

**Annex 13****REVIEW CONCLUSIONS: OLAVARRÍA LANDFILL GAS RECOVERY PROJECT  
(0029)**

1. The Board can not complete the review of the project activity as a small scale project activity. It invites project participants and the DOE to resubmit the CDM-PDD and the validation report using a methodology appropriate to the size of the project activity taking into account the issues highlighted below.
2. Issues to be changed in the CDM-PDD and validation report:

**SCOPE (a):**

*(i) More detailed justification of the chosen calculation of project emissions is needed, given that the CDM-PDD provides for three different interpretations of the direct project emissions;*

In accordance with paragraph 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures, despite a biogas collection system being in place, the project participants need to consider the amount of biogas coming out of landfill. Biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions shall, however, not to be accounted for. Also, in accordance with the definition of the project boundary in methodology III.D. (*Methane recovery*) of the Appendix B of the “Simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities” the project boundary is “the physical geographical boundary of the methane recovery activity” and therefore the total amount of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from the landfill being released into the atmosphere should be accounted for.

If the project activity’s emission is calculated in this manner the project activity is not eligible as a small-scale project activity anymore.

The Board requested the project participants and the DOE to revise the CDM-PDD and the validation report using an applicable methodology for project activities other than small-scale CDM project activities. After the new request for registration is received by the Board, the request for review period will be limited to only 4 weeks i.e. the difference between a large and a small-scale project activity. In this particular case, the registration fee will be recalculated based on the new request taking into consideration payments already made.

*(ii) The public comment relating to the calculation of project emissions should be taken into account.*

The Board has considered the clarifications provided as satisfactory.

*SCOPE (b): The DOE has listed as a remaining "corrective action request" (CAR) that detailed operational guidelines/manuals and the necessary provisions for meeting training and maintenance needs have yet to be developed, and that this has to be done at the latest "before the start of the commissioning" of the project. The DOE needs to stipulate how it will be asserting that this CAR has been closed successfully;*

The Board has considered the clarifications provided as satisfactory.

*SCOPE (c): Disclaimer by the DOE should be removed.*

The Board has considered the clarifications provided as satisfactory.