

CDM Project Activity Registration and Validation Report Form (By submitting this form, designated operational entity confirms that the proposed CDM project activity meets all validation and registration requirements and thereby requests its registration)

	Section 1: Request for registration							
Name of the designated operational entity (DOE) submitting this form		Det Norske Veritas Certification Ltd. (DNV)						
Title of the proposed CDM project activity (Section A.2 of the attached CDM-PDD) submitted for registration		Anaconda Landfill Gas Project						
Project participants (Name(s))		Anaconda Ambiental Empreendimentos Ltda.(Brazil) and Araúna Investimentos e Participações Ltda (Brazil)						
Sector in	which project activity falls	Waste I	Waste handling and disposal					
Is the pro activity?	posed project activity a small-so	ale	No					
Section 2: Validation report								
List of documents to be attached to this validati (please check mark) <i>:</i>			report					
V	The CDM-PDD of the Project activity							
Ø	An explanation by the submitting designated operational entity of how it has taken due account of comments on validation requirements received, in accordance with the CDM modalities and procedures, from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations (Note: Included in DNV's Validation Report (DNV report 2005-0459, rev 02));							
	governmental organizations (Note	Parties, st	akeholders	and UNFCCC accredited non-				
	governmental organizations (Note 2005-0459, rev 02)); The written approval of voluntary	Parties, st e: Included participation	akeholders and the standard st and from the standard s	and UNFCCC accredited non- 'alidation Report (DNV report designated national authority of				
	governmental organizations (Note 2005-0459, rev 02)); The written approval of voluntary each Party involved, including co it in achieving sustainable develo o (Attach a list of all Parties	Parties, st e: Included participati nfirmation pment: involved a	akeholders a d in DNV's V on from the by the host nd attach th	and UNFCCC accredited non- 'alidation Report (DNV report designated national authority of Party hat the project activity assist e approval(in alphabetic order))				
	governmental organizations (Note 2005-0459, rev 02)); The written approval of voluntary each Party involved, including co it in achieving sustainable develo o (Attach a list of all Parties Other documents, including any volume o DNV's Validation Report (I	Parties, st e: Included participati nfirmation pment: involved a validation p	akeholders a d in DNV's V on from the by the host nd attach th protocol use t 2005-0459	and UNFCCC accredited non- 'alidation Report (DNV report designated national authority of Party hat the project activity assis e approval(in alphabetic order))				
V	governmental organizations (Note 2005-0459, rev 02)); The written approval of voluntary each Party involved, including co it in achieving sustainable develo o (Attach a list of all Parties Other documents, including any volume o DNV's Validation Report (In protocol and a list of person	Parties, st e: Included participation firmation pment: involved a validation p DNV repor on interview	akeholders a d in DNV's V on from the by the host nd attach th protocol use t 2005-0459 wed by DNV	and UNFCCC accredited non- 'alidation Report (DNV report designated national authority of Party hat the project activity assis e approval(in alphabetic order)) d in the validation. 9, rev 02), including a validation ' validation team during the				
⊠ ⊐ Inf	governmental organizations (Note 2005-0459, rev 02)); The written approval of voluntary each Party involved, including co it in achieving sustainable develo o (Attach a list of all Parties Other documents, including any v o DNV's Validation Report (In protocol and a list of person validation process.	Parties, st e: Included participati nfirmation pment: involved a validation p DNV repor on interview	akeholders a d in DNV's V on from the by the host nd attach th protocol use t 2005-0459 wed by DNV ion report is	and UNFCCC accredited non- 'alidation Report (DNV report designated national authority of Party hat the project activity assis e approval(in alphabetic order)) d in the validation. 9, rev 02), including a validation validation team during the made publicly available.				

Executive Summary and Introduction, including

- Description of the proposed CDM project activity
- Scope of validation process (include all documentation that has been reviewed and name persons that have been interviewed as part of the validation, as applicable)
- DOE Validation team (list of all persons involved in the validation, describing functions assumed in the validation)

The Anaconda landfill at Santa Isabel, São Paulo State, Brazil, started operation in 2000 and it is expected to be closed in 2030. The landfill receives an average of 419 tonnes/day of waste, resulting in 152 935 tonnes/year. Up to 2006, landfill gas (LFG) will be collected only through a passive system, and the collected LFG will be vented and occasionally flared at the head of the wells for safety and odour control. The "Anaconda Landfill Gas Project" plans to install a LFG collection and flaring system. The forecasted operational lifetime of the Anaconda Landfill Gas Project is 21 years and the project applies for a renewable crediting period of 7 years starting on 1 January 2006.

