

F-CDM-NMas ver 03

 <p style="text-align: center;">CDM: Proposed new methodology assessment form (version 03) <i>(To be used by meth panel members assessing the quality of a proposed new methodology)</i></p>			
<i>Title of proposed new methodology:</i>			
<i>Related F-CDM-NM document ID number</i>			
<p><i>Note to the person completing this form: Please assess the quality of the submitted new methodology according to paragraph 6 of the procedures for submission and consideration of proposed new methodologies (version 06).</i></p> <p><i>The responses to the evaluation criteria below shall be considered as substantiation to evaluate a case as 1 or 2.</i></p> <p><i>If one of the evaluation criteria below is checked as NO the documentation will be graded as 2 and is to be sent back to the project participants.</i></p> <p><i>If all the evaluation criteria below are checked as YES the documentation will be graded as 1 and shall be considered as received by the Board and be forwarded by the secretariat for consideration of the Board and the Meth Panel.</i></p>			
<i>Evaluation of the proposed new methodologies by Meth Panel Member:</i>			
No.	Evaluation criteria	YES	NO
1.	Coverage of the CDM-NMB and CDM-NMM sections as outlined in the applicable guidelines.		
2.	Transparent and precise language of methodology enough to be assessed		
3.	Baseline methodology reflects methodology-specific information and not project-specific information.		
4.	The baseline methodology seems to be internally consistent.		
5.	Does the baseline methodology seem to address the identification and selection of the most likely baseline scenario candidate?		
6.	Calculating emission reductions: relevant formula provided and explained		
7.	Additionality: Clear and concise presentation of methodological steps to assess additionality and relationships between them.		
8.	Proper, clear documentation and drafting of Proposed New Methodology: Monitoring, (CDM-NMM) in accordance with applicable guidelines		

F-CDM-NMas ver 03

9.	If it is a resubmitted C case, does it considering all the recommendations previously given.		
10.	No other issue was identified that leads to a 2-rating.		
<i>No.</i>	<i>Additional information</i>		
A.	Is a similar methodology already under review / approved? (If YES, specify methodology ID number below)		
<i>No.</i>	<i>Comments (please provide explanations for "NO" answers, if any)</i>		
Information to be completed by the secretariat			
F-CDM-NMas doc id number	F-CDM-NMas-NM00xx		
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat			