

Revision to the approved baseline methodology ACM0003

"Emissions reduction through partial substitution of fossil fuels with alternative fuels in cement manufacture"

Source

This methodology is based on two cases "Replacement of Fossil Fuel by Palm Kernel Shell biomass in the production of Portland cement" NM0040, prepared by Lafarge Asia, and "Indocement's Sustainable cement Production Project" NM0048-rev, prepared by Indocement. For more information regarding the proposals and their consideration by the Executive Board please refer to cases NM0040 and NM0048-rev on http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/approved.html.

Selected approach from paragraph 48 of the CDM modalities and procedures

"Emissions from a technology that represents an economically attractive course of action, taking into account barriers to investment"

Applicability

The methodology is applicable to the cement industry with the following conditions:

- Fossil fuel(s) used in cement manufacture are partially replaced by the following *alternative fuels*:
 - (a) Wastes originating from fossil sources, such as tires, plastics, textiles from polymers, or rubber;
 - (b) Biomass residues¹ where they are available in surplus and would in the absence of the project activity be dumped or left to decay or burned in an uncontrolled manner without utilizing them for energy purposes;
- In case of project activities using biomass residues, any preparation of the biomass, occurring before use in the project activity, does neither require significant energy quantities (e.g. esterification of waste oils), except from transportation and/or drying of the biomass, nor does it cause significant GHG emissions (such as, for example, methane emissions from anaerobic treatment or char coal production).
- CO₂ emissions reduction relates to CO₂ emissions generated from fuel burning requirements only and is unrelated to the CO₂ emissions from decarbonisation of raw materials (i.e. CaCO₃ and MgCO₃ bearing minerals);
- The methodology is applicable only for installed capacity (expressed in tonnes clinker/year) that exists by the time of validation of the project activity;
- The amount of alternative fuels available for the project is at least 1.5 times the amount required to meet the consumption of all users consuming the same alternative fuels, i.e. the project and other alternative fuel users.

This baseline methodology shall be used in conjunction with the approved monitoring methodology ACM0003 ("Monitoring methodology for emissions reduction through partial substitution of fossil fuels with alternative fuels in cement manufacture").

¹ In the context of this methodology, *biomass residues* means *biomass* by-products, residues and waste streams from agriculture, forestry and related industries and the non-fossilized and biodegradable organic fractions of industrial and municipal wastes. *Biomass* means non-fossilized and biodegradable organic material originating from plants, animals and micro-organisms. This shall also include products, by-products, residues and waste from agriculture, forestry and related industries as well as the non-fossilized and biodegradable organic fractions of industrial and municipal wastes. *Biomass* also includes gases and liquids recovered from the decomposition of non-fossilized and biodegradable organic material.



Project boundary

The physical project boundary covers all production processes related to clinker production. The specific production step associated with GHG emissions that will define the project boundary primarily includes pyro-processing. In terms of gases covered within the project boundary, only CO₂ emissions from the combustion of fuels are considered, because the cement manufacturing process involves high combustion temperatures and long residence times that would limit production of other GHG emissions.

Baseline scenario selection

1. Define alternative scenarios for the fuel mix

- Define a continuation of current practice scenario, i.e., a scenario in which the company continues cement production using the existing technology, materials and fuel mix. Quantify the amount of fossil fuel(s) that would be used for clinker production over the project period.
- Define scenario(s) reflecting the likely evolving fuel mix portfolios, and relative prices of fuels available.² The scenario(s) may be based on one fuel or a different mixes of fuels. Quantify the amount of fossil fuel(s) that is expected to be used for clinker production over the project period.
- Define a scenario in which traditional fuels are partially substituted with alternative fuels (i.e. the proposed project). If relevant, develop different scenarios with different mix of alternative fuels and varying degrees of fuel-switch from traditional to alternative fuels. These scenarios should reflect all relevant policies and regulations³. Quantify the amounts of fossil fuels and alternative fuels that would be used for clinker production over the project period.

2. Option 1: Select baseline scenario through financial analysis

The baseline scenario defines the most likely situation in the absence of the proposed project. A key assumption of this methodology is that the cement company is taking decisions to maximise its revenues. The baseline scenario for a fuel-switch project implemented in the cement sector, therefore, should be selected from among the alternative scenarios by conducting the following financial tests:

- Calculate the financial costs (e.g. capital and variable costs) of the different alternatives.
- For all relevant project scenarios, compare the scenarios on the basis of NPV, IRR, or an alternative indicator of financial attractiveness of investment. Compute the financial indicator using net incremental cash flow but excluding potential CER revenue.
- Based upon this comparison, select the most cost-effective scenario from the list of alternative scenarios. The scenario with the most attractive economics, as measured by the chosen financial indicator (e.g. highest IRR, highest NPV), should be selected as the baseline scenario.
- A sensitivity analysis should be performed to assess the robustness of the selection of the most likely future scenario to reasonable variations in critical assumptions and to establish that the project is not the baseline. The financial indicator is calculated conservatively if assumptions tend to make the CDM project's indicators more attractive and the alternatives' indicators less attractive.

The baseline scenario should take into account relevant national/local and sectoral policies and circumstances, and the proponent should demonstrate that the key factors, assumptions and parameters of the baseline scenario are conservative.

² If relevant, construction of a new cement kiln or plant using alternative fuels could be included as a possible scenario. Note that the clinker production capacity should remain constant.

³ Please refer to Executive Board guidance on national policies

<<u>http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/Meetings/016/eb16repan3.pdf</u>>

Option 2: Select baseline scenario through barriers analysis

The baseline scenario defines the most likely situation in the absence of the proposed project.