By connecting the existing vertical drains and by flaring the collected landfill gas, the project is expected to increase the LFG collection efficiency to 75% and to flare all LFG collected. The estimated amount of GHG reduction from the project is 842 932 tonnes of CO₂e during the first renewable crediting period of 7 years starting on 1 January 2006 (120 419 tonnes of CO₂e per year on the average).

The validation scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document (PDD). The PDD is reviewed against the criteria stated in Article 12 of the Kyoto Protocol, the CDM modalities and procedures as agreed in the Marrakech Accords, the simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDMM project activities and the relevant decisions by the CDM Executive Board. The validation team has, base done the recommendation in the IETA/PCF Validation and Verification Manual, employed a risk-based approach, focusing on the identification of significant risks for the project implementation and the generation of CERs.

The following documents were reviewed:

Anaconda Landfill Gas Project PDD, version April 2005

Anaconda Landfill Gas Project PDD, version May 2005

Anaconda Landfill Gas Project PDD, version September 2005

Araúna and Anaconda Ambiental S/A: Datasheet to calculate the Baseline and Project Emissions, Excel spreadsheets, September 2005

Approved Baseline Methodology ACM0001: "Consolidated baseline methodology for landfill gas projects activities". Version 01 of 03 September 2004

Approved Monitoring Methodology ACM0001: "Consolidated monitoring methodology for landfill gas projects activities". Version 01 of 03 September 2004.

Approved Baseline Methodology ACM0002: "Consolidated baseline methodology for gridconnected electricity generation from renewable sources". Version 01 of 03 September 2004. Spreadsheet of Calculation of Combined Margin (ONS Emission Factor SSECO 2002-2004 v 2005-08-31)

International Emissions Trading Association (IETA) & the World Bank's Prototype Carbon Fund (PCF): Validation and Verification Manual. Available at http://www.vvmanual.info.

The following persons were interviewed:

Nino Sérgio Bottini – Araúna Participações e Investimentos Ltda Maurício Maruca – Araúna Participações e Investimentos Ltda. Larry Stuber - Herjack Engenharia e Serviços Ltda The validation team consist of the following personnel: Ms Cintia Dias DNN Mr Luis Filipe Aboim Tavares DNN Mr Michael Lehmann DNN Mr Einar Telnes DNN

DNV Rio de Janeiro DNV Rio de Janeiro DNV Oslo DNV Oslo

For further details, please refer to the "Introduction" Section of DNV's Validation Report (DNV report 2005-0459, rev 02).

Description of methodology for carrying out validation

- Review of CDM-PDD and additional documentation attached to it
- Assessment against CDM requirements (e.g. by use of a validation protocol)
- Report of findings by the DOE, e.g. by use of type of findings (e.g. corrective action requests, clarifications or observations). Please explain the way findings are "labelled" during validation.
- Include statements or assessments in the section "Conclusions, final comments and validation opinion" below.

The validation consisted of the following three phases:

- i) a desk review of the project design documents;
- ii) follow-up interview with Project stakeholders;
- iii) the resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of validation report and opinion.

The initial Project Design Document (PDD) submitted by Araúna (version of April 2005), the revised PDD (version of May 2005) and the final PDD (version of September 2005) were reviewed. In addition, complementary spreadsheets documenting the baseline calculations were reviewed by the validation team.

On 24 May 2005, DNV performed interviews with representatives of Araúna and Anaconda Landfill to confirm and to resolve issues identified in the document review.

In order to ensure transparency, a validation protocol has been customized for the Project, according to the Validation ad Verification Manual. The protocol shows, in a transparent manner, criteria (requirements), means of verification and the results from validation the identified criteria.

Findings established during the validation can either be seen as a non- fulfilment of validation criteria or where a risk to the fulfilment of project objectives is identified. Such findings are termed Corrective Action Requests (CAR). The term Clarification may be used where additional information is needed to fully clarify an issue.

