Amorim	jan/98 jan/98 jan/97	1	-	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0% 0.0%	4.731.322 0 632.333	0	0 0 0
SE-CO SE-CO	нн	Corumbá Miranda	jan/97 jan/97	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.923.111 1.480.071 2.015.019	0	0
SE-CO SE-CO	н	Segredo (Gov. Ney Braga) Taguaruçu	jan/92 jan/89	1		0.0	0.0%	5.587.794 2.032.597	0	0
SE-CO S	нн	Manso D. Francisca Itá	jan/88 jan/87 jan/87	1	-	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0% 0.0%	616.312 761.279 5.940.371	0	-
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	H N H	Rosana Angra T. Irmãos	jan/87 jan/85 jan/85	1	-	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0% 0.0%	1.880.873 9.854.879 2.030.080	0	
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	нлл	Itaipu 60 Hz Itaipu 50 Hz Emborração	jan/83 jan/83 jan/82	1		0.0	0.0% 0.0%	43.263.219 38.437.460 5.428.696	0	
SE-CO S	H	Nova Avanhandava Gov. Bento Munhoz - GBM	jan/82 jan/80	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.424.680 5.264.925	0	-
SE-CO SE-CO	но	S.Santago Itumbiara Igarapé	jan/80 jan/80 jan/78	1 1 0,2938	-	0.0 0.0 20,7	0.0% 0.0% 99%	6.337.245 8.818.284 13.604	0 12.527	
SE-CO SE-CO	нн	A. Vermelha (Jose E. Moraes) S.Simão	jan/78 jan/78 jan/78	1	-	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0% 0.0%	1.725.629 7.426.577 11.878.356	0	
SE-CO S SE-CO	нн	Capivara S.Osório Marimbondo	jan/77 jan/75 jan/75	1	-	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0% 0.0%	3.445.003 4.404.318 6.694.731	0	
SE-CO SE-CO	НСл	Promissão Pres. Medici Volta Grande	jan/75 jan/74	1 0,2178	-	0.0 26 0.0	0.0%	1.022.782 1.699.573 2.181.749	0 2.624.433	· · ·
SE-CO S	Н	Porto Colombia Passo Fundo	jun/73 jan/73	1	-	0.0	0.0%	1.955.931 994.464	0	-
SE-CO SE-CO	н	Passo Real Ilha Solteira Mascarenhas	jan/73 jan/73 jan/73	1 1	-	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	671.226 16.814.478 795.700	0 0	-
S SE-CO SE-CO	H	Gov. Parigot de Souza - GPS Chavantes Jaouara	jan/71 jan/71 jan/71	1 1	-	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0% 0.0%	1.240.817 1.785.328 2.694.735	0	-
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	Н	Sá Carvalho Estreito (Luiz Carlos Barreto) Ibitinna	Apr-1970 jan/69 jan/69	1	-	0.0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	478.444 4.208.999 688.094	0	-
SE-CO S	но	Jupiá Alegrete	jan/69 jan/68	1 0,26	-	0.0 20,7	0.0%	9.114.514 0	0	-
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	G	Santa Cruz (RJ) Paralbuna	jan/68 jan/68	0,24 0,3342 1	-	15.3 0.0	99,5% 0.0%	176.628 272.422	0 106.190	
SE-CO SE-CO S	н	Limoeiro (Armando Sal es de Oliviera) Caconde J.Lacerda C	jan/67 jan/66 jan/65	1 1 0,3400	-	0.0 0.0 26	0.0% 0.0% 98%	157.213 400.542 2.012.313	0 0 1.990.755	
S SE-CO	C C H	J.Lacerda B J.Lacerda A Bariri (Alvaro de Souza Lima)	jan/65 jan/65	0,2781 0,2663		26 26 0.0	98% 98% 0.0%	1.188.746 877.032 603.788	1.437.462 1.107.533 0	
SE-CO S	H C	Funil (RJ) Figueira	jan/65 jan/63	1 0,1663	-	0.0 26	0.0%	857.914 81.238	0 164.284	-
SE-CO SE-CO	H	Barra Bonita Charqueadas	jan/63 jan/62	1 0,2016		0.0 26	0.0%	547.013 213.418	0 355.990	
SE-CO S SE-CO	Н	Jurumirim (Armando A. Laydner) Jacui Pereira Passos	jan/62 jan/62 jan/62	1	-	0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0% 0.0%	454.698 1.174.695 397.305	0	-
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	H	Tres Marias Euclides da Cunha Camarons	jan/62 jan/60 jan/60	1		0.0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	2.543.413 534.411 200 117	0	
SE-CO SE-CO	H	Santa Branca Cachoeira Dourada Salto Grande (Lucos N. Gamer)	jan/60 jan/59	1		0.0	0.0%	148.713 3.604.388 486.459	0	· · · · · ·
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	Н	Salto Grande (MG) Mascarenhas de Moraes (Peixoto)	jan/56 jan/56	1		0.0	0.0%	632.393 2.781.338	0	-
SE-CO S SE-CO	H C O	Itutinga S. Jerônimo Carioba	jan/55 jan/54 jan/54	1 0,1140 0,3	-	0.0 26 20,7	0.0% 98% 98%	251.290 33.587 0	0 99.117 0	
SE-CO S SE-CO	O H H	Piratininga Canastra Nilo Pecanha	jan/54 jan/53 jan/53	0,2378 1 1	-	20,7 0.0 0.0	99% 0.0% 0.0%	187.501 213.576 2.818.325	213.275 0 0	
SE-CO SE-CO	H	Fontes Nova Henry Borden Sub.	jan/40 jan/26	1	-	0.0	0.0%	748.752 199.758	0	
SE-CO SE-CO SE-CO	н	I. Pombos Jaguari	jan/20 jan/24 jan/17	1		0.0	0.0%	874.876 99.160	0	
International Import International Export Import from NNE	H		-	1 1 1		U.0 0.0 0.0	0.0%	490.209 620.561 3.045.043	0 0	



Legend

S: South	SE: Southeast
CO: Midwest	NNE: Northeast
C: Coal	D: Diesel
N: Natural Gas	O: Fuel oil
H: Hydro	N: Nuclear

References

¹ Agência Nacional de Energia Elétrica (ANEEL) - Banco de Informações de Geração (www.aneel.gov.br)
 ² Operador Nacional do Sistema Elétrico (ONS) (www.ons.org.br)
 ³ Intergovernamental Panel on Climate Change. Revised 1996 Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories.
 ⁴ Roadtesting Baselines for GHG mitigation Projects in the Electric Power Sector, October 2002
 ⁵ Executive Board recommended values

Assumption

The evaluation of the Operating Margin emission factor was conduced in a conservative way using the following consideration:

 $COEF_k = 0$.:

$$\frac{\sum_{(i,k)} F_{i,k,y} \cdot \text{COEF}_{i,k}}{\sum_{k} \text{GEN}_{k,y}} = 0$$



UNFCCC

Load Duration Curve



Figure 1. Load Duration Curve corresponding to the south-southeast-midwest Brazilian grid at 2003



Figure 2. Load Duration Curve corresponding to the south-southeast-midwest Brazilian grid at 2004

page 36



UNFCCC





Figure 3. Load Duration Curve corresponding to the south-southeast-midwest Brazilian grid at 2005

Full details about the necessary data to plot the load duration curves were provided to DOE.