Each fuel selection scenario should be processed via the barriers analysis step of the latest version of the *"Tool for demonstration assessment and of additionality"* agreed by the CDM Executive Board, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM web site^{4.}

The baseline scenario should take into account relevant national/local and sectoral policies and circumstances, and the proponent should demonstrate that the key factors, assumptions and parameters of the baseline scenario are conservative.

Additionality

The additionality of the project activity shall be demonstrated and assessed using the latest version of the **"Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality"** agreed by the CDM Executive Board, which is available on the UNFCCC CDM web site⁵.

Baseline and project emissions calculations

The project reduces CO₂ emissions from burning fossil fuel in cement kilns.

 CH_4 emissions from kilns are negligible and are ignored. The project activity also generates CO_2 , CH_4 , and N_2O emissions due to on-site transportation of the alternative fuels.

Other emissions outside of the project boundary include CH_4 emissions from burning of biomass residues in open fields and/or CH_4 emissions due to anaerobic decomposition of biomass residues in landfills in the absence of the project activity. These are covered under the section on leakage. Emissions of CO_2 , CH_4 , and N_2O due to off-site transport and preparation of alternative fuels and fossil fuels to the cement plants are also addressed in the leakage section below.

Step 1. Calculate project heat input from alternative fuels

Heat input from alternative fuels with significant moisture content is calculated first to allow for the calculation of a project-specific moisture "penalty" for alternative fuel heat input requirements.

$$HI_{AF} = \sum Q_{AF} \times HV_{AF}$$

where:

 HI_{AF} = heat input from alternative fuels (TJ/yr) Q_{AF} = quantity of each alternative fuel (tonnes/yr) HV_{AF} = lower heating value of the alternative fuel(s) used (TJ/tonne fuel). (1)

⁴ Please refer to: <<u>http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/approved.html</u>>

⁵ Please refer to: < <u>http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/approved.html</u>>



UNFCCC

ACM0003 / Version 04 Sectoral Scope: 4 28 July 2006

Step 2. Estimate project specific moisture "penalty"

A project specific "penalty" is applied, because the combustion of typically coarser biomass and other alternative fuels, as opposed to more finely ground coal, will reduce the heat transfer efficiency in the cement manufacturing process. This will therefore require a greater heat input to produce the same quantity and quality of cement clinker. The chemical content and ease of absorption into cement clinker of all fuel ashes also differs, and this also contributes to the need for a project specific penalty.

This project specific penalty should be determined as follows:

$$MP_{y} = C_{Pr,y} x (HC_{AF} - HC_{FF})$$
(1)

where:

| MP_y | moisture penalty (TJ/yr) for year y |
|--------------------|---|
| $C_{Pr,y}$ | is the clinker production for year y |
| HC _{AF,y} | is the specific fuel consumption on project case (TJ/tClinker) in year y |
| HC _{FF} | is the specific fuel consumption in the baseline when only fossil fuel is used, in TJ/tClinker. |

$$HC_{AF} = \frac{\left(\sum Q_{FF,Pr} \times HV_{FF}\right) + HI_{AF}}{\left(C_{Pr}\right)}$$
(2)

where:

 $\begin{array}{ll} Q_{FF,pr} & \text{is the quantity of fossil fuel used in the project case;} \\ HV_{FF} & \text{is the lower heating value of the fossil fuel used (TJ/tonne);} \\ HI_{AF} & \text{is heat input from alternative fuels (TJ/yr) in project case;} \\ C_{Pr} & \text{is the production of clinker in the project case; and} \end{array}$

$$HC_{FF} = \frac{\left(\sum Q_{FF,Ba} \times HV_{FF}\right)}{C_{Bl}}$$
(3)

where:

 $Q_{FF,Ba}$ is the quantity of fossil fuel used in the baseline case; HV_{FF} is the lower heating value of the fossil fuel used (TJ/tonne) used in the baseline (it would be the same as project case if the fossil fuel used in the project case is same as that in the baseline) C_{Bl} is clinker production in the base case corresponding to the $Q_{FF,Ba}$

Step 3 Calculate GHG emissions from the use of alternative fuels in kilns:

$$AF_{GHG} = \Sigma(Q_{AF} * HV_{AF} * EF_{AF})$$
(4)

where:

| AF _{GHG} | = | GHG emissions from alternative fuels (tCO _{2e} /yr) |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Q_{AF} | = | monitored alternative fuels input in clinker production (tonnes/yr). |
| $\mathrm{HV}_{\mathrm{AF}}$ | = | heating value(s) of the alternative fuel(s) used (TJ/tonne fuel). |

 EF_{AF} = emission factor(s) of alternative fuel(s) used (tCO_{2e}/TJ).

When several alternative fuels are burned, the GHG emissions from each fuel are aggregated to determine AF_{GHG} using respective heating values and emission factors.

For biomass residues, unless it is clearly demonstrated and documented that the biomass residues consumed by the project would in the absence of the project activity decompose anaerobically, it should be assumed that the biomass residues would be burned in the absence of the project activity. CO_2 emissions from burning of biomass residues should be considered CO_2 -neutral, assuming that the generation of the biomass residues (and any associated emissions) occurs independently of the project activity. To be conservative, N_2O and CH_4 emissions from stockpiled biomass should be ignored.

For waste originating from fossil sources(e.g. tires, plastics, textiles from polymers, and rubber), unless it can be clearly demonstrated that incineration of these alternative fuels without utilization for energy purposes is the dominant practice in the area(s) from which the alternative fuels in the project activity are sourced, CO_2 emissions from these fuels should be included in project emissions. If these wastes are incinerated in the host country (in the baseline scenario), then they should be considered as CO_2 -neutral fuels, unless the heat generated in the incineration plant is used for heat or electricity generation purposes. In this latter case, CO_2 emissions from these fuels are to be included in project emissions.

Step 4 Calculate the baseline GHG emissions from the fossil fuel(s) displaced by the alternative fuel(s)

$$FF_{GHG} = [(Q_{AF} * HV_{AF}) - MP_{total}] * EF_{FF}$$
(5)

where:

EF_{FF} is the estimated baseline value and would be the lowest of the following CO₂ emission factors :

- the weighted average annual CO₂ emission factor for the fossil fuel(s) consumed and monitored ex ante during the year before the validation,
- the weighted average annual CO₂ emission factor for the fossil fuel(s) consumed and monitored during the corresponding verification period (e.g. the period during which the emission reductions to be certified have been achieved),
- the weighted average annual CO₂ emission factor for the fossil fuel(s) that would have been consumed according to the baseline scenario determined in section 1 and 2 of the "Additionality and baseline scenario selection" section above.

Step 5. Calculate GHG emissions due to on-site transportation and drying of alternative fuels

 $OT_{GHG} = OF_{AF} * (VEF_{CO_2} + VEF_{CH_4} * GWP_{CH_4}/1000 + VEF_{N_2O} * GWP_{N_2O}/1000) + (FD * FD_{HV} * VEF_{D})$ (6)

where:

| OT _{GHG} | = | GHG emissions from on-site transport and drying of alternative fuels (tCO _{2e} /yr) |
|---------------------|---|--|
| OF _{AF} | = | transportation fuel used for alternative fuels on-site during the year (t/yr), |
| VEF_CO ₂ | = | CO_2 emission factor for the transportation fuel (t CO_2 /tonne), |
| VEF_CH ₄ | = | CH ₄ emission factor for the transportation fuel (kg CH ₄ /tonne), |



(7)

| VEF_N ₂ O | = | N ₂ O emission factor for the transportation fuel (kg N ₂ O/tonne), |
|----------------------|---|---|
| GWP_CH_4 | = | global warming potential for CH_4 (21), |
| GWP_N ₂ O | = | global warming potential for N_2O (310), |
| FD | = | fuel used for drying alternative fuels (t/yr), |
| FD_HV | = | heating value of the fuel used for drying (TJ/t fuel), and |
| $VE\overline{F}_D$ | = | emission factor of the fuel used for drying (tCO ₂ /TJ) |

Step 6. Calculate emission savings from reduction of on-site transport of fossil fuels

 $OT_GHG_{FF} = OF_{FF} * EF_{T CO2e}$

where:

| OT-GHG _{FF} | = | emissions from reduction of on-site transport of fossil fuels (tCO2 _e) |
|----------------------|---|--|
| OF _{FF} | = | fuel saving from on-site transportation of fossil fuels (t/yr) |
| EF _{T CO2e} | = | emission factor of fuel used for transportation (tCO _{2e} /t fuel), |

Leakage

Leakage emissions considered are methane emissions due to biomass residues that would be burned or decomposed anaerobically in landfills in the absence of the project, as well as CO_2 emissions from off-site transport of fuels to the cement plant and off-site preparation of alternative fuels.

Another potential source of leakage is that the project may deprive other users of alternative fuels and thereby increase fossil fuel use. To ensure that the proposed project activity will not reduce the amount of alternative fuels available to other alternative fuels users, the project proponent should demonstrate that the amount of alternative fuels is 1.5 times the amount required to meet the consumption of all users consuming the same alternative fuels, i.e. the project and other alternative fuel users.

Step 1. Calculate CH₄ emissions due to biomass residues that would be burned in the absence of the project

$$BB_{CH4} = Q_{AF-B} * BCF * CH_4F * CH_4/C * GWP_CH_4$$
(8)

where:

| BB_{CH4} | = GHG emissions due to burning of biomass residue that is used as alternative fuel (tCO_{2e}/yr) |
|--------------------|---|
| Q _{AF-B} | = amount of biomass residue used as alternative fuel that would have been burned in the |
| | open field in the absence of the project (t/yr) |
| BCF | = carbon fraction of the biomass residue (tC/t biomass) estimated on basis of default values, |
| CH ₄ F | = fraction of the carbon released as CH_4 in open air burning (expressed as a fraction), ⁶ |
| CH ₄ /C | = mass conversion factor for carbon to methane (16 tCH ₄ /12 tC), and |
| GWP_CH_4 | = global warming potential of methane (21). |

Step 2. Calculate the CH₄ emissions due to anaerobic decomposition of biomass residues at landfills

This step will only be relevant for a project activity that burns biomass residues that would otherwise be landfilled. The emission reductions are achieved by avoiding CH_4 emissions from anaerobic decomposition of the biomass residues. There is a possibility that the methane is completely or partially flared in the absence of the project activity. If all landfill gas is being flared, then CH_4 emission reductions cannot be claimed. If a portion of the methane is flared, then only the non-flared portion (NFL) of the CH_4 can be claimed by the project proponent.

⁶ The IPCC 1996 guidelines recommend using 0.005% as a default value.

