

## CDM Project Activity Registration and Validation Report Form

(By submitting this form, designated operational entity confirms that the proposed CDM project activity meets all validation and registration requirements and thereby requests its registration)

Section 1: Request for registration				
Name of the designated operational entity (DOE) submitting this form	TÜV Industrie Service GmbH TÜV SÜD Group			
Title of the proposed CDM project activity (Section A.2 of the attached CDM-PDD) submitted for registration	Southeast Caeté Mills Bagasse Cogeneration Project (SECMBCP), Brazil			
Project participants (Name(s))	<ul> <li>Usina Caeté S.A. ("Unidade Delta" and "Unidade Volta Grande"), Brazil</li> <li>Econergy Brasil Ltda., Brazil</li> </ul>			
Sector in which project activity falls	Energy industries (renewable- / non.renewable sources) (1)			
Is the proposed project activity a small-sactivity?	Yes / No (underline as applicable)			
Section 2: Validation report				
List of documents to be attached to this validation report				

X The CDM-PDD of the project activity

(please check mark):

- X An explanation by the submitting designated operational entity of how it has taken due account of comments on validation requirements received, in accordance with the CDM modalities and procedures, from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations. This explanation is included in the Validation Report No. 704245, rev. 01;
- X The written approval of voluntary participation from the designated national authority of each Party involved, including confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assists it in achieving sustainable development:
- X Other documents, including any validation protocol used in the validation
  - Validation Report (Validation Report No. 704245, rev. 01) including a validation protocol, information reference list and and a list of persons interviewed by DOE validation team during the validation process.
- X Information on when and how the above validation report is made publicly available.
- Banking information on the payment of the non-reimbursable registration fee
- X A statement signed by all project participants stipulating the modalities of communicating with the Executive Board and the secretariat in particular with regard to instructions regarding allocations of CERs at issuance

## **Executive Summary and Introduction, including**

- Description of the proposed CDM project activity
- Scope of validation process (include all documentation that has been reviewed and name persons that have been interviewed as part of the validation, as applicable)
- DOE Validation team (list of all persons involved in the validation, describing functions assumed in the validation)

This project activity consists of increasing efficiency in the bagasse (a renewable fuel source, residue from sugarcane processing) cogeneration facility at two Southeast Caeté Sugar and Alcohol Mills (Usina Delta and Unidade Volta Grande) from the Carlos Lyra Group - **Usina Caeté S.A.** – both Brazilian sugar mills. With the implementation of this project, the mills are able to sell electricity to the national grid, avoiding the dispatch of same amount of energy produced by fossilfuelled thermal plants to that grid. By that, the initiative avoids CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

By investing to increase steam efficiency in the sugar and alcohol production and increase in the efficiency of burning the bagasse (more efficient boilers), Usina Caeté generates surplus steam and uses it exclusively for electricity production (through turbo-generators).

The validation scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document, the project's baseline study and monitoring plan and other relevant documents. The information in these documents is reviewed against Kyoto Protocol requirements, UNFCCC rules and associated interpretations. TÜV SÜD has, based on the recommendations in the Validation and Verification Manual employed a risk-based approach in the validation, focusing on the identification of significant risks for project implementation and the generation of CERs. The validation is not meant to provide any consulting towards the client. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may provide input for improvement of the project design.

The audit team has been provided with a draft PDD in August 2005 (Augsut 25<sup>th</sup>, 2005). Based on this documentation a document review and a fact finding mission in form of an on site audit has taken place. The PDD submitted in September 2005 was made public in the global stakeholder process. Afterwards the client decided to revise the PDD according to the CAR and CRs indicated in the audit process. The final revision, dated December 07<sup>th</sup>, 2005 serves as basis of the final assessment presented by this report.