The validation of the project identified four (4) Corrective Action Requests and two (2) requests for Clarification. These requests were presented to the project participants in DNV's draft validation report of 23 May 2005 (Report No. 2005-0459 rev. 0). Additional information provided by the project participants resolved these requests to DNV's full satisfaction.

To guarantee the transparency of the validation process, the concerns raised by DNV and the response provided by the project participants are documented in Table 3 of the validation protocol in Appendix A to DNV's Validation Report (Report No. 2005-0459 rev. 02).

For further details, please refer to the "Methodology" Section of DNV's Validation Report (DNV report 2005-0459, rev 02)and the IETA/PCF Validation ad Verification Manual (<u>www.vvmanual.info</u>)

Explanation by the submitting designated operational entity of how it has taken due account of comments on validation requirements received, in accordance with the CDM modalities and procedures, from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations;

- Description of how and when the PDD was made publicly available
- Description of how comments were received and made publicly available
- Explanation of how due account has been taken of comments received
- Compilation of all comments received (Identify the submitter)

The PDD of April 2005 was made publicly available on <u>www.dnv.com/certification/climatechange</u> and Parties, stakeholders and NGOs were through the CDM website invited to provide comments during the period 30 April 2005 to 30 May 2005.

No comments were received.

Conclusions, final comments and validation opinion

- Provide conclusions on each requirement under paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures, describing how these requirements have been meet. This shall include assessments and findings (e.g. corrective action requests, clarifications or observations) in relation to each requirement, including a confirmation that all issues raised have been addressed to the satisfaction of the DOE.
- Final comments and validation opinion

Det Norske Veritas Certification Ltd. (DNV) has performed a validation of the "Anaconda Landfill Gas Project", in Brazil. The validation was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria for CDM project activities and relevant Brazilian criteria, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The project participants are Anaconda Ambiental Empreendimentos Ltda. of Brazil and Araúna Investimentos e Participações Ltda of Brazil. The host Party is Brazil. Brazil meets all relevant participation requirements. The project is currently presented as a unilateral CDM project.

The project proposes collection and combustion or flaring of the landfill gas (LFG) captured at the Anaconda Landfill. By flaring landfill gas, the project results in the reduction of CH_4 emissions that are real, measurable and give long-term benefits and that are additional to what would have occurred in the absence of the project. Given that the project is implemented as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of emission reductions.

The project is not expected to have considerable environmental impacts and the landfill has received an environmental licence by CETESB.

The project applies the baseline and monitoring methodology ACM0001: "Consolidated baseline methodology for landfill gas projects activities". The baseline methodology has been correctly applied and the assumptions made for the selected baseline scenario are sound. It is sufficiently demonstrated that the project is not a likely baseline scenario and that emission reductions attributable to the project are additional to any that would occur in absence of the project activity.

The monitoring plan sufficiently specifies the monitoring requirements of the main project indicators.

Local stakeholders' comments were invited according Brazilian DNA Resolution 1.

In summary, it is DNV's opinion that the "Anaconda Landfill Gas Project" in Brazil, as described in the PDD of September 2005, meets all relevant UNFCCC requirements for the CDM and all relevant host country criteria and correctly applies the baseline and monitoring methodology ACM0001. Hence, DNV will requests the registration of the "Anaconda Landfill Gas Project" as CDM project activity.

For further details, please refer to the "Validation Findings" Section and Table 1 of the Validation Protocol in Appendix A of DNV's Validation Report (DNV report 2005-0459, rev 02).

The DOE declares herewith that in undertaking the validation of this proposed CDM project activity it has no financial interest related to the proposed CDM project activity and that undertaking such a validation does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of a DOE under the CDM.

confirms that all validation requirements are met.	Prior to the submission of this validation report to the CDM Executive Board, DNV will have to receive the written approval of voluntary participation from the DNA of Brazil, including confirmation that the project assists in achieving sustainable development.
Name of authorized officer signing for the DOE	Michael Cehman
	Michael Lehmann

Date and signature for the DOE	29 September 20	05					
Section below to be filled by UNFCCC secretariat							
Date when the form is received at UNFCCC secretar	iat						
Date at which the registration fee has been received							
Date at which registration shall be deemed final							
Date of request for review, if applicable							
Date and number of registration		Date	Number				