The amount of methane that is generated each year $(LW_{CH4,y})$ is calculated for each year with a multi-phase model. The model is based on a first order decay equation. It differentiates between the different types of biomass residues *j* with respectively different decay rates k_j (fast, moderate, slow) and fraction of degradable organic carbon (DOC_j). The model calculates the methane generation based on the actual biomass residue streams QAFL_{j,x} disposed in the most recent year (y) and all previous years since the project start (x=1 to x=y). The amount of methane produced in the year *y* ($LW_{CH4,y}$) is calculated as follows:

$$LW_{CH4,y} = \varphi \cdot \frac{16}{12} \cdot F \cdot DOC_{f} \cdot MCF \cdot \sum_{x=1}^{y} \sum_{j=A}^{D} QAFL_{j,x} \cdot DOC_{j} \cdot \left(1 - e^{-k_{j}}\right) \cdot e^{-k_{j} \cdot (y-x)} \cdot NFL \cdot GWP_{CH4}$$
(9)

where:

| $LW_{CH4,y}$ | Baseline GHG emissions due to anaerobic decomposition of biomass residues in landfills during the year y (tCO_2e/yr) |
|---------------------|---|
| $\text{QAFL}_{j,x}$ | amount of biomass residues of type j used as alternative fuel that would be landfilled in the absence of the project in the year $x (t/yr)$ |
| φ | is model correction factor (default 0.9) to correct for the model-uncertainties |
| F | is fraction of methane in the landfill gas |
| DOC _i | is per cent of degradable organic carbon (by weight) in the biomass type j |
| $\rm DOC_{f}$ | is fraction of DOC dissimilated to landfill gas |
| MCF | is Methane Correction Factor (fraction) |
| \mathbf{k}_{j} | is decay rate for the biomass residue stream type j |
| j | is biomass residue type distinguished into the biomass residue categories (from A to D), as |
| | illustrated in Table 2 below |
| Х | is year during the crediting period: x runs from the first year of the first crediting period |
| | (x=1) to the year for which emissions are calculated $(x=y)$ |
| у | is year for which LFG emissions are calculated |
| NFL | is the non-flared portion of the landfill gas produced (%) |
| GWP _{CH4} | Global warming potential valid for the relevant commitment period |
| | |



Model Correction Factor (ϕ)

Oonk et el. have validated several landfill gas models based on 17 realized landfill gas projects.⁷ The mean relative error of multi-phase models was assessed to be 18%. Given the uncertainties associated with the model and in order to estimate emission reductions in a conservative manner, a discount of 10% should be applied to the model results.

Methane correction factor (MCF)

The methane correction factor (MCF) accounts for the fact that unmanaged landfills produce less methane from a given amount of waste than managed landfills, because a larger fraction of waste decomposes aerobically in the top-layers of unmanaged landfills. The proposed default values for MCF are listed in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Solid Waste Disposal Site (SDWS) Classification and Methane Correction Factors

| Type of site | MCF default values |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Managed site | 1.0 |
| Unmanaged site – deep (> 5 m waste) | 0.8 |
| Unmanaged site – shallow (< 5 m waste) | 0.4 |
| Note: Managed SWDS must have controlled placement of waste (i.e | e. waste directed to specific |
| deposition areas, a degree of control of scavenging and a degree of c | control of fires) and will include |
| some of the following: cover material, mechanical compacting or le | velling of waste. |
| Source: Table 5.1 in the 2000 IPCC Good Practice Guidance | <u> </u> |

Source: Table 5.1 in the 2000 IPCC Good Practice Guidance

Project participants should use 0.4 as default MCF, unless they can demonstrate that the baseline-scenario would be disposal of the waste at an unmanaged site- with a wastepile of more than 5m depth (MCF in that case would be 0.8) or a managed landfill (MCF in that case would be 1.0).

Fraction of degradable organic carbon dissimilated (DOC_t)

The decomposition of degradable organic carbon does not occur completely and some of the potentially degradable material always remains in the site even over a very long period of time. The revised IPCC Guidelines propose a default value of 0.77 for DOCf. A lower value of 0.5 should be used if lignin-C is included in the estimated amount of degradable organic carbon.⁸

Degradable carbon content in waste (DOC_i) and decay rates (k_i)

In the Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (module 6), default values for degradable organic carbon are presented, as shown in Table 2 below. These values should be used by project participants.9

⁷ Oonk, Hans et al.: Validation of landfill gas formation models. TNO report. December 1994

⁸ IPCC Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories – chapter 5

⁹ For the categories of waste considered as well as the values of DOC, project participants should consider any revisions to the Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines and the 2000 IPCC Good Practice Guidance.

| Waste stream A to E | Per cent DOC _j | Decay-rate (k _j) |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| | (by weight) | |
| A. Paper and textiles | 40 | 0.023 |
| B. Garden and park waste and other (non-food) | 17 | 0.023 |
| perishables | | |
| C. Food waste | 15 | 0.231 |
| D. Wood and straw waste ¹⁾ | 30 | 0.023 |
| E. Inert material | 0 | 0 |

Table 2: Waste stream decay rates (k_i) and associated IPCC default values for DOC_i

¹⁾ this factor applies to the non-lignin part of the waste

The most rapid decay rates are associated with high moisture conditions and rapidly degradable material such as food waste. The slower decay rates are associated with dry site conditions and slowly degradable waste such as wood or paper. For this methodology, food waste (C) is considered as fast degradable waste, while paper and textiles (A), Garden and park waste and other (non-food) perishables (B), Wood and straw waste (D) are considered as slow degradable waste. Inert materials (E) are assumed not to degrade (k=0).

If local measurements have been undertaken for decay rates and if these are documented, and can be considered as more reliable, these may be used instead of the default-values of table 2. Project participants should consider future revisions to the decay-rate constants (kj) when available, including revisions to IPCC guidelines.

For all biomass residues used in the project plant that would be dumped on landfills in the absence of the project activity, project participants shall determine the composition of the biomass residues in accordance with the categories in Table 2 above, measuring the fractions of each of the following waste types: paper and textile (A); garden and park waste and other (non-food) organic putrescibles (B); food waste (C); wood and straw (D) and; inert/inorganic waste (E). The size and frequency of sampling should be statistically significant with an maximum uncertainty range of 20% at a 95% confidence level. As a minimum, sampling should be undertaken four times per year.