Studying the existing documentation belonging to this project, it was obvious that the competence and capability of the validation team has to cover at least the following aspects:

- Knowledge of Kyoto Protocol and the Marrakech Accords
- Environmental and Social Impact Assessment
- > Skills in environmental auditing (ISO 14000, EMAS)
- Quality assurance
- > Technical aspects of cogeneration and the use of biomass
- Monitoring concepts
- Political, economical and technical random conditions in host country

 $\triangleright$ 

According to these requirements TÜV SÜD has composed a project team in accordance with the appointment rules of the TÜV certification body "climate and energy":

The validation team was consisting of the following two experts:

Thomas Kleiser (Project manager, GHG lead auditor)

Michael Rumberg (GHG lead auditor)

Johann Thaler (GHG auditor, local expert)
Javier Castro (technical expert, GHG trainee)

**Thomas Kleiser** is a lead auditor for CDM and JI projects at TÜV Industrie Service GmbH TÜV SÜD Group. In his position he is responsible for the implementation of verification and certifications processes for GHG mitigation projects. He has received extensive training in the CDM and JI validation processes and participated already in more than 20 CDM and JI project assessments.

**Michael Rumberg** is head of the division CDM/JI at TÜV Industrie Service GmbH TÜV SÜD Group. In his position he is responsible for the implementation of validation, verification and certifications processes for greenhouse gas mitigation projects in the context of the Kyoto Protocol. Before entering this company he worked as an expert for renewable energy, forestry, environmental issues, climate change and sustainability within the environmental branch of an insurance company. His competences are covering risk assessments, quality and environmental auditing (EMS auditor), baseline setting, monitoring and verification due to the requirements of the Kyoto Protocol.

**Johann Thaler** graduated as Master of environmental Economy at the University of Augsburg. During his study he got first experiences in environmental management systems. His master thesis was about a fuel switch program in Brazil as a CDM project. Based in Brazil he has been working for TÜV SÜD as a GHG auditor on freelance basis since March 2005.

**Javier Castro** is an energy expert for CDM and JI projects at TÜV Industrie Service GmbH TÜV SÜD Group. He has an academic background in chemical engineering and energy systems. In his position he participates as an expert in energy related projects during the validation, verification and certifications processes for GHG mitigation projects. He has received extensive training in the CDM and JI validation processes.

The audit team covers the above mentioned requirements as follows:

- Knowledge of Kyoto Protocol and the Marrakech Accords (Rumberg/Kleiser)
- Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (All)
- Skills in environmental auditing (All)
- Quality assurance (All)
- Technical aspects (All)
- Monitoring concepts (Rumberg/Kleiser)
- Political, economical and technical random conditions in host country (Thaler)

In order to have an internal quality control of the project, a team of the following persons has been composed by the certification body "climate and energy":

Werner Betzenbichler - Head of the Certification Body "Climate and Energy"

For further details please refer to the "Introduction" section of the validation report (Validation Report No. 704245, rev. 01).

## Description of methodology for carrying out validation

- Review of CDM-PDD and additional documentation attached to it
- Assessment against CDM requirements (e.g. by use of a validation protocol)
- Report of findings by the DOE, e.g. by use of type of findings (e.g. corrective action requests, clarifications or observations). Please explain the way findings are "labelled" during validation.

• Include statements or assessments in the section "Conclusions, final comments and validation opinion" below.

The validation of the project consists of the following three phases:

- Desk review
- Follow-up interviews
- Resolution of clarification and corrective action requests

In order to ensure transparency, a validation protocol was customised for the project, according to the Validation and Verification Manual. The protocol shows, in a transparent manner, criteria (requirements), means of verification and the results from validating the identified criteria. The validation protocol serves the following purposes:

- It organises, details and clarifies the requirements a CDM project is expected to meet;
- It ensures a transparent validation process where the validator will document how a particular requirement has been validated and the result of the validation.

The validation protocol consists of three tables. The completed validation protocol is enclosed in Annex 1 to this report.

Findings established during validation can either be seen as a non fullfillment of validation criteria or where a risk to the fulfilment of the project objectives is identified. Such findings are termed Corrective Action request. The term "Clarification request" is used when the validation team has identified a need for further clarification.

The Corrective Action Requests and Clarification Requests raised by TÜV SÜD were resolved during communication between the client and TÜV SÜD. To guarantee the transparency of the validation process, the concerns raised and responses that have been given are summarised in chapter 3 of the validation report and documented in more detail in the validation protocol in annex 1 to the validation report. The validation of the project resulted in five Corrective Action Request and fifteen Clarification Requests.

