

# CDM: Proposed new methodology expert form - second review

(version 03) (To be used by methodology lead experts providing desk review for a	
Name of expert responsible for completing and submitting this form	
Related F-CDM-NM document ID number	
Note to reviewers: Please provide recommendation methodologies based on an assessment of CDM-Ndraft CDM-PDD, desk reviews and public input. Plarguments and expert judgements are substantiated	NM and of its application in sections A to C of the lease ensure that the form is completed and that
History of submission (to be communicated to	o reviewers by UNFCCC Secretariat):
Note to reviewers: if the methodology is a result associated Meth Panel recommendations.	omission, please read the previous version and
Title of the proposed new baseline methodo	
Evaluation of the proposed new methodolo	gy by the desk reviewer
A. Changes needed to improve the method	<mark>lology</mark>
(1) Outline any changes needed to improve	the methodology:
a) Major changes:	
b) Minor changes:	
B. Details of the evaluation of the propose	d new methodology
Evaluate each section of CDM-NM. Please provide	e your comments section by section:
(1) Applicability conditions	
a) State the applicability conditions as provided CDM-NM)	I in the CDM-NM (simply copy from the submitted
b) Explain whether the proposed applicability co explain required changes:	onditions are appropriate and adequate. If not,

### (2) Definition of the project boundary

- a) State how the project boundary is defined in terms of:
  - i) Gases and sources
  - ii) Physical delineation
- b) Indicate whether this project boundary is appropriate. If not, outline required changes:

## (3) Determining the baseline scenario and demonstrating additionality

- a) Explain the methodological basis for determining the baseline scenario, and whether this basis is appropriate and adequate. If not, outline required changes:
- b) Explain whether the application of the methodology could result in a baseline scenario that reasonably represents the anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity.
- c) State whether the documentation explains how, through the use of the methodology, it can be demonstrated that a project activity is additional and therefore not the baseline scenario. If so, what are the tools provided by the project participants?
- d) Explain whether the basis for assessing additionality is appropriate and adequate. If not, outline required changes:

#### (4) Methodological basis for calculating baseline emissions and emission reductions

- a) Explain how the methodology calculates baseline emissions and whether the basis for calculating baseline emissions is appropriate and adequate. If not, outline required changes:
- b) Explain how the methodology calculates project emissions and whether the basis for calculating project emissions is appropriate and adequate. If not, outline required changes:

#### (5) Leakage

- a) State how the methodology addresses any potential leakage due to the project activity:
- b) Indicate whether the treatment for leakage is appropriate and adequate. If not, outline required changes:

#### (6) Key assumptions

- a) List the implicit and explicit key assumptions and rationale for the methodology:
- b) Give your expert judgement on whether the assumptions are adequate. Identify those, if any, which are problematic and outline required changes:

- (7) Data and parameters NOT monitored (i.e. data that is determined only once and remains fixed throughout the crediting period)
- a) Indicate for all key data and parameters which data sources or default values are used and how the data or the measurements are obtained (e.g. official statistics, expert judgement):
- b) Explain the vintage of data recommended (in relation to the duration of the project crediting period) and whether the vintage of data is appropriate, indicating the period covered by the data. If not, outline required changes:
- c) Give your expert judgement on whether the data and the measurement procedures (if any) used are adequate, consistent, accurate and reliable. Identify those, if any, which are problematic and outline required changes:
- d) State possible data gaps:
- (8) Key data and parameters monitored (i.e. data that is determined throughout the crediting period)
- a) Indicate for all key data and parameters which data sources (e.g. official statistics, expert judgement) or measurement procedures are used:
- b) Give your expert judgement on whether the data sources and measurement procedures (if any) used are adequate, consistent, accurate and reliable. If not, outline required changes:
- c) Give your expert judgement on whether the monitoring frequency for the data and parameters is appropriate. If not, outline required changes:
- d) Give your expert judgement on whether the QA/QC procedures are appropriate. If not, outline required changes:
- e) State possible data gaps:

#### (9) Assessment of uncertainties

Provide an assessment of uncertainties given (e.g. in determining baseline scenario, data sources, key assumptions)

# (10) Transparency, "conservativeness" and consistency

- a) Explain whether the methodology has been described in an adequate and transparent manner. If not, outline required changes:
- b) Explain whether the methodology is conservative, and if so, how:
- c) Explain whether the methodology is internally consistent, and if not, highlight which sections are inconsistent:

(11) If relevant, state whether the proposed changes required for the methodology implementation on 2 <sup>nd</sup> and 3 <sup>rd</sup> crediting periods are appropriate.		
<ul> <li>(12) Any other comments</li> <li>a) State which other source(s) of information (i.e. other than documentation on this proposed methodology available on the UNFCCC CDM web site) have been used by you in evaluating this methodology. Please provide specific references:</li> <li>b) Indicate any further comments:</li> </ul>		
Signature of desk reviewer		
Information to be completed by the secretariat		
F-CDM-Nmex_2d doc id number		
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat		
Date of transmission to the Meth Panel and EB		
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site		