Calculation of F

The project participants apply a default value of 0.5.

Step 3. Calculate emissions from off-site transport of alternative and fossil fuels

The emissions from transportation should be calculated as follows:

| LK _{trans} | $= LK_{AF} - LK_{FF}$ | (10) |
|---------------------|---|------|
| LK _{AF} | $= (Q_{AF}/CT_{AF}) * D_{AF} * EF_{CO2e}/1000$ | (11) |
| LK _{FF} | $= (RQ_{FF}/CT_{FF}) * D_{FF} * EF_{CO2e}/1000$ | (12) |

where:

| LK trans | = | leakage from transport of alternative fuel less leakage due to reduced transport of fossil fuels |
|------------------|-----|--|
| | | (tCO_2/yr) |
| LK _{AF} | = | leakage resulting from transport of alternative fuel (tCO ₂ /yr) |
| LK _{FF} | = | leakage due to reduced transport of fossil fuels (tCO ₂ /yr) |
| Q_{AF} | = | quantity of alternative fuels (tonnes) |
| CT_{AF} | = | average truck or ship capacity (tonnes/truck or ship) |
| D_{AF} | = | average round-trip distance between the alternative fuels supply sites and the cement plant |
| | sit | es (km/truck or ship) |
| RQ _{FF} | = | quantity of fossil fuel (tonnes) that is reduced due to consumption of alternative fuels |



| CT _{FF} D _{FF} EF _{CO2e} | estimated as: = average truck or ship capacity (tonnes/truck or ship) = average round-trip distance between the fossil fuels supply sites and the cement pl (km/truck or ship) = emission factor from fuel use due to transportation (kg CO _{2e} /km) estimated as: | ant sites |
|---|--|-----------|
| EF _{CO2e} | $= EF_{T CO2} + (EF_{T CH4} * 21) + (EF_{T N2O} * 310)$ | (13) |
| where: | | |

| EF _{T CO2} | = | emission factor of CO ₂ in transport (kg CO ₂ /km) |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| EF _{T CH4} | = | emission factor of CH4 in transport (kg CH4/km) |
| $\mathrm{EF}_{\mathrm{T N2O}}$ | = | emission factor of N ₂ O in transport (kg N ₂ O/km) |

21 and 310 are the Global Warming Potential (GWP) of CH4 and N2O respectively

Step 4. Calculate emissions from off-site drying of alternative fuels

The GHG emissions generated during the drying of alternative fuels outside the project site are estimated as follows:

$$GHG_{PAFO} = FD_{AFO} * HV_{FDAFO} * EF_{FDAFO} + PD_{AFO} * EF_{pO}$$
(14)

where:

| GHG _{PAFO} | = GHG emissions that could be generated during the preparation of alternative fuels outside the |
|----------------------------|---|
| | project site (tCO ₂ /yr) |
| FD _{AFO} | = fuel used in drying of alternative fuels outside the project site (t/yr) |
| HV_{FDAFO} | = heating value of fuel used for drying alternative fuels outside the project site (TJ /tonne) |
| EF _{FDAFO} | = emission factor for the fuel used for drying of alternative fuels outside the project site |
| | (tCO_2/TJ) |
| PD _{AFO} | = power consumption in drying the alternative fuels (MWh/yr) outside the project site |
| EF _{pO} | = CO_2 emission factor due to power generation outside the project where the drying of |
| 1 | alternative fuels takes place, determined according to the methodology presented in AM0002 |
| | (tCO_2/MWh) . |
| | |

Emission Reductions

Total emission reductions are given by the following formula:

$$AF_{ER} = FF_{GHG} - AF_{GHG} - OT_{GHG} - LK_{trans} + OT_{GHG} + BB_{CH4} + LW_{CH4,y} - GHG_{PAFO}$$
(15)

where:

| FF _{GHG} | = | GHG emissions from fossil fuels displaced by the alternatives $(tCO_{2/yr})$ |
|----------------------|---|---|
| AF _{GHG} | = | GHG emissions from alternative fuels (tCO_{2e}/yr) |
| OT _{GHG} | = | GHG emissions from on-site transport and drying of alternative fuels (tCO_{2e}/yr) |
| LK trans | = | leakage from transport of alternative fuel less leakage due to reduced transport of fossil fuels (tCO ₂ /yr) |
| OT-GHG _{FF} | = | emissions from reduction of on-site transport of fossil fuels (tCO2e) |



| $\mathrm{BB}_{\mathrm{CH4}}$ | | = GHG emissions due to burning of biomass residue that is used as alternative fuel (tCO_{2e}/yr) |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| LW _{CH4,y} | = | baseline GHG emissions due to anaerobic decomposition of biomass residues at landfills (tCO_{2e}/yr) |
| GHG _{PAFO} | = | GHG emissions that could be generated during the preparation of alternative fuels outside the project site (tCO_2/yr) |



Revision to the approved monitoring methodology ACM0003

"Emissions reduction through partial substitution of fossil fuels with alternative fuels in cement manufacture"

Source

This methodology is based on two cases "Replacement of Fossil Fuel by Palm Kernel Shell biomass in the production of Portland cement" NM0040, prepared by Lafarge Asia, and "Indocement's Sustainable cement Production Project" NM0048, prepared by Indocement. For more information regarding the proposal and its consideration by the Executive Board please refer to cases NM0040 and NM0048 on http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/approved.html.