For further details please refer to the "Methodology" section of the validation report (Validation No. 704245, rev. 01).

Explanation by the submitting designated operational entity of how it has taken due account of comments on validation requirements received, in accordance with the CDM modalities and procedures, from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations;

- Description of how and when the PDD was made publicly available
- Description of how comments were received and made publicly available
- Explanation of how due account has been taken of comments received
- Compilation of all comments received (Identify the submitter)

TÜV SÜD published the project documents on UNFCCC website and on its own website <a href="http://www.netinform.net/KE/Wegweiser/Guide2.aspx?ID=1217&Ebene1">http://www.netinform.net/KE/Wegweiser/Guide2.aspx?ID=1217&Ebene1</a> ID=26&Ebene2 ID=287 &mode=1

The PDD was open for commenting in the period from September 1<sup>st</sup>, 2005 to the September

30<sup>th</sup>, 2005.

No comments have been received.

## Conclusions, final comments and validation opinion

- Provide conclusions on each requirement under paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures, describing how these requirements have been meet. This shall include assessments and findings (e.g. corrective action requests, clarifications or observations) in relation to each requirement, including a confirmation that all issues raised have been addressed to the satisfaction of the DOE.
- Final comments and validation opinion

TÜV SÜD has performed a validation of the Southeast Caeté Mills Bagasse Cogeneration Project (SECMBCP), Brazil. The validation was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting. UNFCCC criteria refer to Article 12 of the Kyoto Protocol, the CDM modalities and procedures and subsequent decisions by the CDM Executive Board.

The review of the project design documentation and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided TÜV SÜD with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfilment of stated criteria.

In our opinion, the project meets all relevant UNFCCC requirements for the CDM and all relevant host country criteria. The project will hence be recommended by TÜV SÜD for registration with the UNFCCC under the CDM.

Prior to the submission of this validation report to the CDM Executive Board, TÜV SÜD will have to receive the written approval of the DNA of involved parties, including confirmation by the DNA of Brazil that the project assists in achieving sustainable development.

The validation consists of the following three phases:

- Desk review
- Follow up interviews
- Resolution of clarification and corrective action requests

The Corrective Action Requests and Clarification Requests raised by TÜV SÜD were resolved during communication between the client and TÜV SÜD in a satisfactory manner.

The participation requirements defined in paragraph 28-30 of the modalities and procedures (decision 17/CP.7) for the Clean Development Mechanism are satisfied.

Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of comments received has been provided and a report on how due account was taken of any comment has been received.

The project is based on an approved methodology.

By displacing fossil fuel-based electricity in principal with electricity generated from a renewable source, the project results in reductions of  $CO_2$  emissions that are real, measurable and give long-term benefits to the mitigation of climate change. An analysis of the investment and technological barriers demonstrates that the proposed project activity is not a likely baseline scenario. Emission reductions attributable to the project are hence additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the project is implemented as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of emission reductions.

Additionally the assessment team reviewed the estimation of the projected emission reductions.

We can confirm that the indicated amount of emission reductions of 212 280 tonnes  $CO_{2e}$  over a crediting period of seven years, resulting in a calculated annual average of 30 326 tonnes  $CO_{2e}$ , represents a reasonable estimation using the assumptions given by the project documents.

The validation is based on the information made available to us and the engagement conditions detailed in this report. The validation has been performed using a risk based approach as described above. The only purpose of this report is its use during the registration process as part of the CDM project cycle. Hence, TÜV SÜD can not be held liable by any party for decisions made or not made based on the validation opinion, which will go beyond that purpose.

The DOE declares herewith that in undertaking the validation of this proposed CDM project activity it has no financial interest related to the proposed CDM project activity and that undertaking such a validation does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of a DOE under the CDM.

By submitting this validation report, the DOE confirms that all validation requirements are met.  Name of authorized officer signing for the DOE	Thomas Kleise	nas l	View	
Date and signature for the DOE	December 15, 2005			
Section below to be filled by UNFCCC secretariat				
Date when the form is received at UNFCCC secreta				
Date at which the registration fee has been received				
Date at which registration shall be deemed final				
Date of request for review, if applicable				
Date and number of registration	Date	Number		