Applicability

The methodology is applicable to the cement industry with the following conditions:

- Fossil fuel(s) used in cement manufacture are partially replaced by the following *alternative fuels*:
 (a) Wastes originating from fossil sources, such as tires, plastics, textiles from polymers, or rubber;
 - (b) Biomass residues¹⁰ where they are available in surplus and would in the absence of the project activity be dumped or left to decay or burned in an uncontrolled manner without utilizing them for energy purposes;
- In case of project activities using biomass residues, any preparation of the biomass, occurring before use in the project activity, does neither require significant energy quantities (e.g. esterification of waste oils), except from transportation and/or drying of the biomass, nor does it cause significant GHG emissions (such as, for example, methane emissions from anaerobic treatment or char coal production).
- CO₂ emissions reduction relates to CO₂ emissions generated from fuel burning requirements only and is unrelated to the CO₂ emissions from decarbonisation of raw materials (i.e. CaCO₃ and MgCO₃ bearing minerals);

¹⁰ In the context of this methodology, *biomass residues* means *biomass* by-products, residues and waste streams from agriculture, forestry and related industries and the non-fossilized and biodegradable organic fractions of industrial and municipal wastes. *Biomass* means non-fossilized and biodegradable organic material originating from plants, animals and micro-organisms. This shall also include products, by-products, residues and waste from agriculture, forestry and related industries as well as the non-fossilized and biodegradable organic fractions of industrial and municipal wastes. *Biomass* also includes gases and liquids recovered from the decomposition of non-fossilized and biodegradable organic material.



UNFO

- The methodology is applicable only for installed capacity (expressed in tonnes clinker/year) that exists by the time of validation of the project activity;
- The amount of alternative fuels available for the project is at least 1.5 times the amount required to meet the consumption of all users consuming the same alternative fuels, i.e. the project and other alternative fuel users.

This monitoring methodology shall be used in conjunction with the approved baseline methodology ACM0003 ("Baseline methodology for emissions reduction through partial substitution of fossil fuels with alternative fuels in cement manufacture").





ACM0003 / Version 04 Sectoral Scope: 4 28 July 2006

Monitoring methodology Baseline and project emissions

| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbol | Data Unit | Measured (m), calculated (c) or estimated (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportio n of Data to be Monitored | How will the Data be Archived (electronic/pa per) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Comment | Instrument used to record |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|------------------|---------------------------------|
| Monitoring | of paramete | ers related to clir | iker produ | ction | | | | | - | | |
| 1. Monitoring | mass | Clinker production | C _{Pr} | Ton | measured, calculated | recorded/ calculated and reported monthly | 100% | electronic, paper ach type of fuels - | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | denendently) | Weighing feeders |
| 2. | quantity | Fuel type | Q _{AF} , | Units of mass or volume | measured | recorded continuously and reported monthly and adjusted according to stock change | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | Scale |
| 3. | heat value | Fuel Heating value | HV _{AF} | TJ/tonne | measured, calculated | monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | Calorimeter |
| 4. | heat | Alternative fuel heat input | HI _{AF} | TJ | calculated | calculated and reported monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | For each kiln | |





| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbol | Data Unit | Measured (m), calculated (c) or estimated (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportio n of Data to be Monitored | How will the Data be Archived (electronic/pa per) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Comment | Instrument used to record |
|--------------|--------------------|---|------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|--|---|--|---------|---------------------------------|
| 5. | emission factor | Emission Factor | EF _{AF} | tCO2/TJ | IPCC default | fixed | 100% | electronic, paper | The entire crediting period | | |
| 6. | fraction | Share of heat input from alternative fuels | S _{AF} | % | calculated | calculated monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | |
| 7. | ratio | Moisture penalty | mp | MJ/tonn e/ 10% alt fuel share | calculated | at start of crediting period | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | |





| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbol | Data Unit | Measured (m), calculated (c) or estimated (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportio n of Data to be Monitored | How will the Data be Archived (electronic/pa per) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Comment | Instrument used to record |
|--------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Monitoring | of emissions | related to the p | roject as w | ell as basel | ine GHG em | issions from the | e fossil fuel(s) | displaced by the a | <mark>ulternative fuel(</mark> s |) | |
| 8. | quantity | Fuel type | Q _{FF} | Units of mass or volume | measured | recorded continuously and reported monthly and adjusted according to stock change. For baseline based on record of 3 years prior to project start. | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | For each of the fossil fuels consumed: (i) in the year prior to the validation, (ii) during the project activity, (iii) in the baseline scenario | Scale |





| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbol | Data Unit | Measured (m), calculated (c) or estimated (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportio n of Data to be Monitored | How will the Data be Archived (electronic/pa per) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Comment | Instrument used to record |
|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|--|------------------------|--|---|--|---|---------------------------------|
| 9. | heat value | Fuel Heating value | HV _{FF} | TJ/tonne | measured, calculated | monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | For each of the fossil fuels consumed: (i) in the year prior to the validation, (ii) during the project activity, (iii) in the baseline scenario | Calorimeter |
| 10. | quantity | Clinker production | C _{Bl} | tonne | from records | at start of project | | | | Three years data from the records of the project plant. | |





| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbol | Data Unit | Measured (m), calculated (c) or estimated (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportio n of Data to be Monitored | How will the Data be Archived (electronic/pa per) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Comment | Instrument used to record |
|--------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|--------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---------------------------------|
| 11. | emission factor | Emission Factor | EF _{FF} | tCO2/TJ | IPCC default | fixed | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | For each of the fossil fuels consumed: (i) in the year prior to the validation, (ii) during the project activity, (iii) in the baseline scenario | |
| | of emissions | s related to on-si | ite transpo | rtation and | drying of alte | ernative fuels | | - | | | - |
| 12. | quantity | Transportatio n of fuel used on-site | OFv | Kg | measured | recorded and reported monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | Fuel record |
| 13. | emission factor | Emission Factor | VEF _{CO2} | g CO2/kg | IPCC default | fixed | 100% | electronic, paper | The whole crediting period | Ref. notes below | |
| 14. | emission factor | Emission Factor | VEF _{CH4} | g CH4/kg | IPCC default | fixed | 100% | electronic, paper | The whole crediting period | Ref. notes below | |





| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbol | Data Unit | Measured (m), calculated (c) or estimated (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportio n of Data to be Monitored | How will the Data be Archived (electronic/pa per) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Comment | Instrument used to record |
|--------------|--------------------|---|--------------------|--------------|--|--|--|---|--|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| 15. | emission factor | Emission Factor | VEF _{N2O} | g N2O/kg | IPCC default | fixed | 100% | electronic, paper | The whole crediting period | Ref. notes below | |
| 16. | quantity | Fuel used for any drying of alternative fuels | FD | Kg | measured | recorded and reported monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | Flowmeter, weigher |
| 17. | heat | Heating Value for fuel for drying alt. Fuels | FD_HV | TJ/tonne | measured, C | monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | Calorimeter |
| 18. | emission factor | Emission factor for the fuel used for drying | VEFD | tCO2/TJ | IPCC default | fixed | 100% | electronic, paper | The whole crediting period | Ref. notes below | |
| Monitoring | of emissions | s reduction from | reduction | of on-site i | transport of f | ossil fuel | • | • | • | | • |
| 19. | quantity | Fuel saving from on-site transportatio n of fossil fuel | OF _{FF} | kg | measured | measured monthly and reported monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | Fuel consumptio n records |





| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbol | Data Unit | Measured (m), calculated (c) or estimated (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportio n of Data to be Monitored | How will the Data be Archived (electronic/pa per) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Comment | Instrument used to record |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------|--|---|--|---------|---------------------------------|
| 20. | emission factor | Fuel emission factor | EF _T CO2e | kgCO _{2e} /kg fuel | default value | | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | NA |





Leakage

| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbo l | Data Unit | Measur ed (m), calculat ed (c) or estimate d (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportion of Data to be Monitored | How Will the Data be Archived (electronic/pap er) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Commen t | Instrument used to record |
|--------------|-----------|--|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------|---|---|--|-------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | | | in the baseline s | | 1 | 1 | | |
| 21. | quantity | Biomass residues which would have been burnt in the absence of the project | Q _{AF} -D/B | Tonnes | estimated | | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | |
| 22. | fraction | Carbon fraction of the biomass residue | BCF | Tonnes C per tonnes biomass | IPCC default | | | | | | |
| 23. | fraction | Carbon released as CH_4 in open air burning | CH ₄ F | | IPCC default | | | | | | |





| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbo l | Data Unit | Measur ed (m), calculat ed (c) or estimate d (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportion of Data to be Monitored | How Will the Data be Archived (electronic/pap er) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Commen t | Instrument used to record |
|--------------|----------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Monitorin | g of emissions | due to landfi | illing of bi | omass residu | es in the ba | seline scenario | | | - | | |
| 24. | quantity | Biomass residue that would have been landfilled without project | QAFL _{,j,} x | Tonnes | estimated | | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | |
| 25. | fraction | Methane conversion factor | MCF | | IPCC default | | | | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | Default = 0.4 for un- managed shallow waste sites under 5 m. | |
| 26. | fraction | Degradabl e organic carbon content of the biomass residue | DOC _j | tC/tonnes of biomass. | IPCC default | | | | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | Default value is 0.3. | |





| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbo l | Data Unit | Measur ed (m), calculat ed (c) or estimate d (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportion of Data to be Monitored | How Will the Data be Archived (electronic/pap er) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Commen t | Instrument used to record |
|--------------|----------------|---|------------------|-----------|---|--|---|---|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 27. | fraction | Portion of DOC that is converted to landfill gas | DOC _F | | IPCC default | | | | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | Default value is 0.77. | |
| 28. | fraction | Landfill gas portion that is flared | NFL | | estimated | | | | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | Obtained from host country | |
| | g of emissions | | 1 | | r | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | • | 1 |
| 29. | quantity | Alternativ e Fuels | Q _{AF} | Ton | measured | recorded continuously and reported monthly based on actual silo stock level changes | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | Weighing feeders |





| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbo l | Data Unit | Measur ed (m), calculat ed (c) or estimate d (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportion of Data to be Monitored | How Will the Data be Archived (electronic/pap er) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Commen t | Instrument used to record |
|--------------|----------------------|--|--------------------|--|---|------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 30. | specific quantity | Average truck capacity for transport of alternative fuels | CT _{AF} | Tonnes per truck | calculated | monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | The quantitiestimated by additive mathematical additive mathematical distribution of the stimated for the shipment of the ship | ased on aterial tance and uel |
| 31. | distance | Average distance for transport of alternative fuels | D _{AF} | Km/truck | calculated | monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | ansportation ire that other |
| 32. | emission Factors | Emission factors | EF _{CO2e} | Kg CO2eq per km or per kg of fuel | calculated | monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | Ref. notes | below |





| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbo l | Data Unit | Measur ed (m), calculat ed (c) or estimate d (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportion of Data to be Monitored | How Will the Data be Archived (electronic/pap er) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Commen t | Instrument used to record |
|--------------|-----------|--|------------------|---------------------|---|------------------------|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------|
| 33. | quantity | Fossil fuels which is reduced due to consumpti on of alternative fuels | RQ _{FF} | Ton | calculated | calculated monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | |
| 34. | quantity | Average truck capacity for transport of Q _{FF} | CT _{FF} | Tonnes per truck | calculated | monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | |
| 35. | distance | Average distance for transport of Q _{FF} | D _{FF} | Km/truck | calculated | monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | In certain c means of tr which requ formulas be | ansportation ire other |





| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbo l | Data Unit | Measur ed (m), calculat ed (c) or estimate d (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportion of Data to be Monitored | How Will the Data be Archived (electronic/pap er) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Commen t | Instrument used to record |
|--------------|-----------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|---|------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| | g of alternativ | e fuel reserve | s that may | | ther users (| data to be compl | | type of fuel indepen | | | |
| 36. | quantity | Alternativ e fuel used by other users | | Ton | estimated | yearly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | Track whether project activity reduces | Based on data from local, national, and/or |
| 37. | quantity | Alternativ e fuel reserve available in the region | | Ton | estimated | yearly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | alternativ e fuel available to other users groups so that their GHG emissions will increase. | internationa l government institutions; industry associations ; and other reliable sources of information |
| Monitoring | g of preparatio | on of alternat | ive fuel ou | utside the proj | ect site/out | side the cement | plant sites | • | • | | · |
| 38. | quantity | Fuel used in drying of alternative fuels outside the project site | FD _{AFO} | Ton, kg or litre | measured | monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | To be estimated for each type of fuel indepen- dently | |





| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbo l | Data Unit | Measur ed (m), calculat ed (c) or estimate d (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportion of Data to be Monitored | How Will the Data be Archived (electronic/pap er) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Commen t | Instrument used to record |
|--------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------|
| 39. | heat | Heating Value of fuel used for drying alternative fuels outside the project site | HV _{FDA} DO | TJ or Tcal/unit of fuel | estimated | monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | To be estimated for each type of fuel indepen- dently | |
| 40. | emission factor | Emission factor for the fuel used for drying of alternative fuels outside the project site | EF _{ADO} | T of CO2/TJ | calculate d based on default value | monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | To be estimated for each type of fuel indepen- dently | |
| 41. | quantity | Power consumpti on of drying the alternative fuels outside the project site | PD _{ADO} | Kwh | measured | monthly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | | |





| ID number | Data Type | Data Variable | Symbo l | Data Unit | Measur ed (m), calculat ed (c) or estimate d (e) | Recording Frequency | Proportion of Data to be Monitored | How Will the Data be Archived (electronic/pap er) | For How Long is the Archived Data Kept? | Commen t | Instrument used to record |
|--------------|--------------------|---|------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------|
| 42. | emission factor | Emission factor for power generation outside the project site where drying of alternative fuels takes place | EFpO | Ton CO ₂ per MWh | calculated | calculated and reported yearly | 100% | electronic, paper | 2 years after the end of the crediting period | To be calculate d from each type of fuel | |





ACM0003 / Version 04 Sectoral Scope: 4 28 July 2006

Notes:

1. In order to estimate the quantity of biomass residues that would have been landfilled without project and the quantity of biomass residues which would have been burnt in the absence of the project, project participants should carry out a survey before and after the project activity implementation.

| 2 | . Emission | factors to | be used | to | calculate | leakage | from | trans | portation | emission | s: |
|---|------------|------------|---------|----|-----------|---------|------|-------|-----------|----------|----|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Transportation emissions | Truck capacity | To be measured |
|--------------------------|---|----------------|
| from trucks* | Return trip distance | To be measured |
| | CO ₂ emission factor ^a | 1097 g/km |
| | | 3172.31 g/kg |
| | CH ₄ emission factor ^a | 0.06 g/km |
| | | 0.18 g/kg |
| | N ₂ O emission factor ^a | 0.031 g/km |
| | | 0.09 g/kg |

* These values are illustrative examples and should be replaced by corresponding specific project data, as necessary.

^a IPCC default values for US heavy diesel vehicles, uncontrolled.

Due to fuel sourcing from various locations even within a single fuel type (e.g. coal from 2 regions), distances for each source should be measured, and any changes due to contract renewal also reflected.

3. If ships are used to deliver fuels, then assume that ship fuel is HFO380 with a heat content of 41.868 GJ/tonne and emission factor of 77.4 kgCO₂/GJ, as per IPPC default values. Ships are collecting another material close by and so fuel is for one-way trip.

4. ID.37-38. This monitoring task tracks whether the project activity may reduce the amount of biomass residues available to other users groups so that they might shift their productive or other activities in ways that would lead to increased GHG emissions. To demonstrate that there is an abundance of surplus biomass residues a proposed project activity should demonstrate that: The amount of biomass residue for which there are no users/off-takers should be 1.5 times the amount required to meet the consumption of all users consuming the same biomass residue type.

5. Power system data and information: If available, data and information on generation, fuel types, fuel consumption, energy content and carbon emission factors from government ministries and agencies should be used. If unavailable, information from neighbouring countries may be used. If the latter is unavailable, international best practice data may be used together with IPCC default calorific values and carbon emission factors.





CDM – Executive Board

ACM0003 / Version 04 Sectoral Scope: 4 28 July 2006

| Data | Uncertainty level of data (High/Medium/Low) | Are QA/QC procedures planned for these data? | Outline explanation why QA/QC procedures are or are not being planned. |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1-3, 11, 15-16, 18 | Low | Yes – According to ISO 9000 or similar quality systems | |
| 20-23, 29 | Medium | No | Fraction of biomass residue that would have been decayed/burnt and/or landfilled will be estimated |
| 5, 10, 12-14. 17, 19, 21-22, 24-26 | Low | No | While IPCC fractions are reliable defaults, the project proponent should validate these default values |
| Other leakage data | Medium | No | An independent expert should validate the data quality. |

Quality Control (QC) and Quality Assurance (QA) Procedures